

# War In Iraq

- [Iraq News](#)
- [Around the World](#)
- [Current analysis](#)
- [Historical analysis](#)
- [Economics](#)



**Login**

user:

pass:

Remember me

[register](#)



- User Menu**
- Home Page
  - «Mirror of the World»
  - Support the Site
  - Contacts
  - Iraq-War board rules
- 
- Articles
  - Iraq News
  - Around the World
  - Historical analysis & facts
  - Current analysis
  - Economics
  - Hot News
  - Rankings
- 
- Forums

**RSS feeds**

RSS feed for articles and news

**Weather**

**Calendar-Filter**

< Nov > < 2008 >

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

**Today**

## RAND Lobbies Pentagon: Start War To Save U.S. Economy

By: cosmo on: 01.11.2008 [06:48 ] (1792 reads)

Article It is not unusual in the zioUS that corps lobby to get a war started  
 image somewhere, somehow, however, what is eye opening is that nowadays this is being done overtly.

(3600 bytes) [c]

[Print](#)

### RAND Lobbies Pentagon: Start War To Save U.S. Economy

Paul Joseph Watson & Yihan Dai  
 Prison Planet.com  
 Thursday, October 30, 2008

According to reports out of top Chinese mainstream news outlets, the RAND Corporation recently presented a shocking proposal to the Pentagon in which it lobbied for a war to be started with a major foreign power in an attempt to stimulate the American economy and prevent a recession.

A fierce debate has now ensued in China about who that foreign power may be, with China itself as well as Russia and even Japan suspected to be the targets of aggression.

The reports cite French media news sources as having uncovered the proposal, in which RAND suggested that the \$700 billion dollars that has been earmarked to bailout Wall Street and failing banks instead be used to finance a new war which would in turn re-invigorate the flagging stock markets.

The RAND Corporation is a notoriously powerful NGO with deep ties to the U.S. military-industrial complex as well as interlocking connections with the Ford, Rockefeller, and Carnegie foundations.

Current directors of RAND include Frank Charles Carlucci III, former Defense Secretary and Deputy Director of the CIA, Ronald L. Olson, Council on Foreign Relations luminary and former Secretary of Labor, and Carl Bildt, top Bilderberg member and former Swedish Prime Minister.

Carlucci was chairman of the Carlyle Group from 1989-2005 and oversaw gargantuan profits the defense contractor made in the aftermath of 9/11 following the invasion of Afghanistan. The Carlyle Group has also received investment money from the Bin Laden family.

Reportedly, the RAND proposal brazenly urged that a new war could be launched to benefit the economy, but stressed that the target country would have to be a major influential power, and not a smaller country on the scale of Afghanistan or Iraq.

The reports have prompted a surge of public debate and tension in China about the possibility that a new global conflict is on the horizon.

China's biggest media outlet, Sohu.com, speculated that the target of the new war would probably be China or Russia, but that it could also be Iran or another middle eastern country. Japan was also mentioned as a potential target for the reason that Japan holds the most U.S. debt.

North Korea was considered as a target but ruled out because the scale of such a war would not be large enough for RAND's requirements.

The reported RAND proposal dovetails with recent comments made by Joe Biden, Colin Powell, Madeleine Albright and others, concerning the "guarantee" that Barack Obama will face a major "international crisis" soon after taking office.

It also arrives following a warning from Michael Bayer, chairman of a key Pentagon advisory panel, who echoed the statement that the next administration will face an international crisis within months of taking office.

One would hope that good people, or at least sane people who don't wish to start a global nuclear war, will oppose the RAND proposal, such as top the military generals who threatened to quit if Bush ordered an attack on Iran. Admiral William Fallon, the head of US Central Command, quit in March last year as a result of his opposition to Bush administration policy on Iran.

Translations from Chinese provided by Yihan Dai.

SOURCES

Sohu.com - <http://news.sohu.com/20081030/n260330741.shtml>

Ifeng.com - [http://news.ifeng.com/mil/4/200810/1029\\_342\\_851523.shtml](http://news.ifeng.com/mil/4/200810/1029_342_851523.shtml)

<http://www.infowars.com/?p=5654>

[RAND in Wikipedia](#)

20 comments

<input type="radio"/> Only comments	Comments per page <input type="text" value="50"/>
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Article and comments	<input type="button" value="Send"/>

**We have to get rid of the Jews - put them on a insanity program.**  
 by gmmonko on 01.11.2008 [10:02 ]  
 Enough!

**Was this another main reason**  
 by chestergimli on 01.11.2008 [12:09 ]  
 for 911 in the first place. I have always thought that Israel was not the only reason, although the main reason for 911. Remember when Bush told the US citizens to spend, spend, spend after 911. Although the controlled press was quiet about it, our economy was in trouble then. Greenspan took the interest rates down to 1% after that and inflated the housing bubble. I guess they bought themself a little time after the collapse of Long Term Capital Management and the bursting of the dot.com bubble. The bankers, Jews, and the Illuminati are getting desperate at the top. Will sane people prevail? Stay tuned.

**everyone seems quiet about**  
 by dit on 01.11.2008 [13:25 ]  
 what's going on in the east of the DRC (Congo) where so called rebels have captured Goma the capital of the eastern province which is rich in minerals. That's one war they have started and seem to have won (for now at least). The African people and the Congolese people in particular have got to wake up and start fighting back. Because the zionists have inserted their minions (who happen to be of the black skin as well) to kill off Africans en masse

**Well it is an idea.**  
 by ILYANA\_ROZUMOVA on 01.11.2008 [13:32 ]  
 But only retard thinks that it would help the economy. Only help for US economy is to restore balanced budget, and balanced foreign trade. And that can be achieved only through dropping the "free trade" and "globalization" and introduce national socialism, and avoid any kind of war at any cost.

**A fine example of Disaster capitalism!**  
 by gazza on 01.11.2008 [16:20 ]  
 I have a suggestion for the US governemt , instead of making war on overseas powers why not make war on the US population ? Already there is an unofficial war on the US civilians : for example the patriot act , the effect of the globalization on the middle class , the economy emploding , unemployed people ,

homeless people etc .

Why doesn't the government destroys one or more of their cities and then re-build it ? Note use depleted uranium on US soil it is more effective there ! Do not involve the rest of the world with disaster capitalism . use it for yourselves ! I would suggest to start with Washington ....

### **Psy-op, perhaps?**

by Richard on 01.11.2008 [19:19 ]

Feesl a bit like psychological warfare. Psy-ops, perhaps?

I recall reading something by, I think, the US State Dept. in the mid 1990's, which stressed how important it was to convince other countries that the US leadership was irrational and impulsive, reckless and dangerous etc.

The article states that the original report of this came out of Sarkozyland. How did French media get the scoop?

Military conflict with China, or Russia? Is the US War Party seriously considering this? It is more likely a "terror" tactic. The US seems to be saying, "be afraid of us, don't do things contrary to our interests", as it implodes.

Wasn't it the obscene war expenditures that left the US public broke in the first place, by the way? Long-distance wars over a long period of time? "All War, All the Time".

### **"Sarkozyland"**

by Richard on 01.11.2008 [19:21 ]

Carla on my mind, pardon me please. =]

...

by Nopes on 01.11.2008 [21:18 ]

Of course 9/11 was intended as an excuse for wars that were supposed to save the US empire (and its Jewish whore - Israel and USA are on the same boat).

Next wars are simply a follow-up.

There's no Illuminati. There's only the Anglo-Saxon empire that desperately wants to survive and save its cherished Israel.

Greenspan is a halfwit who doesn't know anything. He can't even build proper sentences in English. His job could be done even by Bush. It's a collective work of FED and government employees, with added influence of the financial oligarchy..

### **More evidence of American (Nuclear) War plotting**

by BlackPanther on 02.11.2008 [00:42 ]

"US defense secretary expands pre-emptive war doctrine to include nuclear strikes"  
ht tp://wsws.org/articles/2008/oct2008/gate-o30.shtml

"In a remarkable speech on nuclear policy delivered October 28 at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (CEIP), US Defense Secretary Robert Gates painted a dire portrait of international affairs and argued that Washington should expand the doctrine of pre-emptive war formulated by the Bush administration to include possible nuclear strikes.

It is widely rumored that, in the likely event that Democrat Barack Obama wins next week's US presidential election, Obama will keep Gates as defense secretary. Gates' speech, given in the waning days of the Bush presidency, has the character of a policy declaration of the next US administration."

...

by Nopes on 02.11.2008 [00:44 ]

Rense.com calls this story 'disinfo'

("Rand WWII Recommendation Likely Disinfo").

So you know that Pentagon wants you to think that this story is not true. :)

**Zionist disinfo**

by qvh on 02.11.2008 [06:10 ]

to pit the USA against China.

The USA is a crumbling empire. The Zionists will want it to go down in flames so there is no backlash against the Jews. An awakening empire is a dangerous thing for the Jews. What better way to do that than create an enmity between the new superpower and the old superpower? The Jews fund both sides of any war - they will make money regardless of who wins and who loses. The Jews have their hooks in China now; it is inevitable that China will follow in the footsteps of other empires controlled by the Jews - first the rise ... and eventual fall.

Psy-ops for sure. The Zionists want the Chinese to buy Jewish arms and gird themselves against the US.

And the regeneration of America's economy is not the goal at all. America's economy is beyond saving. It should be obvious to anyone who looks at America's balance sheet. The debits outweigh the credits by a ratio of more than 100:1. And then there is the credit derivatives crash that is hanging over everyone's heads.

And so one can tell that this article is a fraud. Nobody in their right mind would attempt to revive something that is as moribund as the American economy.

And the other way you can tell this conspiracy is a fraud is the lack of mention of Zionists. It names RAND which everyone knows is an American corporation (although not as many realize it's entirely Zionist-controlled).

So we have the same villains again, the Alex Jones and the Infowar crowd, along with the Jewish-dominated liberals, up to the same tricks again - confounding the truth and spreading propaganda, demonizing the "Nazi-like" Americans, and concealing the role of the Zionists. Diversionary tactics which somehow always takes in the foolish.

**did anyone look at the links?**

by sahih\_bukhari on 02.11.2008 [13:49 ]

has this been confirmed from multiple sources? the internet has a tremendous amount of disinfo and garbage. it's not surprising if true, not surprising if false. who didn't foresee the "war to promote terror" is a central asian land-grab, battle of the failing US empire vs. rising Chinese? an east vs. west war is the natural result of the insane logic

of capitalist-judeo-christian fundamentalism. Zbigniew Brzezinski and others wrote about this years ago, The Grand Chessboard. these psychopathic rulers have telegraphed their plans.

i respectfully disagree with those who say "the US is too financially screwed up" to start such a war. a wounded dog backed into a corner is dangerous. don't underestimate the desperation of US leaders.

**These people-the Jews**

by chestergimli on 02.11.2008 [14:35 ]

who want to financially make China into a new US once the US financial system crumbles and drags the economy of the US down with it, will fail. They will sink and be eaten by the leviathan of the deep. Somehow, I know that this is so.

**Another "Morand think tank" saying this**

by djandjolic on 02.11.2008 [16:18 ]

To start war with whaaaatt?? nuclear arm China or nuclear arm Russia, nuclear arm India?????. Better take out Japan with no nuclear arm and yet rich!!!

**on 10/28**

by Richard on 02.11.2008 [18:09 ]

Putin says to China - "we should use our own currencies when we trade".....

Gates, on the same day , says to the world "WE SHOULD USE NUKES!!!!".....and adding "Russia must reduce its stockpile"...

...followed by "lets start a war with a major power"....

and what else?

**@qvh**

by Nopes on 02.11.2008 [21:03 ]

"Zionist disinfo" is a common excuse on Rense.com.  
It's strange, because the site is run by Jews. :)

If people are really serious about such claims, they must say:

1. who is the perpetrator of the disinformation stunt
2. what is the motive
3. what is the goal of it

Is China newspaper run by Jews? Really?

What about Rense.com which is run by Jews?

Jews cling to the US empire because thanks to it they have the power and their Israel can survive.

Without USA there's no Israel.

It's hard for Rense to keep his cover now. All the masks have fallen off because of Obama candidacy.

Rense exposed himself as an agent of influence for CIA/DIA.

**@Richard**

by Nopes on 02.11.2008 [21:08 ]

"Putin says to China - "we should use our own currencies when we trade".....  
Gates, on the same day , says to the world "WE SHOULD USE NUKES!!!!".....and adding  
"Russia must reduce its stockpile"..."

That's exactly the point.

Dumping the dollar by major powers is a death sentence for the US empire (for Israel, too).  
USA may risk a war to save itself. Without the dollar being a reserve currency USA is a nobody.

China can destroy the US currency in a matter of months just by dumping their dollar assets..

**What history teaches us**

by LittleHelper on 03.11.2008 [10:58 ]

History teaches us, that the US cannot simply go to war,  
if their government wants to.

They need some kind of excuse, even if it is a fabricated one. Some 'Gulf of Tonkin' incident, or some 9/11 desaster or such, or at least some grave international crisis.

If a democrat gets US President, the threshold level will even be higher.

Time is running out for the US warmongerers, after the election day on Nov 4th, President Bush has only two months left in power, until January 2009.

This is too short a time for a full blown US invasion of Iran.

Even for an aerial bombing campaign it is too short.

War preparations should already have started, but there are no signs of preparation visible yet.

From this I conclude, there will be no US bombing of Iran.

The US have lost a valuable good in Iraq: they have lost credibility. Whatever they're planning against Iran, whatever incident they try to fabricate, for the World public opinion it will always be a 'deja vu' experience.

People will say 'fool me twice', and that's it. Under such circumstances it is useless to fabricate a 'grave incident', as it doesn't serve the purpose anymore to disguise the true intentions of the US government behind the smoke screen.

**Nopes**

by Richard on 03.11.2008 [15:34 ]

Well, sure, I agree with that. My point was only that this particular info being reported feels more like psych. warfare than truth. The idea that RAND dialed-up the Fiestagon saying "hey, can we get a war started with a major power for the sake of saving the economy" sounds a bit far-fetched.

China is, I think, in full Sun Tzu-mode, wherein it is "best to win without fighting." A lot of people here condemn China for being the buyers/owners of so much US-debt, but how much more vulnerable would China be without it? It puts China in a position of strength, not weakness. "Possess that which cannot be attacked" said Tzu.

Little Helper articulates the inability, at this point in history, of the US to fabricate and/or provoke. Very important. Most of the rest of the world is too wise, in respect to US duplicity, today. The desperation on the part of the US is there, but how do they make it happen?

Bush/Cheney et al, at this point have very little support within the US military I think, and are reduced to being dependent upon CIA/private intel-type of treachery to make things happen. Or the very cowardly hit-kill-run operations of late against Syrian/Pakistani citizens. Major war would depend upon a target-country swallowing some kind of bait, which is not likely.

Expanded war will solve nothing for the US.

### **Plan B?**

by Richard on 03.11.2008 [15:42]

Recall that the Pentagon is busy with it's "avian flu vaccine" program.

Perhaps at this point an avian flu pandemic is a more attractive crisis/distraction than is WWII.

Page: 1/1

### **Posting comments:**

**You should be registered AND logged in user to post comments here.**



WebMoney



Yandex