

save_{the}males.ca

Mini-Nukes Were Used on 9-11

August 17, 2010



**By Dr. Ed Ward, MD
8-15-10**

Two recent articles regarding the use of mini-nukes were examined very briefly.

[Beware Bogus 9-11 Mini Nuke Theory](#)

and

[Was WTC Demolished by Mini Nukes?](#)

Interesting how what has been described by Bill Deagle MD and Jeff Rense as the best presentation/comprehension of information on the WTC on the web is NEVER

ADDRESSED. Nope, seems they only want to deal with 'others' articles, all of which somehow seem to have easily seen, ludicrous, easily disproven Bogus Science - a ready made poison pill to discredit micro nukes.

Virtually all of the WTC residue has been accounted for in my articles and it is classic, textbook, nuclear reaction residue. In over 2 years, only one reference has been scientifically refuted - Thermite and that was by me.

[The US Government's Usage of Atomic Bombs - Domestic - WTC](#)

[Update: The US Government's Usage of Atomic Bombs - Domestic - WTC](#)

(not an endorsement of the site)

[The 'Traces Of Tritium' WTC 9-11 Lie Is Obstruction Of Justice By Accessories To Murder](#)

[Recently Declassified Video of Testing of the First Micro Nuke - The Davey Crockett - 0.018 kiloton Youtube](#)

YouTube- Declassified U.S. Nuclear Test Film #32

This .018 kiloton micro nuke video shows that a .018 kiloton micro nuke could easily have been basically contained with the WTCs.

The data presented is an above ground detonation. Its crude design would have been unfocused and would have been no where near as clean as a 3rd (neutron) or fourth generation nuke. Based on this referenced proven data, the size of an underground, focused, Minimum Residual Radiation -

http://www.serendipity.li/wot/ed_ward/use_of_abombs.htm

- micro nuke explosion could have been 2 or 3 times as powerful and still been basically contained by the WTC buildings, possibly as large a 1 kiloton, but believe that would be about the maximum that could be used and still be effectively hidden.

Ed Ward, MD

[Recently Declassified Video of Testing of the First Micro Nuke - The Davey Crockett - 0.018 kiloton](#)

YouTube- Declassified U.S. Nuclear Test Film #32

This .018 kiloton micro nuke video shows that a .018 kiloton micro nuke could easily have been basically contained with the WTCs.

The data presented is an above ground detonation. Its crude design would have been unfocused and would have been no where near as clean as a 3rd (neutron) or fourth generation nuke. Based on this referenced proven data, the size of an underground, focused, Minimum Residual Radiation - <http://www.thepriceo.../09/25/ward.htm> - micro nuke explosion could have been 2 or 3 times as powerful and still been basically contained by the WTC buildings, possibly as large a 1 kiloton, but believe that would be about the maximum that could be used and still be effectively hidden.

Ed Ward, MD

The Bogus Science (BS)

Of 'Explosive SuperThermite' Versus The Facts Of A 'Deflagration Compound'

Thermite?

'Understanding The Scam of Thermite on 911' by 'Dick' Eastman/'Dr.' Jones
A simple rebuttal to the lunacy of <http://www.rense.com/general86/therm.htm>

Make \$59,000 in 1 Day on Google - 100% Free

Highly recommended: Free never seen before "make money online" tool for members

Exclusive:

Horrific Predictions for 2012. [Find Out More...](#)

REVEALED: How To Make Electricity! \$200 Kit Has
Electricity Company Execs Calling For Its Ban! [See Now!](#) 

Comments for "Mini-Nukes Were Used on 9-11"

Andy said (August 17, 2010):

The most overwhelming evidence I have found so far about nukes being used is contained in this thread by Dimitri Khalezov, at GLP:

9/11 Nuclear Demolition Of The WTC By Dimitri Khalezov

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1029915/pg1>

Dimitri's original Wiki article, since removed (a la the global warming articles all doctored/removed...)

<http://www.nuclear-demolition-wikipedia.com/nuclear-demolition-wikipedia.html>

Dan said (August 17, 2010):

The World Trade Center was the brainchild of David Rockefeller, who devoted ten years manipulation of the Manhattan port authority, city government, and assorted private interests to give over the land and approvals for the project.

The demolition material (thermite) was built into the towers when constructed. It's really very simple. No 'mini-nukes' or UFO's or holographic plane projections necessary.

The towers were designed to be dropped into their footprint. It's been standard in massive buildings built in cities to plan their demolition before they are even built. After WWII skyscrapers weren't designed to last forever, but to have a service life of about 40 years. It's cheaper to simply install demotion materials during construction than have to install it 40 years later. I assure anyone, the WTC was locked and loaded when it was built and was 'good to go' for the Millennium fireworks show David Rockefeller arranged to stupefy the world.

Tom said (August 17, 2010):

As an engineering physicist, it steadily came to my attention over the past 7-10 years that mininukes and/or micronukes have been directed at many targets other than their highly obvious use on WTC: Bali, Jakarta, OKC, Taba Hilton, Hariri, Al-Khobar, Beirut Marine Barracks, etc etc etc.

Oh, they did definitely use thermite also, in spite of Ward.

They used quite a lot of things.

Denny said (August 17, 2010):

Recognizing the unmistakable GZ evidence of MD is key to understanding what happened there...

Pulverization + Molecular Dissociation = Ground Zero Evidence.

Thermite, thermate, nano thermite etc. is not capable of causing molecular dissociation. This has been proven at <http://911u.org/> and also by Dr. Judy Woods.

As for Dr Steven E Jones, he is a US DOE scientist and has been beyond dishonest. He is part of the problem, not the solution. He and Jim Hoffman's 9/11 truth movement is nothing more than a disinformation hub for the NWO.

What we all witnessed on 9/11 was a highly unconventional release of thermonuclear energy.

Three facts to consider knowing that fissionless fusion IS and has been possible for some time:

- Pyroclastic debris flows => volcano-like energy amount
- Molecular dissociation => Sun-like energy intensity
- Enduring "hot spots" => persistent energy release (not molten steel)

Given how heat disperses, and the radial symmetry about the vertical axis to the destruction of the towers, it is therefore reasonable to deduce that temperatures along a thin line within the core of the towers (think of the lead inside a wood pencil) were very high indeed, probably very much higher than the tens of thousands of degrees at which molecular dissociation occurs.

And lastly, about the steel all being hauled away.....what steel? There was very little steel left because it turned to nano-dust (like the concrete and marble). In fact, 80% of each of the towers 500 million ton mass NEVER hit the ground.....it simply floated off into the atmosphere. All of the convoys of trucks we saw for months coming and going from GZ supposedly hauling away the steel, were in fact hauling DIRT. Dirt? That's right...DIRT! They hauled in dirt, spread it all over the rubble, then cleaned it up and hauled it away. And this went on for years! Didn't this happen at Chernobyl?

Henry Makow is the author of [A Long Way to go for a Date](#). He received his Ph.D. in English Literature from the University of Toronto. He welcomes your feedback and ideas at henry@savethemales.ca

Henry Makow © 2009