

CREATE A CARTOON YOU!   **myWebFace™**
START NOW

[Opinion](#) > [Chuck Norris](#) > Dirty Secret No 1 In Obamacare



Chuck Norris

Recently Added

- 4 Aug 2009
[What Obama and My Wife Have in Common](#)
 Dear Mr. President: First, happy birthday. I do hope today is an enjoyable day for you and your family. Coincidentally,... [Read More.](#)
- 28 Jul 2009
[6 Reasons Obama-Care Is Bad Medicine](#)
 No one denies that in an affluent country such as our own, it borders on tragic that millions do not have ... [Read More.](#)
- 21 Jul 2009
[Atheists in the Capitol's Foxhole](#)
 I'm a fighter for the freedoms of speech and religion. They are our constitutional rights — what the ... [Read More.](#)

Dirty Secret No. 1 in Obamacare

Health care reforms are turning into health care revolts. Americans are turning up the heat on congressmen in town hall meetings across the U.S.

While watching these political hot August nights, I decided to research the reasons so many are opposed to Obamacare to separate the facts from the fantasy. What I discovered is that there are indeed dirty little secrets buried deep within the 1,000-plus page health care bill.

Dirty secret No. 1 in Obamacare is about the government's coming into homes and usurping parental rights over child care and development.

It's outlined in sections 440 and 1904 of the House bill (Page 838), under the heading "home visitation programs for families with young children and families expecting children." The programs (provided via grants to states) would educate parents on child behavior and parenting skills.

The bill says that the government agents, "well-trained and competent staff," would "provide parents with knowledge of age-appropriate child development in cognitive, language, social, emotional, and motor domains ... modeling, consulting, and coaching on parenting practices," and "skills to interact with their child to enhance age-appropriate development."

Are you kidding me?! With whose parental principles and values? Their own? Certain experts? From what field and theory of childhood development? As if there are one-size-fits-all parenting techniques! Do we really believe they would contextualize and personalize every form of parenting in their education, or would they merely universally indoctrinate with their own?

Are we to assume the state's mediators would understand every parent's social or religious core values on parenting? Or would they teach some secular-progressive and religiously neutered version of parental values and wisdom? And if they were to consult and coach those who expect babies, would they ever decide circumstances to be not beneficial for the children and encourage abortions?

One government rebuttal is that this program would be "voluntary." Is that right? Does that imply that this agency would just sit back passively until some parent needing parenting skills said, "I don't think I'll call my parents, priest or friends or read a plethora of books, but I'll go down to the local government offices"? To the contrary, the bill points to specific targeted groups and problems, on Page 840: The state "shall

More
Chuck Norris

Aug.

Su Mo Tu W
26 27 28

2 3 4

9 10 11 1

16 17 18 1

23 24 25 2

30 31 1

About the

Write the

Printer fri

Email to f

View by Mor

Select mont

identify and prioritize serving communities that are in high need of such services, especially communities with a high proportion of low-income families."

Are we further to conclude by those words that low-income families know less about parenting? Are middle- and upper-class parents really better parents? Less neglectful of their children? Less needful of parental help and training? Is this "prioritized" training not a biased, discriminatory and even prejudicial stereotype and generalization that has no place in federal government, law or practice?

Bottom line: Is all this what you want or expect in a universal health care bill being rushed through Congress? Do you want government agents coming into your home and telling you how to parent your children? When did government health care turn into government child care?

Government needs less of a role in running our children's lives and more of a role in supporting parents' decisions for their children.

Children belong to their parents, not the government. And the parents ought to have the right — and government support — to parent them without the fed's mandates, education or intervention in our homes.

Kids are very important to my wife, Gena, and me. That's why we've spent the past 17 years developing our nonprofit **KICKSTART** (<http://www.kick-start.org>) program in public schools in Texas. It builds up their self-esteem and teaches them respect and discipline. Of course, whether or not they participate in the program is their and their parents' choice.

How contrary is Obamacare's home intrusion and indoctrination family services, in which state agents prioritize houses to enter and enforce their universal values and principles upon the hearts and minds of families across America?

Government's real motives and rationale are quite simple, though rarely, if ever, stated. If one wants to control the future ebbs and flows of a country, one must have command over future generations. That is done by seizing parental and educational power, legislating preferred educational methods and materials, and limiting private educational options. It is so simple that any socialist can understand it. As Josef Stalin once stated, "Education is a weapon whose effects depend on who holds it in his hands and at whom it is aimed."

Before so-called universal health care turns into universal hell care, write or call your representative today and protest his voting Obamacare into law. Remind him that what is needed in Washington is a truly bipartisan group that is allowed an ample amount of time to work on a compromise health care law that wouldn't raise taxes (for anyone), regulate personal medical choices, ration health care or restrict American citizens.

To find out more about Chuck Norris and read features by other Creators Syndicate writers and cartoonists, visit the Creators Syndicate Web page at www.creators.com.

COPYRIGHT 2009 CHUCK NORRIS

DISTRIBUTED BY CREATORS.COM

[Comments](#) [Email updates](#) [RSS Feed](#)



Most Popular Authors



[Austin Bay](#)
Updated 12 Aug 2009



[Walter E. Williams](#)
Updated 12 Aug 2009



[Terence Jeffrey](#)
Updated 12 Aug 2009

Similar Opinions

- 2 Dec 2007
[Is America a Christian Nation?](#)
- 14 Jul 2009
[A Force of One: The Federal Reserve](#)
- 16 Dec 2008
[Atheists' National Holiday?](#)

creators.com
A SYNDICATE OF TALENT



[creators home](#)

Web Development by JJCO

© 2009 Creators Syndicate. [About Creators](#) [Privacy Policy](#)

