

**Vote In The National Cheney Impeachment Poll Now**  
 Click above to VOTE now  
 New! Text "IMPEACH" to 30644 to vote Yes.

**Vote to Help OpEdNews Win the Bloggers Choice Awards**  
 click on this ad to see how to vote

**Support Impeachment Hearings**  
 Paid for by Wexler for Congress  
 WexlerWantsHearings.com

Get OpEdNews in your mailbox!  
 E-mail address  Go

- Submit Content
- Table of Contents Sitemap/Groups/FAQ
- Writers Archives
- Rob's Blog
- Support OpEdNews with Your Tax Deductible Donation
- Advertising Rates/Info
- FREE News Box
- Add RSS Feed
- Search Articles
- Login/Signup

**premium left liberal blogad info**  
[Advertise here](#)

**Tags for This Article:**

- Electronic Voting** (2624)
- Voting Integrity** (1985)
- Voting Technology** (1304)
- Vote Theft** (730)
- Election Theft** (529)
- Corporations E-Voting** (461)
- Corporations Voting Machine** (331) **New**
- Hampshire** (251)
- Election-Voting Issues**
- News** (114) **Election Systems**
- And Software** (20) **Optical Scan Voting Machines** (20)
- Election Crime** (14)

**Populum Tag Cloud**

**Control Panel**

View Tags | Fine tune your search to access content

Articles  QuickLinks  
 Diaries  Products  
 All

From: Month Day Year  
 01 11 2004  
 To: Month Day Year  
 01 10 2008

Alphabet  Count ON  
 Popularity  Count OFF  
 This Level  Sub-levels

**your ad here**  
[Advertise here](#)

**TOP EDITORIALS:**  
[SIGN UP TO TAKE BACK OUR ELECTIONS - THE "I COUNT" CORPS](#)

Tag(s): [Corporations E-Voting](#); [Corporations Voting Machine](#); [Election Crime](#); [Election Systems And Software](#); [Election Theft](#); [Election-Voting Issues News](#); [Electronic Voting](#); [New Hampshire](#); [Optical Scan Voting Machines](#); [Vote Theft](#); [Voting Integrity](#); [Voting Technology](#)  
[Add to My Group](#)

January 9, 2008 at 11:16:19

## New Hampshire Election Fraud

by [Ron Corvus](#)

<http://www.opednews.com>



I knew it, I knew it, I knew it.....I knew it HAD to be election fraud.....let the election season fraud begin.  
 New Hampshire Election Fraud: Hillary LOST the paper ballot count but WON the optical scan ballot count. Obama WON the paper ballot count but LOST the optical scan ballot count.

**2008 New Hampshire Democratic Primary Results --Total Democratic Votes: 286,139 - Machine vs Hand** (RonRox.com) 09 Jan 2008  
 Hillary Clinton, Diebold Accuvote optical scan: **39.618%**  
 Clinton, Hand Counted Paper Ballots: **34.908%**  
 Barack Obama, Diebold Accuvote optical scan: **36.309%**  
 Obama, Hand Counted Paper Ballots: **38.617%**  
**Machine vs Hand:**  
 Clinton: **4.709%** (13,475 votes)  
 Obama: **-2.308%** (-6,604 votes)

**2008 New Hampshire Republican Primary Results --Total Republican Votes: 236,378 Machine vs Hand** (RonRox.com) 09 Jan 2008  
 Mitt Romney, Diebold Accuvote optical scan: **33.075%**  
 Romney, Hand Counted Paper Ballots: **25.483%**  
 Ron Paul, Diebold Accuvote optical scan: **7.109%**  
 Paul, Hand Counted Paper Ballots: **9.221%**  
**Machine vs Hand:**  
 Romney: **7.592%** (17,946 votes)  
 Paul: **-2.112%** (-4,991 votes)

**Australian Electoral Roll**  
 Uncover Your Political Past With Our Newest Historical Collection  
[www.ancestry.com.au](http://www.ancestry.com.au)

**Latest Huckabee 2008 News**  
 Get Top Stories on Huckabee, GOP Candidate: Policies, Polls & More  
[www.Newser.com/Huckabee](http://www.Newser.com/Huckabee)

**Antichrist revealed and**  
 the truth about the CatholicChurch after Vatican II, prophecy, videos  
[www.mostholymonastery.com](http://www.mostholymonastery.com)

**HillaryClintonStore.com**  
 T shirts, Buttons, Bumper Stickers, Signs, Hats, Jewelry & More  
[www.HillaryClintonStore.com](http://www.HillaryClintonStore.com)

53 reddit  
 113 diggs  
 digg it



[Printer-Friendly](#)  
[Tell a Friend](#)

**premium rt. liberal blogad info**  
[Advertise here](#)

**Australian Electoral Roll**  
 Uncover Your Political Past With Our Newest Historical Collection  
[www.ancestry.com.au](http://www.ancestry.com.au)

**Richardson Quits Race**  
 Despite experience, NM governor can't compete with big Dem stars  
[www.Newser.com/Election](http://www.Newser.com/Election)

**Antichrist revealed and**  
 the truth about the CatholicChurch after Vatican II, prophecy, videos  
[www.mostholymonastery.com](http://www.mostholymonastery.com)

**10 Rules Losing Belly Fat**  
 Lose 9 lbs every 11 Days with these 10 Easy Rules of Diet and Fat Loss.  
[www.FatLoss4idiots.com](http://www.FatLoss4idiots.com)

**standard ad info**  
[Advertise here](#)

I knew there was something a bit fishy about Hillary winning New Hampshire. First of all, today, all the polls indicated a double-digit lead for Obama. Obama internal polls had him winning by 14 points. Hillary's camp had him winning by 11 points. Even the Hillary camp conceded virtual defeat early on. Even Hillary believed she had lost before the polls closed. I can't recall a primary where a candidate had a double-digit lead the day of the election, but finished several points behind. Even the exit polling showed no sign of a Hillary win. The exit polls showed about

even. Exit polls have a history of accurate projections. Despite this, Hillary maintained about a three point difference the entire evening. AP called it for Hillary with only 61% reporting. CNN still refused to call it for Hillary, as they explained and demonstrated on an electronic map how several key precincts had not come in yet. But that didn't stop NBC calling it for Hillary.

by andi novick and sally castleman  
[Do New Hampshire Vote Count Questions Harbinger Super Tuesday Vote Theft Disaster?](#)  
 by Rob Kall  
[In the Meantime, In Between Time, Ain't We Got Fun?](#)  
 by Michael Green  
[Alarms Should Go Off Whenever the Discrepancies Between the "Official" Results and the Polls Can't Be Explained](#)  
 by andi novick  
[Ron Paul Places Fifth in NH: Now To Whom Do We Turn?](#)  
 by Ryan Morrison  
[How John Edwards Can \(Still\) Win the New Hampshire Primary](#)  
 by Emily Levy  
[The Disconnected Media](#)  
 by Walter Brasch  
[Veteran Jailed for Speaking](#)  
 by David Swanson  
[New Hampshire Vote Results -- Are they for real?](#)  
 by Jean Hay Bright  
[Media Misses Story: Obedwards Wins New Hampshire](#)  
 by Paul Rogat Loeb  
[Can Dems Play A Positive Role In Pakistan?](#)  
 by Muhammad Khurshid  
[Can you spell DEEP TROUBLE?](#)  
 by James Strait  
[ENGLISH FIRST!!!AND WHAT IS SECOND? THIRD?](#)  
 by ALONE  
[Is Senator Cornyn Sincere About Freedom of Information?](#)  
 by John Basel  
[Please sign this open letter to Moveon.org et al re. petitions to topple The Thought Crimes Bill](#)  
 by Kathryn Smith  
[Steve the Palm Springs mail carrier and the price paid](#)  
 by Ed Tubbs  
[What Do a Renowned 9/11 Physicist and a N.Y. Times Best Selling Novelist Have in Common? Harassment by Dis-Information?](#)  
 by Bill Douglas  
[Canada Report - Reaching for Subnation Status](#)  
 by Jim Miles  
[Famed Anti-War Professor and 9/11 Author, Peter Dale Scott JOINS 9/11 COALITION advocating "The Shell Game" by NY Times](#)  
 by Bill Douglas  
[Gym Punditry Suggests "Go Girl" Approach for Hillary](#)  
 by Cody Lyon  
[DEMOLISHED vs. COLLAPSED - The Language of Deception](#)  
 by Adam Ruff  
[Votergate Redux 2008: A Look At The Exit Polls by SDrobny](#)

With 94% reporting, **those key precincts** STILL showed zero per cent reporting. NONE of the TV pundits could explain the differences. Here's one pundit's excuse: "Maybe it has to do with the voting curtain in New Hampshire (private voting) whereas Iowa was public voting."

Today, pundits are still scratching their heads trying to figure out how all the polls were wrong, the Clinton camp projections were wrong; the Obama camp projections were wrong - it just doesn't add up to anything other than **election fraud**.  
 peace, r o n

### News Updates from Citizens for Legitimate Government

09 Jan 2008

<http://www.legitgov.org/>

[http://www.legitgov.org/index.html#breaking\\_news](http://www.legitgov.org/index.html#breaking_news)

[Where Paper Prevailed, Different Results](#) By Lori Price 09 Jan 2008

#### [2008 New Hampshire Democratic Primary Results](#) --Total Democratic

**Votes: 286,139 - Machine vs Hand** (RonRox.com) 09 Jan 2008

Hillary Clinton, Diebold Accuvote optical scan: **39.618%**

Clinton, Hand Counted Paper Ballots: **34.908%**

Barack Obama, Diebold Accuvote optical scan: **36.309%**

Obama, Hand Counted Paper Ballots: **38.617%**

#### **Machine vs Hand:**

Clinton: **4.709%** (13,475 votes)

Obama: **-2.308%** (-6,604 votes)

#### [2008 New Hampshire Republican Primary Results](#) --Total Republican

**Votes: 236,378 Machine vs Hand** (RonRox.com) 09 Jan 2008

Mitt Romney, Diebold Accuvote optical scan: **33.075%**

Romney, Hand Counted Paper Ballots: **25.483%**

Ron Paul, Diebold Accuvote optical scan: **7.109%**

Paul, Hand Counted Paper Ballots: **9.221%**

#### **Machine vs Hand:**

Romney: **7.592%** (17,946 votes)

Paul: **-2.112%** (-4,991 votes)

#### [NH: "First in the nation" \(with corporate controlled secret vote counting\)](#)

By Nancy Tobi 07 Jan 2008 81% of New Hampshire ballots are counted in secret by a private corporation named Diebold Election Systems (now known as "Premier").

The elections run on these machines are programmed by one company, LHS Associates, based in Methuen, MA. We know nothing about the people programming these machines, and we know even less about LHS Associates. We know even less about the secret vote counting software used to tabulate 81% of our ballots. [See also [CLG's Coup 2004](#) and [Yes, Gore DID win!](#).]

**Please forward this update to anyone you think might be interested.** Those who'd like to be added to the Newsletter list can sign up:

[http://www.legitgov.org/#subscribe\\_clg](http://www.legitgov.org/#subscribe_clg).

Please write to: [signup@legitgov.org](mailto:signup@legitgov.org) for inquiries.

CLG Newsletter editor: Lori Price, Manager. Copyright © 2008, Citizens For Legitimate Government ® All rights reserved. CLG Founder and Chair is Michael Rectenwald, Ph.D.

Take action -- click here to contact your local newspaper or congress people:  
[Congress MUST pass new legislation making voting safe, verifiable and secure](#)

[Click here to see the most recent messages sent to congressional reps and local newspapers](#)

[www.corvusblog.com](http://www.corvusblog.com)

<http://www.corvusblog.com> <http://www.roncorvus.com>

[Contact Author](#)

[Contact Editor](#)

[View Other Articles by Author](#)

**Bookmark this page:** [\(what's this?\)](#)

advertise here

Support  
 Impeachment  
 Hearings

What  
 would  
 you ask  
 Cheney?

Join Rep. Wexler's  
 call for impeachment  
 hearings.

Paid for by Wexler for Congress

WexlerWantsHearings.com

Join Rep. Wexler's call  
 for Cheney  
 Impeachment Hearings.

Help us send a message to  
 the rest of the  
 Judiciary Committee that  
 they need to act  
 immediately.  
[Read more...](#)

[Advertise here](#)

**OpEdNews.com**

**TOP LIFE NEWS:**

[WHAT IS DIABETES?-Type two](#)  
 by Kenneth Briggs  
[Retirement Real Estate in Puerto Vallarta, Mexico](#)  
 by Jim Scherrer  
[How to Change Your Mood FAST](#)  
 by Hollis Polk  
[BILL KRISTOL EPITOMIZES DUMBING DOWN OF AMERICA](#)  
 by Allen L Roland  
[The Linux Project: Gentoo revisited](#)  
 by Pappy  
[Capricorn New Moon, January 8, 2008](#)  
 by C.L. Pagano  
[Shameless plug for decadent Gelato: Try the date-walnut, blackberry Cabernet, triple espresso....](#)  
 by Jane Stillwater  
[Mental Health Research Symposium](#)  
 by Kenneth Briggs  
[I have become a Chinese crocodile](#)  
 by Bill Wetzel  
[Metallic Microspheres in WTC Dust](#)  
 by Kevin Ryan  
[WE ARE THE ONES WE'VE BEEN WAITING FOR IN 2008](#)  
 by Allen L Roland  
[Denzel for Best Director](#)  
 by Greg Moses  
[Defending Science from Politics in 2008](#)  
 by Michael Halpern  
[CLINTON CRUMBLES AS OBAMA AND EDWARDS SOAR](#)  
 by Allen L Roland  
[Book Review: The Courage to Survive by Dennis J. Kucinich](#)  
 by Meryl Ann Butler  
[WHAT IS DIABETES?-Type One](#)  
 by Kenneth Briggs  
[A question, lightly held](#)  
 by Tom Driscoll  
[Reaching my father: A](#)

[tribute-and a warning](#)  
[by José Tirado](#)  
[The Curbside Solution](#)  
[by Moti Nissani](#)  
[revisions for The Real Reason the Religious Right is Losing America](#)  
[by Gregory Paul](#)  
[I Think; Therefore, I Don't Eat Meat](#)  
[by Mark Hawthorne](#)  
["Energy Victory" by Robert Zubrin - a book review by Glenn R. Jackson](#)  
[by Glenn R. Jackson](#)

**Comments:**  Expand  Shrink  Hide
 [Post Comment](#)

19 comments

tjb

**count the vote**

And Edwards lest you forget. The people who control these machines would never let anyone who would question, let alone cut back, the Military Industrial Complex receive a winning vote total. John being screwed with Gore and the rest of the country should file a fraud complaint against any black box votes.

Remember Representative Randy Cunningham, now in prison for taking a bribe for his vote. He took the boat, worth about \$ 140,000., to throw the vote on a \$141 million defense contract for just one weapons system.

The magnificent, 'merican, murder machine is humming now baby and they ain't gonna let no stinking will of the majority of Americans, as shown by the actual vote count, choke off that pipeline of blood money.

by **tjb** (0 articles, 0 quicklinks, 34 comments) on Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 12:11:21 PM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)



www.qwizdom.co.uk  
Ads by Goooooogle



[Classics of Liberal Thinking](#)  
[Progressive Radio Links](#)



Ron Corvus

**Election Fraud.....**

I used the Comma delimited database: NH municipalities hand count vs use Diebold machines from [BlackBoxVoting.org](#) to see if there was a deviation between the results from precincts which used hand counts and those which relied on Diebold machines. The results were astonishing. :

Updated: 5:05 AM (EST) - Results tallied for 209 out of 236 of the municipalities.

By Percentage

Method	Hillary Clinton	Barack Obama
Diebold Machines	53.23%	46.77%
Hand Count	47.47%	52.53%

By Votes

Method	Hillary Clinton	Barack Obama
Diebold Machines	82860	72807
Hand Count	18898	20912

By Number of Municipalities Won

Method	Hillary Clinton	Barack Obama
Diebold Machines	54	33
Hand Count	43	77

About 81% of the votes will be "counted" by the Diebold machines.

by **roncorvus** (8 articles, 0 quicklinks, 41 comments) on  
Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 12:15:30 PM

Flag This ?



**Joel S. Hirschhorn**

### Two big points

So, if there is any possible truth about this fraud, WHERE IS THE PUBLIC CONCERN EXPRESSED BY OBAMA?

I remind everyone that I predicted many months ago the eventual Democratic ticket: Clinton/Obama.

Is Obama a good team player???

by **Hirschhorn** (92 articles, 4 quicklinks, 340 comments) on Thursday, January 10, 2008 at 9:01:07 AM

Flag This ?

**delia**

### All of this is moot

Hillary won because she is the elite's preferred candidate, Obama is not. He is there to narcoticize, she is there to win the nomination.

by **delia** (0 articles, 0 quicklinks, 78 comments) on Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 12:40:06 PM

Flag This ?



**Ron Corvus**

### election fraud found here.....

The exact same make, model and version hacked in the Black Box Voting project in Leon County is used throughout New Hampshire, where about 45 percent of elections administrators hand count paper ballots at the polling place, with the remaining locations all using the Diebold version 1.94w optical scan machine. Because the voting machine locations tend to be urban, this represents about 81 percent of the New Hampshire voters. <http://www.blackboxvoting.org/>

Presidential selection caucuses - how secure are they?

#### 1-7-08: Silvestro the Cat & New Hampshire Elections

UPDATE JAN 9 9am PST: TOWN OF SUTTON CONFIRMS RON PAUL TOTALS WERE 31, NOT ZERO.

I just got off the phone with Jennifer Call, Town Clerk for Sutton. She confirmed that the Ron Paul totals in Sutton were actually 31, and said that they were "left off the tally sheet" and it was human error.

This is not an acceptable answer, especially because one of the most common forms of fraud in a hand count system is to alter or omit results on the reporting sheet. Hand count is lovely, transparent. They then fill out another reconciliation sheet, often in front of witnesses, and it looks fine. Then they provide a summary or media sheet with the incorrect results.

A Web site here: <http://www.wheresthepaper.org> has more on fraud techniques with hand counted paper ballots. You'll have to dig for it -- or Google, and the excellent research on this is Theresa Hommel from the state of New York.

\* \* \* \*

Comma delimited database: NH municipalities hand count vs use Diebold machines:

<http://www.bbvdocs.org/NH/state/Jan-08-votingsystems-NH.txt>

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

John Silvestro and his small private business, LHS Associates, has the

exclusive programming contracts for all New Hampshire voting machines, which combined will count about 81 percent of the vote tomorrow.

Silvestro IS the New Hampshire chain of custody

Or at least a very large component in it.

Last fall, with the help of some New Hampshire citizens, Black Box Voting began working on a "New Hampshire Chain of Custody" project, in which we identified some of the areas of concern that might affect many jurisdictions at once. First on the list is LHS Associates, a vendor with inside access to every memory card in New Hampshire, as well as to the chips containing the "brain" of the Diebold optical scan machines.

LHS Associates programs all the memory cards in New Hampshire and Connecticut; about all of Vermont's voting machines, and has a lock on almost all of Massachusetts as well.

#### RARE VIDEO FOOTAGE

In an unusual confluence of available video, we obtained footage of Silvestro grappling with Harri Hursti, the master hacker who had his way with the Diebold optical scans in Leon County, Florida in the famous exploit that was showcased in the film Hacking Democracy.

The exact same make, model and version hacked in the Black Box Voting project in Leon County is used throughout New Hampshire, where about 45 percent of elections administrators hand count paper ballots at the polling place, with the remaining locations all using the Diebold version 1.94w optical scan machine. Because the voting machine locations tend to be urban, this represents about 81 percent of the New Hampshire voters.

The video shows Harri Hursti testifying on Sept. 19 before the New Hampshire legislature, attempting to explain significant vulnerabilities requiring urgent mitigations; throughout his testimony, Silvestro inserted his own comments, opinions, misstatements and speculations.

#### VOTING MACHINE CHECKUP

One area of disagreement between Hursti and Silvestro was the amount of expertise needed to exploit the Diebold 1.94w optical scan system. Silvestro claimed (in a strange contortion of reasoning) that he doesn't hire very skilled programmers, implying that this makes New Hampshire elections more secure.

Hursti pointed out that hiring programmers with a lack of knowledge is generally not considered a security feature, and also that an average high schooler can learn to exploit the... [More](#)

#### **1-4-08: Eh? Iowa Republicans STILL missing 65 precincts in results**

It isn't even a skillful magic show.

The Republican caucuses usually take only half as long as the Democratic caucuses, due to simpler procedures. Yet the Republicans are lagging behind the Democrats now by over TEN HOURS in having all their results in. Results delays are a red flag.

Alert CSPAN watchers caught TV screen shots of Giuliani vote totals going down by a couple thousand votes midstream in, I believe it was, Linn County. That needs a confirm; screen shot images were posted on various blogs, but if anyone recorded the coverage and can corroborate those screen shots, please let us know.

As many of us know, vote totals that go DOWN during the middle of the count can be an error, but also can signal an election theft tactic whereby votes from a candidate finishing very low in the pack are skimmed off and given to a favored candidate higher in the pack. If corroborated, the vote total shift will be another red flag.

And with the Republican race, I wouldn't look first at fraud in the number one position. I'd look for it in the area of repositioning candidates in the second through sixth spot.

- The delay in results is a red flag.
- The vote totals going down during the count is a red flag.
- The failure to release county results in live time, as promised in a Republican Party press release on Jan. 2, is a red flag.
- The failure to release precinct totals at all is a corrupt procedure. Note that the day before the caucuses, after much pressure, the Republicans did (belatedly) promise to release the precinct results on election night. They didn't.

In addition: I'm trying to get information on whether the public was kicked out of the room during hand counting in all locations, or just some.

I will be publishing some reports by caucus attendees in the "Front Lines" section later today.

There is absolutely no reason to consider any of the Republican caucus results to be credible.

I'm not saying they are wrong, I am saying the breakdown in checks and balances was stunning enough to call it not a magic show, but a bad magic show.

Bev Harris [More](#)

by **roncorvus** (8 articles, 0 quicklinks, 41 comments) on Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 12:43:35 PM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

---

**Susan Nelsen**

### **Fraud in the primaries is more important**

right now..it lets those who control the votes, control *who* gets the votes..I noticed that Edwards..(and almost all the other Democratic nominees hit their "peak" after only a fraction of the votes were in)...and *that* is were their numbers stayed through out the entire process....no more votes for *any* of the candidates, except Clinton and Obama....you mean to tell me that after only 20% of the votes were counted....not *any of the remaining votes* were for Edwards, Richardson, Kucinich..? I wonder what the "odds" on that are?

by **Chabuka** (0 articles, 0 quicklinks, 113 comments) on Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 1:05:09 PM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

---

**John Sanchez**

Jr.

### **It may be Edwards that payed the price of election fraud**

Obama's final tally was four or five points from where he was polling the day before. Unquestionably many of his votes were flipped, but not enough to account for Hillary's total. That would suggest a strong possibility that Edwards was also victimized by the fraud.

by **eyesopen** (0 articles, 0 quicklinks, 189 comments) on Thursday, January 10, 2008 at 10:19:46 AM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

---

**dave stanley**

### **"New Hampshire Election Fraud"**

IT's over don't you get it

They play with the American people like they are toys.

next on the agenda is a mass wipe out of the economy Depression

all the troops are gone, Black water will march in the streets ,while mexican drug lords knock down your doors brandishing machetes.

Riots are coming so is marshal law

Fema camps all shiny and new are waiting just for you

the North American union is coming

" we shall have world govt, the only question is will it be by consent or conquest. "

For American it is conquest total utter defeat

the troops wont be coming back those that do will be so sick of sucking up depleted Uranium they wont be much use as a force.

the day of keeping the vigil on freedom has long since past . It is now the day of the locusts, Freedom died along time ago ,your masters now have other plans for you.Boom Boom boom boom McCain or Hilary with the god syndrome will be the only choices allowed for the elections.

I look south and wonder what happened to America in the last 50 years it has become its own nemesis

by **cando** (1 articles, 0 quicklinks, 62 comments) on Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 1:50:57 PM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

---

**Jeanette Doney**

### Go Ron Paul

You wrote what could be the issue that puts the fire in the belly's of RP supporters.

by **jeanette** (0 articles, 0 quicklinks, 87 comments) on Thursday, January 10, 2008 at 8:09:36 AM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

---

**Better World Order**

### No confidence

that this Primary accurately reflected the People's will.

Obama was ahead in all polls beforehand, and Diebold machines counted the vote.

Not that Obama can be trusted, with his 356 bundlers- it's just that Clinton and her hubby have proven more thoroughly that they can be counted on to serve the interests of the corporate-military-industrial-intelligence-financial-insurance complex over the public's interest.

That said, the People still need to turn out to vote, as a testimony against these subhuman corporate criminals.

by **zabljort46** (2 articles, 15 quicklinks, 165 comments) on Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 2:17:46 PM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

---

**Kathlyn Stone**

### Diebold and ES&S...

after all that's happened, why are they still counting votes?

by **kstone** (25 articles, 137 quicklinks, 361 comments) on Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 9:18:50 PM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

---

**Mr M**

### Why?

Because they can. There's no one to stop them. That there's a vested interest in making sure that "we the people" remain invisible.

It's only those that have spent any time studying history of this country that know this country is a fraud. All virtuous and principled on the outside and all vile and corrupt on the inside. Everything is always skewed to favor those with the money and

power - it's simply humane nature. The big dog never gives up the bone to the little dog till he's done chewing out the marrow.

by **Com\_n\_Sense** (0 articles, 0 quicklinks, 540 comments) on Thursday, January 10, 2008 at 10:04:55 AM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)



**Michael Morris**

### **We have joined the third world!**

In just 8 years we have erased the blood used to defend what was the greatest nation on this planet.

Now we are just like every other third world nations with rigged elections.

by **rt41racer** (5 articles, 0 quicklinks, 61 comments) on Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 9:24:06 PM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

**delia**

### **The only thing that surprises ...**

me about this incidence of fraud is that it happened so early in the process. I wasn't expecting it until Super-Tuesday. But I guess the Dems got freakt at the strength of Obama's momentum, so they decided they'd better eliminate him before he pickt up so much speed that he couldn't possibly be stoppt. No doubt the Repubs were party to this fraud, as they, too, would be fearful of that kind of momentum, which could have conceivably carried him straight to the White House.

And tonight the media are playing the role that the Republicrat elite would have them play. They are all debating this huge dislocation between the polls and the results as if it were something they could analyse into making sense.

As I wrote last night, I hate it when I'm right about these things, but I think we can take a Clinton vs. McCain fight for the White House to the bank.

by **delia** (0 articles, 0 quicklinks, 78 comments) on Wednesday, January 9, 2008 at 11:43:36 PM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)




**Ron Corvus**

### **Election Fraud Extravanganza**

PLEASE READ ALL THIS!!!

**ALL Diebold, ALL the Time  
It's the New Hampshire Primary**  
Tuesday, 8 January 2008, 7:55 pm  
Opinion: Michael Collins



(c) 2004-06 Rand Careaga/salamander.eps

"1st in the Nation" with Corporate Controlled,  
Secret Vote Counting

By **Nancy Tobi**

Democracy for  
New Hampshire

See also... ***Citizens Gone Wild PowerPoint Presentation***

**Introduction. The more things stay the same, the worse they smell**

- By **Michael Collins** - "**Scoop**" **Independent News** - Washington, D.C.

Tomorrow's New Hampshire primary represents a major turning point in the presidential primaries. We've got the rising star of Obama, the stunned Clinton camp, and the populist efforts of the fast moving Democrat, John Edwards, just off a 9% increase in the national polls. At this juncture, the Republican race is less compelling unless you happen to be John McCain or Mitt Romney.

Does Obama's highly favorable corporate media image stack up against reality? Is this the end of Hillary, or at least the beginning of the end? Can Edwards kick in the door with a strong showing and demand coverage? Will Ron Paul embarrass Giuliani by edging him out for fourth?

**We'll never know for sure.**

Why? It's been nearly eight years since the debacle of Florida and nearly six since the miracle **Chambliss win** against Cleland. Surely we have reliable, verifiable voting systems in place? It's been almost four years since the **nationwide disaster** of the **2004 election** with **irregularities** still emerging.

Hasn't all this been fixed?

You'd think so. But, the answer is definitely no. Votes are still taken by voting machines produced by vendors highly sympathetic to the **Republican Party**. The machines are still off limits to those who want to examine how they operate and observe real vote counting. And good luck if your candidate loses and there's fraud or voting machine problems suspected.

You're out of luck. You can't hire outside experts to look at the mission critical software in the **optical scanners (Sec. 1.5)**. You'll have a great deal of difficulty examining the paper records with voter marked choices. Don't count on seeing any recounts either. Almost all the states have high hurdles before you can request and get one of these simple verification tools (See Appendix 2).

Even with a relatively accommodating state like New Hampshire, only candidates can request a recount, but recounts are almost unheard of in presidential primaries. Citizens are not allowed to request and get recounts in the "granite state."

We may have 'paper records' with the paper forms counted by New Hampshire's optical scan voting machines, all made by Diebold. We surely don't have access to those forms unless there's a recount. The presence of 'paper records' with optical scans means nothing if citizens can't examine them directly; if citizens can't request and get a recount quickly. It's all in the hands of the candidates and parties despite the fact that the election belongs to the citizens.

Here's voting rights activist Nancy Tobi with an incredibly succinct analysis of New Hampshire's primaries and the 81% of votes counted by Diebold optical scanners.

\*\*\*\*\*

NH: "1st in the nation" with corporate controlled secret vote counting  
By Nancy Tobi  
[Democracy for NH Article Link](#)

81% of New Hampshire ballots are counted in secret by a private corporation named Diebold Election Systems (now known as "Premier Election Systems"). The elections run on these machines are programmed by one company, LHS Associates, based in Methuen, MA. We know nothing about the people programming these machines, and we know even less about LHS Associates. We know even less about the secret vote counting software used to tabulate

81% of our ballots. People like to say "but we use paper ballots! They can always be counted by hand!"

But they're not. They're counted by Diebold. Only a candidate can request a hand recount, and most never do so. And a rigged election can easily become a rigged recount, as we learned in Ohio 2004, where two election officials were convicted of rigging their recount. (Is it just a funny coincidence that Diebold spokesman is named *Mr. Riggall?*)

We need to get the count right on election night. Right now, nobody in New Hampshire, except the programmers at LHS Associates and Diebold Election Systems, knows if we are getting it right or wrong. Our state officials and representatives know this. They learned all about it when computer security specialists [Harri Hursti](#) and [Bruce Odell](#) testified before the legislative subcommittee on e-voting in September 2007 (Hursti's testimony is shown in this video). Scientific reports about the vulnerabilities and risks with Diebold optical scanners have been available since 2003.

**We love our state. It takes courage and strength to admit where we are going wrong and to fix it. May our state officials and representatives find that courage and strength soon. Before we lose the other 19% of our votes.**

END

*This article may be reproduced in whole or part with attribution of authorship and a link to this article.*

**Appendix 1:**

[Citizens Gone Wild: Taking Control of Our Democracy](#)  
[PowerPoint File](#)  
[Nancy Tobi, Democracy for New Hampshire](#)  
[Election Defense Alliance](#)

**Appendix 2: Recount Triggers**

Below find a summary of the [electionline.org survey \(p. 3\)](#) on recounts across the country.

**Candidate-initiated recounts:** Losing candidates: 14 states, recounts only if race is very close; 25 states, requests allowed whatever outcome, usually with a cost per ballot.

**Voter-initiated recounts:** In 11 states, voter requests honored for candidates or ballot initiatives. In 7 states, voter requested recounts for ballot initiatives only.

**Close election:** 16 states have automatic recounts when the race is within 1%.

**Automatic recounts:** California, Kentucky, New York and West Virginia sample a small percent of ballots to check voting machines.

**No recount provisions:** Hawaii and Mississippi. Depends on litigation.

**Appendix 3: Voter Attitudes Toward Electronic Voting and Honest Elections**

[New Zogby Poll on Electronic Voting Attitudes](#)

[Scoop: Zogby - Voters Question Outcome Of '04 Election](#)

APPENDICES END

<http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL0801/S00057.htm>

by [roncorvus](#) (8 articles, 0 quicklinks, 41 comments) on Thursday, January 10, 2008 at 8:28:24 AM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)



Ron Corvus

**DEMAND A RECOUNT! EXAMINE THE OPTICAL SCANNERS!**

I am an EXPERT on election fraud, I can guarantee you election fraud occurred in the New Hampshire Primary. I know groups of mathematicians, statisticians and analysts; I emailed them the N.H.

final stats; they reviewed it; they ALL said it was solid evidence of election fraud and those optical scan machines MUST BE EXAMINED! The same company and CEO who used those SAME optical scanners STOLE the election for Bush in 2000 and 2004. This is a well-documented fact.

NO ONE IS DOING ANYTHING ABOUT IT!

CALL THE MEDIA! CONTACT THE MEDIA! RAISE PURE HELL ABOUT THE NEW HAMPSHIRE ELECTION FRAUD!!!

Kucinich was ripped off; Ron Paul was ripped off; Romney BENEFITTED from the election fraud; Huckabee was ripped off.

Hillary did NOT win New Hampshire!!! The election was STOLEN for her and Bill! The fraud perpetrators gambled in Vegas on the election and won MILLIONS AND MILLIONS!!!!!! They took advantage of Obama's popularity and the pre-election polls to bet and win bigtime!!!

It's a TOTAL AND COMPLETE RIPOFF!!!!!! STOP IT NOW!!!!!!

.r o n

Anonymous Says:

**January 10th, 2008 at 2:05 am** Ok folks, here is the deal. A recount in NH is a piece of cake.1. First, it only costs \$500 for the primary (not \$65K+ as some have said).

<http://www.nh.gov/nhinfo/disputed.html>2. There were 42 presidential candidates on the ballot and any of them can request a recount. I believe I have found one of those candidates who would be willing to do so.

His name is Albert Howard and he received some 40 votes in NH primary and is eligible to request a recount. He also seems to be a Ron Paul supporter and has interviewed Paul. His website is

<http://alberthoward.org>

[Read More »](#)

by **roncorvus** (8 articles, 0 quicklinks, 41 comments) on Thursday, January 10, 2008 at 8:30:39 AM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

---

Mr M

### If voting could change things ...

... they'd make it illegal.

by **Com\_n\_Sense** (0 articles, 0 quicklinks, 540 comments) on Thursday, January 10, 2008 at 9:49:12 AM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

---

Chris

### Demand a recount

<http://grannywarrior.chipin.com/recount>

by **its106miles** (0 articles, 0 quicklinks, 2 comments) on Thursday, January 10, 2008 at 1:12:26 PM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

---

john riggs

### If a convicted felon cant vote

why is Mr. Fazzel a convicted drug dealer programming the voting machines for LHS associates ???? I was angry after the results with the NH voters. Now with the news of fraud I am angry with the Paul campaign for the silence on this matter. If RP wont fight to protect our votes now what is to make me think he will fight for us in the whitehouse? I wish I had spent the money I sent for donations to Paul on ammo or food stores. This nation is toast.

by **johnkriggs** (0 articles, 0 quicklinks, 102 comments) on Thursday, January 10, 2008 at 3:26:17 PM

[Flag This](#) [?](#)

19 comments

[Post Comment](#)

 [Tell a Friend](#)

---

**Copyright © OpEdNews, 2002-2008**