

# poorrichards blog

The New News.

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 21, 2011

## Top Legal Expert: "President Obama ... Says That He Can Kill [Any American Citizen Without Any Charge and] On His Own Discretion. He Can Jail You Indefinitely On His Own Discretion"

by WashingtonsBlog

### I've previously noted that Obama says that he can assassinate American citizens living on U.S. soil.

This admittedly sounds over-the-top. But one of the nation's top constitutional and military law experts – Jonathan Turley – agrees.

Turley:

- Is the second most cited law professor in the country
- Has worked as both the CBS and NBC legal analyst during national controversies
- Ranks 38th in the top 100 most cited 'public intellectuals' in a recent study by a well-known judge
- Is one of the top 10 lawyers handling military cases
- Has served as a consultant on homeland security and constitutional issues
- Is a frequent witness before the House and Senate on constitutional and statutory issues

Turley said yesterday on C-Span (starting at 15:50):

**President Obama has just stated a policy that he can have any American citizen killed without any charge, without any review, except his own.** If he's satisfied that you are a terrorist, he says that he can kill you **anywhere in the world including in the United States.** Two of his aides just ... reaffirmed they believe that **American citizens can be killed on the order of the President anywhere including the United States.**

You've now got a president who says that **he can kill you on his own discretion.** He can jail you indefinitely on his own discretion

\*\*\*

I don't think the the Framers ever anticipated that [the American people would be so apathetic]. They assumed that people would hold their liberties close, and that they wouldn't relax ...

### The Government Has Never Given a Rationale for Assassination

While one might assume that the government has given a valid justification for the claim that it can assassinate anyone anywhere, the Washington Post **noted** yesterday:

In outlining its legal reasoning, the administration has cited broad congressional authorizations and presidential approvals, the international laws of war and the right to self-defense. But *it has not offered the*

#### NEW NEWS

Translate 翻译 अनुवाद 翻訳



#### BLOG ARCHIVE

- ▼ 2011 (1248)
  - ▼ 12/18 - 12/25 (37)
    - NATO's Depraved D Libyan Civilian C
    - Top Legal Expert: "P Obama ... Says
    - Russia Prepared fo Over Iran If Necess
    - Britain, the IMF, and richest beggar
    - NATO vs. Syria
    - John Pilger answers claim that US mi
    - The Utter Insanity o Iran's Nuclear Si
    - Why The Globalist A Backfiring
    - Hanukkah: A Celeb Superstition Ove
    - The Return Of Debt Prisons: Thousan Ameri...
    - The Tools of Americ Police State
    - Holding a Thumb to America, Land o
    - We Killed Your Dau You're Under Arr
    - Growing Hunger an Homelessness in
    - The unpaid spies in financial system
    - Iraq issues arrest w Hashemi
    - US "Withdrawal" In Way for US-Israel
    - Ron Paul Is Now th Republican Fron
    - China Keeps Slapp In The Face And
    - Private Property No

American public, uneasy allies or international authorities any specifics that would make it possible to judge how it is applying those laws.

\*\*\*

“They’ve based it on the personal legitimacy of [President] Obama — the ‘trust me’ concept,” [American University law professor Kenneth Anderson] said. “That’s not a viable concept for a president going forward.”

\*\*\*

Under domestic law, the administration considers [assassinations] to be covered by the [Authorization for Use of Military Force](#) that Congress passed days after the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks. In two key sentences that have no expiration date, the AUMF gives the president sole power to use “all necessary and appropriate force” against nations, groups or persons who committed or aided the attacks, and to prevent future attacks. [But the government just [broadened the authorization for use of military force](#) from those who attacked us on 9/11 to include the Taliban and the vague category of “associated forces”.]

\*\*\*

The authorization did not address targets’ nationality or set geographical boundaries, and there was “nothing about the permission of the government” of any country where a terrorist might be found, the former official said.

And see [this](#).

### Almost Any American Could Be Arbitrarily Labeled a “Terrorist”

As I’ve [previously noted](#), this is especially concerning when almost any American could be labeled a “terrorist” if the government doesn’t happen to like them:

It is dangerous in a climate where you can be labeled as or suspected of being a terrorist simply for [questioning war, protesting anything, asking questions about pollution or about Wall Street shenanigans, supporting Ron Paul, being a libertarian, holding gold, or stocking up on more than 7 days of food](#). [And the FBI says that [activists who investigate factory farms can be prosecuted as terrorists](#).] And see [this](#).

And it is problematic in a period in which [FBI agents and CIA intelligence officials, constitutional law expert professor Jonathan Turley, Time Magazine, Keith Olbermann and the Washington Post](#) have all said that U.S. government officials “were trying to create an atmosphere of fear in which the American people would give them more power”, and even former Secretary of Homeland Security – Tom Ridge – [admits](#) that he was pressured to raise terror alerts to help Bush win reelection.

And it is counter-productive in an age when the government – [instead of doing the things which could actually make us safer – are doing things which \*increase\* the risk of terrorism](#).

And it is insane in a time of *perpetual* war. See [this](#), [this](#), [this](#) and [this](#).

And when the “War on Terror” in [the Middle East and North Africa](#) which is being used to justify the attack on Americans was planned long [before 9/11](#).

And when Jimmy Carter’s National Security Adviser told the Senate in 2007 that [the war on terror is “a mythical historical narrative”](#). And 9/11 was entirely [foreseeable](#), but wasn’t stopped. Indeed, [no one in Washington even wants to hear how 9/11 happened, even though that is necessary to stop future terrorist attacks](#). And the military has bombed a bunch of oil-rich countries [when it could have instead taken out Bin Laden years ago](#).

\*\*\*

And – given that U.S. soldiers admit that if they accidentally kill *innocent* Iraqis and Afghans, they then “drop” automatic weapons near their body so they can pretend they were militants – it is unlikely that the government

To Seizure

North Koreans weep hysterically over

Smattering Of Awards The “Crown” Rea

Coming soon: Ubiquitous surveillance from

Ignoramus Republic power

Stocking up for Doomsday economists predict

Highly Toxic Mercury Processed Food

The Shocking Truth Pending EU Coll

SOPA cyber-piracy create ‘federal bi

US: The Fight to Eradicate Personhood Hea

Report: 82% Chance Pensions Are Go

Mainstream press supports Ron Paul’s antiw

The war is pronoun

The End Game App

Remembering Why Americans Fight

eBook Readers Live Different Univers

Syria deploys Russian missiles on coast

Soldiers baton-charge protesters in Tah

▶ 12/11 - 12/18 (69)

▶ 12/04 - 12/11 (76)

▶ 11/27 - 12/04 (63)

▶ 11/20 - 11/27 (61)

▶ 11/13 - 11/20 (69)

▶ 11/06 - 11/13 (74)

▶ 10/30 - 11/06 (68)

▶ 10/23 - 10/30 (77)

▶ 10/16 - 10/23 (62)

▶ 10/09 - 10/16 (6)

▶ 03/27 - 04/03 (26)

▶ 03/20 - 03/27 (35)

▶ 03/13 - 03/20 (44)

▶ 03/06 - 03/13 (43)

▶ 02/27 - 03/06 (43)

▶ 02/20 - 02/27 (51)

▶ 02/13 - 02/20 (40)

▶ 02/06 - 02/13 (45)

▶ 01/30 - 02/06 (57)

▶ 01/23 - 01/30 (53)

would ever admit that an American citizen it assassinated was an innocent civilian who has nothing at all to do with terrorism.

- 197
- 197
- 107

Posted by poorrichard at 10:04 AM 

## 6 comments:

**admin said...**

December 21, 2011 10:19 AM

**admin said...**

THE VIDEO :) <http://12160.info/profiles/blogs/president-obama-just-stated-that-he-can-have-any-american-citizen?>

December 21, 2011 10:20 AM

**Anonymous said...**

How effective can this revolting piece of garbage "law" be when there are 100 million armed citizens and many among the military and police (Oathkeepers & those who think) who would NEVER acquiesce to these assaults on our liberties?

December 21, 2011 10:27 AM

**Anonymous said...**

Anonymous, It can be very effective because although there are 100 million armed citizens; not all are willing to use those arms against a tyrannical government. It should be every citizens solemn duty to never relinquish their liberties; however I'm also afraid we are too late. The frog has been literally boiled alive and has never woken up from the commie stupor.

December 21, 2011 10:40 AM

**Anonymous said...**

...from a "Conspiracy Theorist",....

.....told you so,....!

Regards

RJ O'Guillory

Author-

Webster Groves-The Life of an Insane Family

December 21, 2011 11:22 AM

**Anonymous said...**

"I don't think the the Framers ever anticipated that [the American people would be so apathetic]. They assumed that people would hold their liberties close, and that they wouldn't relax"

I do not believe the Framers ever anticipated sodium fluoride...

- ▶ 01/16 - 01/23 (54)
- ▶ 01/09 - 01/16 (41)
- ▶ 01/02 - 01/09 (54)
- ▶ 2010 (925)
- ▶ 2009 (23)

## MY BLOG LIST

 **CanSpeccy**



Export  
Demo  
CanSp  
Obam

Pharaoh?Exporting de  
something many Amer  
presidents have been  
Woodrow Wilson, who  
campaigns for electio  
14 minutes ago

 **RT**

Anti-SOPA activists fin  
keep the Internet free -  
Americans ready for a  
that will impose a gove  
sanctioned firewall ove  
Internet, the elite comp  
literate hacktivists atta  
23 minutes ago

 **PRESS TV RSS New**

Saudis blast Riyadh m  
Bahrain - Saudis have  
anti-government prote  
the eastern city of Awa  
condemn Riyadh's inv  
a violent crackdown on  
protes...  
24 minutes ago

 **Crooks and Liars**

Will Public Outrage Fir  
The President To Pros  
Outlaw Bankers? - enl  
[image: Military-  
Housing.jpg]Nearly 5,0  
families may have bee  
foreclosed upon by ba  
President has adoptec  
langua...  
40 minutes ago

 **Veterans Today**

Islam and liberty: Cont  
or complementary? - Is  
so-called Arab Spring,  
twin banners are Liber  
Islam, doomed to colla  
the burden of a contr  
42 minutes ago

 **poorrichards blog**

NATO's Depraved Dis  
Libyan Civilian Casua  
Black Agenda Radio c  
by Glen Ford\* "NATO  
a plan designed for no  
purpose than to hide th  
civilians, to methodica