

## Still Standing: The Building That Proves WTC 7 Was Imploded

admin  
Prison Planet  
February 10, 2009

New videos highlight vivid contrast of Mandarin Oriental Hotel fire to fires in WTC 7 before its free fall collapse



Paul Joseph Watson  
[1] [Prison Planet.com](http://Prison Planet.com)  
Tuesday, February 10, 2009

New videos of the Mandarin Oriental Hotel fire in Beijing highlight the vivid contrast between the damage it suffered as it was completely consumed by roaring flames, yet remained standing, and the comparative sporadic fires across just 8 floors that led to the complete free fall collapse of WTC 7.

9/11 truth debunkers are in a bind as to how to respond to the Beijing skyscraper fire because of the building's similarity in size to Building 7 and the gargantuan fire damage it suffered in comparison with the limited "office fires" witnessed in WTC 7. The Mandarin Oriental Hotel is over 500 feet tall, just 100 feet short of the height of WTC 7.

The fires that consumed the Beijing building were on a completely different scale to those witnessed on 9/11, with the flames so violent and widespread that they masked almost the entire view of the building.

The best debunkers have come up with seems to be the false notion that the fires caused a partial collapse by making the building "lean". This is of course complete baloney because the facade of the building was designed to appear as if it was leaning in the first place, as the image below highlights, with shots before and after the fire.



Since the Beijing building was still under construction, sprinkler systems had not been installed, providing another similarity to WTC 7, in which sprinkler systems malfunctioned before its collapse. The Mandarin Oriental Hotel was also a steel-framed building with a concrete core and may even have included steel salvaged from the debris of the twin towers and WTC 7 that was [2] [hastily shipped off to China shortly after 9/11](#).

Just take a look at the intensity of the fire that consumed the building but failed to bring it down in the following You Tube clip.

Now compare that with the fires that preceded the collapse of WTC 7.

How can any rational thinking person watch those two videos and lend any credence whatsoever to [3] [NIST's claim that "thermal expansion"](#) could have brought down WTC 7 into its own footprint, whereas the dramatic inferno that totally consumed the Beijing building had little structural effect whatsoever?

Apparently forgetting NIST's newly invented "thermal expansion" theory, a scientific discovery that presumably has replaced the laws of physics, this Chinese news correspondent stands perilously close to the building completely unaware that since 9/11, all buildings that suffer minor fires, never mind towering infernos such as this, must collapse within 7 seconds into their own footprint.

Here are another two clips for comparison.

The dramatic flames engulfing the Beijing building, compared to the restricted "office fires" in WTC 7.

Here are four more images of the Mandarin Oriental Hotel ablaze and the aftermath.





Who could have guessed the resulting damage of the two fires? In Beijing...



And on 9/11....





9/11 truth debunking websites have so far been silent on the Mandarin Oriental Hotel fire and are likely to remain so. Yesterday's fire serves to reinforce facts and not the fairy tales that the official 9/11 story is based around - namely that fire cannot weaken or melt steel to the point where a building collapses at free fall speed into its own footprint, without the aid of explosives.

#### Research related articles:

1. [4] [Fire Consumes WTC 7-Size Skyscraper, Building Does Not Collapse](#)
2. [5] [Beijing Skyscraper Fire: The Silence Is Deafening](#)
3. [6] [Leaked NIST Docs: "Unusual" Event Before Collapse Of WTC 7](#)
4. [7] [Debunkers Claim WTC 7 "Hit By A 757"](#)
5. [8] [The failure of the NIST WTC 7 report to address concerns raised in Appendix C of the 2002 FEMA Building Performance Study](#)
6. [9] [WTC 7 Emergency Head Was Building Collapse Specialist](#)
7. [10] [Shyam Sunder's Sham: NIST's Final Report on the Collapse of Building 7](#)
8. [11] [Design of Building 7 — Texas Engineer, Richard Gage, and Alex Jones](#)
9. [12] [About this BBC "hit piece" story about WTC 7... THERE IS A HUGE CONTRADICTION HERE!](#)
10. [13] [Beijing's List of Fakes Keeps on 'Building'](#)
11. [14] ["Unusual Magnetic Forces" Should Not Have Caused the Twin Towers to Collapse](#)
12. [15] [NIST: "Then a Miracle Occurs"](#)

---

Copyright © 2008 PrisonPlanet.com. All rights reserved.