

Breaking The Silver Manipulation Barrier

Monday, 15 August 2011 01:19 Brandon Smith



In 2011, so far gold has been the champion investment above and beyond any contender, including stocks and equities. At the announcement of the S&P downgrade of America's credit rating, only gold showcased immunity. In fact, gold has thrived (as we predicted) in the face of any potential economic threat, from deflation in stocks, to inflation of fiat currencies. Some may wonder, though, where silver has been while its big brother is flexing its investment muscle? While traditionally, silver tends to follow market surges in gold, the past eight months have been rather confusing for the cheaper metal. Admittedly, silver has performed far beyond the predictions of slow witted mainstream skeptics, but it still has not come anywhere near its true potential, especially in light of gold's incredible strides. Many may be wondering how it was possible for gold to stampede into the \$1800 an ounce range after the downgrade while silver stayed completely static at around \$40 an ounce. The behavior of commodities markets has been, indeed, very strange...

The common assertion by MSM pundits is that because silver has a larger industrial market than gold, silver is affected more negatively when stocks decline. This is absurd logic. Silver is still very much an alternative currency and just as much a hedge against market instability as gold is. All told, silver should actually be MORE apt to increase during economic uncertainty than gold, because of its wider industrial usage and subsequent decreasing supply. The "utility argument" for decreasing silver values just doesn't fly.

As many are well aware, silver is a much smaller market than gold, with fewer primary players in control of tighter trade. Most of us are also well aware that one of these players, JP Morgan Chase, was exposed as a massive silver manipulator in 2010 by commodities trader Andrew Maguire. Gold and silver investors have been demanding a Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) investigation of such manipulation for decades. These demands fell on deaf ears, and claimants were quickly disregarded as "conspiracy theorists". Issuers of ETFs (paper silver or gold) have long circulated silver equities supposedly backed by real metal, but when investors began to notice that the amount of paper issued far surpassed the amount of real silver in actual circulation, the scale of the manipulation in progress became quite clear. Global banks were purposely driving down the value of silver by creating the illusion that there is a greater silver supply than there actually is. JP Morgan has also been caught red handed initiating coordinated naked short selling of silver equities as a way to fool average investors into believing that demand for the metal is falling.

With the Maguire revelation, the hope was that the CFTC would finally do their job and take market manipulation seriously. So far, they have not. Maguire's evidence and testimony have been ignored, investigations were limited to a few pointless committee hearings, and the global bank ETF fraud continues.

Another snake in the grass when it comes to precious metals investment is the COMEX itself. The COMEX is not a free market by any means of the term. It is in fact a highly micromanaged

Surviving *off* Off-Grid Available Now!
Kindle
Paperback
By Michael Bunker
Alternative Questions. Alternative Answers.



MCALVANY FINANCIAL GROUP
Buy Gold and Silver

www.KirkElliottPhd.com

Click here for **FREE** 3 month TRIAL SUBSCRIPTION



ASSET PRESERVATION
INSTITUTE
EMPOWERING YOU IN A CHANGING AMERICA

Preparing Americans for the transformation of America, the collapse of the currency and a prolonged hyperinflationary depression

Click here for a 25% discount

OATH KEEPERS

LIBERTY-MINDED AND MADE-IN-USA BUSINESSES

PLACE YOUR AD HERE

*PROMOTE YOURSELF

*SUPPORT US

INTERESTED IN
SUPPORTING OUR
PROJECT?

PayPal
DONATE



YOUR ALT-MARKET CREW

exchange owned and operated by an organization called the CME Group based out of Chicago. CME is the preeminent hand in the flow of trade in all commodities (at least until recently). Their main method for stifling the rise in metals is the use of "margin hikes". Buying silver equities "on margin" allows investors to borrow capital from a company with a certain percentage of their own cash as collateral, in order to get more silver than they would using their personal funds alone. When the silver margin sits at 50%, for example, an investor with \$10,000 can borrow from the company to buy \$20,000 worth of securities (ETFs). However, if the CME increases the margin from 50% to 75%, that investor will have to quickly increase his collateral by 25% or lower his silver holdings. CME has the ability to make these changes at will, and such margin hikes have the ability to trigger massive sell-offs in metals, especially silver. In May of this year, as silver edged towards \$50 an ounce, CME hiked margins four times! Three times in the span of only seven days! Investors scrambled to unload their ETF's, which they could no longer afford to collateralize, and silver's price plummeted to around \$30 an ounce.

The CME (and the idiots who defend the CME) often claim that they must raise margins aggressively in order to offset market volatility caused by "speculators". Strangely, though, there was NO VOLATILITY in silver markets in May. Not until the CME actually increased margins, creating an engineered dump of equities. This forced reduction in silver prices also greatly benefits consistent short selling manipulators like JP Morgan and HSBC, but that's just a coincidence, I'm sure.

Obviously, someone out there does not like the idea of silver crossing the historic \$50 an ounce mark...

So, the next logical question is; how long will this manipulation go on, and how can we fight back? The keys to the end of commodities manipulation may already be in play, while methods for combating centralized control of metals are increasing. Here's why...

China Competes With The Comex

As of this summer China now has its own Comex, called the Hong Kong Mercantile Exchange. The exchange opened for trade on May 18th (the CME's incredible margin hikes in silver began only weeks before, which suggests to me that they were trying to preempt the positive effects the HKMEX would have on metals). The HKMEX moved into action only five months after the Chinese Pan American Gold Exchange was instituted. The exchange issues its own ETF's in gold and silver. These securities, though, are not based on leverage or derivatives like most Comex based ETFs. The bottom line; the Comex global monopoly on commodities trade is over:

http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/bizchina/2011-01/13/content_11846539.htm

<http://af.reuters.com/article/metalsNews/idAFL3E7II0W920110718>

This would explain gold's unstoppable expansion into the \$1800 range, and how silver was able to climb back after the CME's brutal margin manipulation into the \$40 range. Only last week, the CME issued a margin hike on gold of 22%. Despite this the fall in gold was minimal, showing that their influence, though vast, is beginning to wane. With competition, manipulation becomes more difficult, and room for growth is created.

The new Hong Kong Exchange coupled with the now explosive buying of physical PM's by Chinese consumers is slowly but surely overriding the long prevailing manipulations of corporate robber barons intent on ensuring gold and silver are never treated as a currency alternative to the dollar. Silver markets in the East were set into motion a bit more slowly than gold markets were, but given a little more time, I suspect that the resultant spike in silver prices will be the same.

Global Silver Investment Growing

World investment in silver rose by an impressive 40% in 2010 and industrial use increased by 12%, while global supply from mining production only increased by 5%. Growth of demand severely outweighs the growth of supply. After the opening of the HKMEX, China rushed into silver markets. The CME margin hikes that caused the substantial drop in silver spot price in May only served to create a buying opportunity for those investors smart enough to see the writing on the wall. After the S&P downgrade of the U.S. AAA credit rating, silver values did not skyrocket like gold's, but in the face of extensive manipulation attempts by the CME and major banks, silver's steadfast hold to its current prices says quite a bit about its resiliency.

One very important factor to consider is that silver is the common man's currency, and has been for thousands of years. Both gold and silver are solid hedges against financial crisis, especially inflation. However, silver retains more accessibility. As gold continues its climb into the thousands of dollars per ounce, silver will become more appealing to those of us who want to protect our savings, but can't afford gold. Being that the economic crisis we currently face is unfolding in almost every nation, the demand for a safe haven will increase exponentially. It is only a matter of time before silver is engulfed by an enormous surge of buyers.

With the Federal Reserve continuing to print progressively devaluing dollars, the European Central Bank announcing its own TARP measures, and China in the midst of a full-on inflationary battle royale, national currencies are undoubtedly losing market favor. Gold's price will soon become unreachable for common people, but silver will be there to fill the void.

How To Break The Barrier

Methods for smaller investors to fight back against the market manipulations of large banks have been sparse, and often limited to desperate appeals to the CFTC and the government, who are bought and paid for, and who have no intention of ever stopping global financiers from dragging their unwashed behinds across the face of the planet. Relying on bureaucrats to mend the wounds they themselves encouraged or inflicted is foolhardy, to say the least. Top down solutions are NOT an option now, and I'm not sure if they ever were. This leaves us with only one other choice; to fix the problem with our own hands from the bottom up. This is, of course, easier said than done...

In the case of silver manipulation, what we are faced with is an unprecedented effort to subvert and suppress an alternative system so that the mainstream system can continue to assert control over our financial lives. To effectively confront this issue, we must first end our reliance of the mainstream system. The longer we continue to participate in the fraud, the longer it will go on. Here are just a few strategies for decoupling, and walking away from the rigged game...

1) End The ETF Casino: If you play the ETF lottery, for god sakes, STOP! You are only perpetuating the con-game that is paper silver. While the allure of speed of light silver trade can be overwhelming, the bottom line is that even though you may think you have the market right where you want it, you don't. ETFs are an amazing rip off. Trade fees can nickel and dime smaller traders to death. ETFs being held, even without trade, lose value through numerous surcharges as companies nibble away at your holdings. Most ETFs also will NOT allow you to take physical delivery of silver when cashing out your equities unless you have extensive holdings, and even then, it may take months for the silver to reach your doorstep. Because banks issue ETFs for silver they don't actually have, they would never allow you to exchange them for physical if they can help it. Otherwise, the scam would be exposed, and they would be out of business.

Playing the margins is sheer stupidity when you realize that global banks are hell bent on suppressing silver values. There is no rhyme or reason to silver ETFs and margin hikes beyond the whims of corporate puppeteers. Mainstream analysts can pretend as if there is a hard science to this brand of investment, but in reality, it is a large and very expensive joke. Unless you have a crystal ball, your only other tactic for discerning when to sell is pure luck. The very idea of the CME being able to control the price of physical by hiking the margins of paper securities that represent silver that doesn't even exist is a farce beyond reckoning.

Buy physical, not paper. Be a part of the solution, not part of the problem.

2) Vault Storage Depositories: If you aren't a buy and hold investor, and insist on participating in short term selling strategies, there is an effective (and smarter) alternative to ETFs and the fake paper market. Silver and gold vault storage depositories allow you to buy and store large quantities of physical metal while having the option of liquidating your holdings for cash just as quickly as if you were selling ETFs. Depositories do not charge hidden fees and do not reduce your silver holdings while they are in the vault. What you put in is what you get back. Period.

Because your silver is already sitting in their vault, a mere phone call allows you to liquidate a portion or all of your stock into cash whenever you wish, just like ETFs, but without the fraud. On top of this, depositories will deliver any or all of your silver or gold on demand to your doorstep, usually within 48 hours. If a sizable number of silver investors switched from ETFs to vault depositories, the ETF market would crumble, and market manipulation would end.

3) Encourage Physical Trade: Max Keiser's 'Crash JP Morgan' campaign was an excellent first step in encouraging silver investment by showing average Americans that they can hurt the big banks simply by purchasing something they don't want you to have. The next logical step would be to, of course, encourage larger ETF investors to demand physical delivery on their holdings by showing them the folly of the market itself, and, to encourage average investors to actually utilize the silver they buy not just to crash the banks, but for organized trade.

The construction of silver based barter markets must become a priority. Owning silver is not enough. We must start to use it in place of dollars if we are to have any control over our own economy. Barter efforts like this are becoming much more common, but we are still a far cry from full scale utilization of alternative currencies. With the implosion of

the dollar, it will only be a matter of time before metals take primacy as a means of trade, so why not get a head start now? Eventually, the increased circulation of physical will allow the free market to determine the natural value of silver and gold, instead of the subjugated paper market, until finally, the mainstream spot price is completely irrelevant.

4) Offer Incentives: For business owners or for those who are involved in private barter, offering incentives to those who pay in physical would encourage more silver investment, and by extension, more silver circulation as a currency. Add a certain percentage above spot price for silver trade, or, offer a discount on goods or services to those who pay in silver. Businesses, for that matter, could very well give their employees the option of being paid in silver, completing the currency circle and the flow of commerce. The more silver is used day to day, the harder it is for banks to control, and the more its value will rise.

All economies larger than a small village need a unit of trade beyond the barter of goods and services. They also need a unit of trade that maintains its value and buying power, instead of

devaluing, inflating, and destroying the savings of those who hold it. Precious metals are the only existing option that can take on this role, and silver is the most attainable for average people. There is a reason why MSM analysts and establishment economists have been trying to crush interest in PM's for years. There is a reason why global banks have gone out of their way to suppress the market values of metals. The second Americans realize there are other choices, other systems for living and working beyond the controlled paradigm we have been handed, the illusion slips away, and centralization becomes a memory. This is true for all aspects of economic structure, social structure, and political structure, not just for silver or gold. Ultimately, though, we have to start somewhere, and silver is as good a place as any.

You can contact Brandon Smith at brandon@alt-market.com

Be sure to check out our newest sponsor OffOffGrid.com, and pick up a copy of 'Surviving Off Off-Grid' by Michael Bunker!

To contribute to Alt-Market or our Safe Haven State Project, visit our donations page here:

<http://www.alt-market.com/donate>

Hits: 5565 [Bookmark](#)

Comments (23)

Excellent article!

written by Hognutz , August 15, 2011



There is not to much that one could add.

"Gold is the money of Kings"
 "Silver is the money of the people."
 "Paper is the money of slaves."

Buy and hold. If what I think is going to happen , happens, those holding physical metal will be way ahead in the "game" that we call life.....

vote up vote down report abuse
 Votes: +14

Oh and I forgot,

written by Hognutz , August 15, 2011



I have already been using silver to a degree, Sometimes I will do odd jobs for someone who is a known silver hoarder. When requesting payment I usuall request it in "junk" silver in the appropriate weight ratio. Anyway It is a good win/win situation for us both. ;-)

vote up vote down report abuse
 Votes: +6

silver

written by Les Gov , August 15, 2011



I've been listening to Brandon's advise for years pertaining to silver and gold purchasing. I always took physical delivery and have spread the word for anyone who cared to listen. I have to say i look like a genius to these people now, especially the ones who have made a nice profit. Another good idea is trying to implement silver in our everday lives.

vote up vote down report abuse
 Votes: +3

Margin Increases

written by BajaBadit , August 15, 2011



Margin increases are based on dollar amounts, not percentages. For example, the 5000 oz silver comex contract sells for around \$200,000 (@\$40/oz). Over a two week period in May, mandatory maintenance levels (aka margin requirements) were increased by about 84% from about 10,200 to 18,900 per contract. This affects leveraged hedge funds and does cause temporary decreases in prices. BUT increasing margin requirements is prudent due to higher prices NOT increased volatility. As the price rises so should margin requirements. And this is normal and expected so I don't

consider it manipulative. The coordination of the margin increases in a short timeframe and the coordinated short selling could be considered manipulation or just opportunistic speculation. But the margin increases themselves are actually a good thing for markets because it reduces leverage.

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: -4

Margin Increases

written by BajaBadit , August 15, 2011



One more thing, I would like to see the Comex institute automatic margin increases as the average contract price increases. This would allow traders to factor in increased requirements automatically. Obviously, guessing when the increase will happen allows for insiders to profit.

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: -5

...

written by Brandon Smith , August 15, 2011



@Bajabandit

The CME has never cited margin hikes in response to "higher prices". They have always cited "volatility" as the reason for sudden and violent margin increases. Dollar amounts have absolutely NOTHING to do with margin maintenance. I'm not sure where you are getting that information.

Your position doesn't make sense. Why should the CME hike margins, punishing smaller investors and driving them to sell, simply because a commodity is doing well in the marketplace? It only makes sense if a commodity is being cornered by speculation, and the price is being driven higher through artificial volatility (ala Hunt Brothers). Check your facts.

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: +1

excellent article

written by End The FED , August 15, 2011



Brandon, thanks for the excellent article that included SOLUTIONS, not simply more banter about what we've all (those of us in the know) heard already. It is a welcome and refreshing insight. I've followed your writings for some time now and you are getting better every time I read another article by you. Keep up the excellent work, I agree fully with everything you mentioned especially the part about using silver in commerce as that simple fact gives us a parallel currency to keep our lives running amidst all the bankster fraud.

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: +5

Stocks and Equities

written by Wolfgang , August 15, 2011



Stocks and Equities are the same thing.

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: +2

Vault Storage Depositories

written by newbie , August 15, 2011



Good article. Can you recommend or provide links to a review of Vault Storage Depositories available with competitive charges and rates (buying/selling)? I think it maybe part of the problem too that ETF holders do not understand such "better alternatives" exists. I have personally seen some which charge exorbitant premium/fees/rates that deter people from owning physical. It makes one wonder if these companies are part of the cartel too.

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: +1

...

written by Brandon Smith , August 15, 2011

@Wolfgang

All stocks are equities, but not all equities are stocks. Please google the definition of 'Equities' before trying to correct someone who has been writing on economics and investment for years. It would prevent me from having to explain something so simple to you, and save us both precious time.

[vote up](#) [vote down](#) [report abuse](#)



Votes: +1

...
written by Brandon Smith , August 15, 2011

@newbie

There are quite a few vault storage depositories, and I will not venture to recommend any specific one, however, I would recommend looking into how much of your holdings they insure. I would only do business with a depository that insures 100% of your deposit, and which ensures through contract full physical delivery whenever you see fit to withdraw. Not all depositories are the same, so choose wisely. Ultimately, though, almost anything is better than wasting your hard earned money on the fraudulent ETF market.

[vote up](#) [vote down](#) [report abuse](#)

Votes: +3



...
written by Wolfgang , August 15, 2011

Brandon,

Clearly... writing for years on the topic doesn't preclude you from silly mistakes.

We all know there is such a thing as sweat equity and such, but considering the topic is investment in nature you would have been better to say stocks(equities) and bonds (debt) as per investopedia below.

"In terms of investment strategies, equity (stocks) is one of the principal asset classes. The other two are fixed-income (bonds) and cash/cash-equivalents. These are used in asset allocation planning to structure a desired risk and return profile for an investor's portfolio."

[vote up](#) [vote down](#) [report abuse](#)

Votes: -2




...
written by Wolfgang , August 15, 2011

By the way. What sort of "equities" might you be talking about if not stocks?

My house equity?
my car equity?

[vote up](#) [vote down](#) [report abuse](#)

Votes: +0



...
written by Brandon Smith , August 15, 2011


@Wolfgang

Now you really are wasting my time.

How about ETF's for one. Or REITS. What about derivatives? Clearly you are relying on investopedia a little too much, and not enough on common sense. Please come back again when you have done a little more homework.

[vote up](#) [vote down](#) [report abuse](#)

Votes: -1



...
written by Wolfgang , August 15, 2011

A. your a little sensitive
B. I took your advice and googled equities for your sake and what I found supported my

position. You ignore it.

- C. You are the first person I have ever heard use "equities" to mean anything other than stocks.
- D. ETF's/Derivatives are a convoluted asset vehicle that can hold any securities (bonds, stocks, paper gold)
- E. Reits can also hold stock in addition to real estate.



I am dissappointed that you defend your weak postion when you clearly left out a whole asset class (bonds). My point is that you would be more correct to have used bonds instead of equities.

Equities as you define it could mean anything. Maybe you should have just said equities and not said stocks at all.

For the record, I love your writing. Your very good.

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: +3

...

written by Brandon Smith , August 15, 2011

@Wolfgang



I take offense when people attempt to argue the semantics of a point while ignoring the point itself. I find it petty, and the source of a number of ills in this country. You used one very broad definition and failed to look deeper into the specifics of the matter, which is also another problem very common in this country.

When I mentioned equities, my purpose was to cover all those vehicles that trade like stocks, but are not quite "stocks". ETFs and Derivatives fall into that category, and the rest is a matter of opinion. If you had researched further, instead of grasping onto one line from "investopedia", you would have discovered this. There are certainly gray areas that need to be considered, not just stocks. Bonds were not necessarily pertinent to this particular article. This should have been perfectly apparent, considering you are the only person so far to not get it.

In the future, I suggest refraining from nitpicking nomenclature. Its a nasty habit, especially when its wrongly applied.

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: +3

One last joust (from me)

written by Wolfgang , August 15, 2011

You said previously.

"All stocks are equities, but not all equities are stocks"



True enough... but why then say stocks at all? Just say equities. In any case you left out a very large asset class--- bonds.

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: +1

...

written by Brandon Smith , August 15, 2011

@Wolfgang



At least you are able to admit that not all equities are stocks. The first steps are always the hardest I suppose...

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: -2

HKMEx controlled by rothschild

written by kingin , August 16, 2011

>As of this summer China now has its own Comex, called the Hong Kong Mercantile Exchange. [...] The bottom line; the Comex global monopoly on commodities trade is over.



Perhaps not. At the very top it appears as though the same crowd are still controlling the market. According to an article by Silver Shield:

"... When I first looked into the HKMEX I was mainly looking to see if silver manipulators JP Morgan, Goldman or HSBC were involved. The good news, I did not see that they had any controlling or operational influence in the HKMEX.

"The worst thing I guess I found out of the list of board members was President Albert Helmig was a former VP of the NYMEX. The amount of information on the rest of the board is limited most likely because they are Chinese and English Google is limited. I then looked a little further to see who was paying the board.

"I have discovered that Nathan Rothschild along with the People's Bank of China created the privately owned Hong Kong Mercantile Exchange.

"According to MarketsWiki the HKMEX was founded by En+ Group. On the face of it, it looks like a Russian company is partnering with China as they strengthen ties inside of the anti-Hegemon. When you look at the board of En+ Group you see Nathan Rothschild is at the genesis of this new market that looks poised to take down the dollar."

<http://theintelhub.com/2011/07/26/the-rothschilds-and-the-hong-kong-mercantile-exchange/>

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: +3

HKmex

written by paul925 , August 16, 2011



of course ,in the game above the game , the big players would not allow another exchange to open, unless they had a controlling interest.

Good cop bad cop.

This however does not invalidate the excellent thesis in this article.

Lets get physical !

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: +1

Vault Storage Depositories II

written by newbie , August 16, 2011



Thanks for the reply, Brandon. Much appreciated.

What did you mean by "insure 100% of deposit"? Did you mean buy/sell prices are the same - ie. effective spot price? Would you care to elaborate which ones you know of (but may/may not recommend)? Would you write another article explaining in greater details the solutions you proposed - eg. how to choose depositories for US and foreign investors? Perhaps include your experiences or those you know of (if any)? Many of us are not savvy investors like yourself and feel helpless in fighting the system. Your credibility and experience would definitely help pave the way in "breaking the barrier".

One more thing...I've been reading about silver or gold price manipulation, but can't seem to understand exactly the need for the price suppression in the scheme of things.


Also, if enough people buying ETF's demand for physical, couldn't the manipulation be ended once and for all? They could demand just enough physical to crush COMEX, couldn't they? Surely if led by people like David Morgan, Mike Maloney, Max Keiser, Eric Sprott and James Turk, they would succeed considering the limited holdings COMEX has? Why is nobody leading the way considering that COMEX seems to be the source of the problem?

PS. Don't worry about semantics. I'm sure many can't tell Stocks from Equities even if our lives depend on it. :)

vote up vote down report abuse
Votes: +0

...

written by Brandon Smith , August 16, 2011



@newbie

1. I never recommend specific investment companies, stocks, shares, etc. Detailed investment advice must be tailored to the individual investor, and that's not why I'm here. I'm here more to warn you about what NOT to buy.

2. By "insure" I mean that vault depositories should have an insurance policy which protects your metals holdings while in their custody.

3. In order to understand precious metals manipulation, you must first understand the history of fiat money, and central banking. Fiat money allows banks to centralize control of an economy. Because Gold and silver act as an alternative to fiat, it allows the average person to

step away from the mainstream system. This is an unacceptable prospect for centralists, and so, they use their extensive means to artificially drive down the values of PM's in order to make them less appealing to those who might seek another option to to dollar.

The fist rule of centralization is to remove choices until the masses have only those left which you approve of.

4. I agree that people should demand silver delivery on their ETFs. However, most ETF providers have made it so difficult for anyone without an incredible accumulation of such equities to take physical delivery its nearly impossible to pursue change in that manner.

5. As long as people remember that all stocks are equities, but not all equities are stocks, everything should be fine.

[vote up](#) [vote down](#) [report abuse](#)
Votes: +1

Quiturbichin'

written by Hnery , August 16, 2011



Buy gold if you can.

Buy silver if you can.

Quiturbichin' and nit-wit picking with the author.

Anyone with common sense can see what is happening in all of the world's economies, and this man is just trying to help you see that you can take advantage of those who are trying to take advantage of you thru their price manipulation.

[vote up](#) [vote down](#) [report abuse](#)
Votes: +0

Write comment

title

comment

[smaller](#) | [bigger](#)

name

write the displayed
characters

security image ...
k f l t s

[ADD COMMENT](#)