

KILLING THE HUNDREDTH MONKEY: The Battle for Control and Censorship of Canada's Internet by the B'nai Brith and the Canadian Jewish Congress

[Editor's Note: The article below is one of extreme importance to anyone who values their God-given, human right to speak their mind without fear of retribution or litigation. The Internet is under extreme attack by the forces which are discussed at length in this document. Please read it slowly and carefully and think long and hard about what it says because if these anti-free speech organizations ever gain the control over the Net that they want then we may as well turn off our computers and go to Plan B. I would ask that you please pass this article on to as many of your friends and associates that you can and ask them to do likewise. To find the url to the article just click on the title and it will take you to the specific page.

As a Post Script to these comments I would like to add one further thing. Normally it is rare for me to solicit for donations from readers but in this case I need to make an exception. I have no way of knowing where this resistance to oppression will lead but I do know that it has already consumed over 5 weeks of my normal time spent attending to my carpentry business and has cost me thousands of dollars. So if you are concerned and able to help me out please see the contact information contained at the end of this article. Thank you. Arthur Topham, Ed.]

KILLING THE HUNDREDTH MONKEY: *The Battle for Control and Censorship of Canada's Internet by the B'nai Brith and the Canadian Jewish Congress*

**By Arthur Topham
Pub/Ed
The Radical Press**

“Up among the firs where it smells so sweet
or down in the valley where the river used to be
i got my mind on eternity
some kind of ecstasy got a hold on me
and i'm wondering where the lions are...
i'm wondering where the lions are...”

**Wandering Where the Lions Are
Bruce Cockburn, Musician & Songwriter**

“When the power of love overcomes the love of power,
the world will know peace.”

~Jimi Hendrix

‘How shall a man judge what to do in such times?’

‘As he ever has judged,’ said Aragorn, ‘Good and
evil have not changed since yesteryear.’

**J.R.R. Tolkien,
The Two Towers**

“What kills a skunk is the publicity it gives itself.”

~ Abraham Lincoln

“By Way of Deception Thou Shalt Make War.”

Motto of the Israeli Mossad

Back in 1981 during the heyday of the Anti-nuclear Movement, Ken Keyes, Jr., a well-known writer of the time, published a classic book entitled *The Hundredth Monkey*.

In a nutshell the Hundredth Monkey Phenomenon was the result of scientific investigation of a Japanese monkey, *Macaca fuscata*, which had been observed in the wild for over 30 years.

In 1952 the scientists were giving the monkeys sweet potatoes that they dropped in the sand along a river. At one point an 18-month-old female named Imo, tired of eating sweet potatoes covered with sand, decided to wash hers off in the nearby stream. She then taught this technique to her mother and her playmates. From 1952 to 1958 scientists noted that all the young monkeys learned this trick but the older monkeys who didn't imitate the younger ones continued eating the sand-covered spuds.

Then, in the fall of 1958, something truly amazing happened. The monkeys on Koshima Island where this was all occurring reached a threshold in numbers one day and (using the figure of 99 monkeys) suddenly the 100th monkey also learned the new trick and by that same evening almost all the monkeys in the tribe were washing their sweet potatoes off.

As Ken Keyes, Jr. wrote, "The added energy of this hundredth monkey somehow created an ideological breakthrough!" But, as he goes on to further explain, that wasn't all. Spontaneously the habit of washing sweet potatoes "jumped over the sea" and "colonies of monkeys on other islands and the mainland troop of monkeys on Takasakiyama began washing their sweet potatoes!"

Based on these events Keyes, Jr. concluded, "Thus, when a certain critical number achieves an awareness, this new awareness may be communicated from mind to mind. Although the exact number may vary, the Hundredth Monkey Phenomenon means that when only a limited number of people know of a new way, it may remain the consciousness property of these people. But there is a point at which if only one more person tunes-in to a new awareness, a field is strengthened so that this awareness reaches almost everyone!"

This story, as both fact and metaphor, aptly and succinctly describes what has been happening to the Internet over its past thirty year evolution. In this regard one might also reflect on the words of a speech "The Internet vs. the State" given by Eric Garris, an activist in the USA, to a group of Libertarians back in 2005 where he said:

"At the 1977 Libertarian Party Convention, mind-expansion advocate and LSD guru Timothy Leary gave a speech that few of us took very seriously. He spoke of something called the Internet, a network that would connect computers worldwide, allowing participants from around the globe to sign on and retrieve text, photographs, audio and video instantaneously, and to communicate in real time with anyone in the whole world who also had a computer and a connection. He said that it would be the new revolution against the current social order and stifling status quo. He predicted it would be much, much bigger than drugs in its ability to overthrow the establishment. Whereas tuning in, turning on and dropping out had been of great interest to a somewhat narrow subset of the population, everyone would be able to use the Internet, in his own way, and thus the new revolution against the old order would transcend class, age, nationality and all other demographics. The bourgeois would have just as much interest and use for it as the so-called counterculture. And nothing would ever again be the same.

As I said, no one at the time really believed it. We figured Leary had just done a little too much acid and his imagination had gotten the best of him. The network of information he described seemed totally impossible – and yet it exists, precisely as he predicted it, right now."

There is no longer any doubt in 2008 that Timothy Leary was, in this sense, a prophet in his time. The number of Internet users is so vast and the information so quantitatively and qualitatively expansive that it has become the most stupendous, liberating, truly democratic and open communications system our world has ever known.

But, these positive aspects of the Internet do not bode well for everyone or for every institution that existed prior to its advent. The old, centralized state mentality, along with its controlled and complicit media system and its influence, are now being left behind in the digital dust so to speak as Internet users turn more and more to the Net and to alternate news sources and blogs to find a much greater and broader expanse of opinion and analysis when it comes to the presentation and understanding of current events and their underlying root causes.

This new situation thus creates for those old-paradigm groups not only a challenge in terms of their diminishing effectiveness in maintaining their agendas but also a major public relations problem in terms of their ability to continue to portray their reality and history in the same light that they were accustomed to in the past. Hidden knowledge about the skeletons within their closets is now being revealed *en masse* to millions of Internet users and this power of persuasion which they once held firmly within their grasp has now been virtually torn from their hands and the flaming torch of freedom of thought has been let loose and is lighting millions of other torch/minds around the globe. It is a threat that the old order is being forced to respond to as it faces losing its pseudo-credibility and the prospect of sinking into the Cyberian sunset never to arise again with the power it once wielded over public opinion.

I have stated on numerous occasions over the years that the Internet is the Achilles Heel for those who cling to maintaining the old political/financial/social order; one that has brutalized and terrorized and held humanity in bondage for centuries. Nowhere are Canadians now seeing this looming struggle between the forces of the old and the new than in the present attempts by the Zionist-controlled League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada and the Canadian Jewish Congress to silence critics of both the state of Israel and Israel's propaganda arms in this country of which they are the two main proponents. To these

organizations the Internet is a clear and present danger to their past power and glory and they do not want that power and glory challenged and will use any means at their disposal to kill that Hundredth Monkey and keep the “new awareness” from reaching the people. Whether this means subverting Canada’s judicial system and perverting its processes for their own purposes and doing all in their power to put into jail cells anyone who would resist their fascist, totalitarian designs, these false front organizations are out to fight tooth and nail to protect their traditional racket and its territory.

The following lengthy “Response” to the Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC) and to the charges which Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada have brought against both myself and my website form the opening chapter in my personal encounter with these groups that I now wish to share with the cyber public. It is basically my analysis of how I perceive this looming battle, its various strategies and why it is now occurring. I hope that others can learn from my experiences and join with the numbers of growing individuals and groups and organizations from across the Canadian Internet community who are waking up to this imminent and challenging danger to our basic human right to open access to information.

On November 20th, 2007 I received an envelope via Canada Post addressed to me and my website

<http://www.radicalpress.com>

. The envelope had no return address on it nor was it registered. Upon opening it I learned that it contained a number of photocopied letters from the CHRC that had been sent to me earlier but had the wrong address on them and were returned. Also included in the envelope were copies of a “Complaint” sent to the Commission by Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada. Harry Abrams is the B.C. representative for said organization. The complaint was identical to one previously sent to another website owner in the spring of 2007, Al Rycroft of <http://www.PEJ.org> . The nature of the complaint thus worded contends that I and my website RadicalPress.com are contriving “...to promote ongoing hatred affecting persons identifiable as Jews and/or as citizens of Israel”.

As is the case in matters of this nature, when the CHRC consents to investigate such charges they ask the person or organization accused to reply to the charges. This reply is known as the “Response”. Below, please find my Response to these spurious charges.

From:

Arthur Topham

Pub/Ed

The Radical Press

Canada’s Radical News Network

radical@radicalpress.com

<http://www.radicalpress.com>

“Digging to the root of the issues since 1998”

Wednesday

January 2, 2008

To:

Sandy Kozak sandy.kozak@chrc-ccdp.ca

Anti-Hate Team Investigator

Canadian Human Rights Commission

Investigations Branch

344 Slater Street,

Ottawa, Ontario

K1A 1E1

Toll-free 1-888-214-1090

Fax (613) 947-7279

Website: <http://www.chrc-ccdp.ca>

Dear Ms. Kozak,

Re: File No: 2007 1016

Please find enclosed my answers to the questions contained in the original September 13, 2007 letter from Michel Pare, A/Deputy Secretary General and my response to the allegations by Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada, also contained in your initial correspondence which I first received via Canada Post on November 20th, 2007.

Prior to presenting my answers to the ten questions from Michel Pare I will first respond with an overall assessment of the complaint from Harry Abrams, B.C. representative for the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada and explain why I feel it is a vexatious, spurious, and disingenuous charge; one that, for the reasons which I will present, ought to be dismissed outright by the Canadian Human Rights Commission, hereafter referred to as the CHRC.

As well, as a precautionary preface to any commentary on these matters, I would ask that you bear in mind that all of my comments are not to be misconstrued in any manner as emanating from a place of malice or, as the CHRC might define it, "hate". I speak my mind freely, frankly and honestly as a basic human right, one which presumably applies to all Canadian citizens. I do so with the deepest and sincerest intent that what I say will assist both you, as an investigator for the CHRC, and the rest of the Tribunal members, to realize that I and many Canadians view the actions of the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada with grave and rightful suspicion and interpret their actions, such as this "hate-crimes" charge, as not being in the best interests of the Canadian people nor conducive to a free and well-functioning democracy. It is therefore my hope that you will come to a similar realization with respect to these allegations once you have given due consideration to the arguments and the information which I intend to present in favour of such a position.

To begin I must say that it would be a gross understatement indeed to suggest that Harry Abrams and his partner in this complaint, the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada are exploiting and abusing the intent of the Canadian Human Rights Act for their own narrow, partisan, ideological purposes; ones which I feel strongly are not designed to promote and ensure the freedom of expression that the vast majority of Canadians demand and expect but rather are meant to buttress and support the political aims of a foreign state (Israel) of which these organizations are but political extensions.

The reality, as I understand it Ms. Kozak, is that the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada, like its twin, the Canadian Jewish Congress (CJC), and their adjunct arm the Anti-Defamation League (ADL), are not what they ostensibly appear to be in terms of the public's general understanding and that when the truth is finally revealed and their masks eventually removed, all these entities will be shown to be long-standing, front organizations created to function as propaganda tools for those who ascribe to what is historically known as Political Zionism, an ideology based upon the Judaic Talmud and manifesting in the policies of the government of the state of Israel. For the historical evidence of this please see the article *B'nai Brith: Beating the anti-Semitic Drum* <http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=477> This Zionist ideology, when juxtaposed with all the corroborating evidence which exists, shows itself to be a political/religious program designed to instill and promote a racist-based, segregated, supremacist, discriminatory, apartheid system of governance; one that has been in existence since the inception of the Jewish state of Israel back in 1948 and is currently still being enforced by the present state and operating not only within its own undefined boundaries but also within foreign (Palestinian) territory illegally occupied by Israeli military forces.

While on first notice this may appear to be an extreme assertion, the historical evidence exists (although hidden from the general public for the better part of the last century by a complicit, pro-Zionist-controlled "western" media cartel), to fully corroborate the premise upon which I make these apparently radical and seemingly startling statements.

What I am proposing to you and your Commission members and to the Canadian public (who will also be alerted to this critical issue) is the contention that, based upon my years of research, the actual and true agenda of Harry Abrams and his partner in this complaint, the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada is, in fact, one of seditious, purposeful design meant to undermine not only the sovereignty of the legally elected Canadian government, but simultaneously, to assist, aid and abet a much larger and more sinister agenda – that of attempting to subvert, suppress and nullify the legitimate rights of all Canadian citizens with respect to their most cherished and intrinsic values. Here I refer to a citizen's inalienable right to freedom of religion, freedom of expression, freedom of thought and freedom of speech, all of which are currently being attacked in earnest by extremist, ideologically driven organizations such as the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada and the Canadian Jewish Congress, both of whom ascribe to, and are in fact undeniably and inextricably based upon the racist, unconstitutional, discriminatory, exclusionary, and supremacist philosophy known as Political Zionism.

As already stated I have investigated this phenomenon in earnest for a number of years, both as a writer and researcher of history and as a publisher of a legitimate, established new service (The Radical Press) which has been operating in Canada since June of 1998. During the course of the last decade and following the dictates of my business motto "Digging to the root of the issues" I have unearthed more than a sufficient amount of authentic evidence which indicates that organizations such as the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith and the Canadian Jewish Congress consistently use their financial position, media monopoly and political lobbying power to negatively influence Canadian jurisprudence in order that cumulative legislation over the years inevitably tends to disproportionately favour one extremely small minority group in Canada (less than 2% of all Canadians) and that minority happens to be Canada's Jewish population, and in particular the sub-element of Zionists embedded within the greater Jewish community, who believe in and promote the Zionist ideology as subscribed to by the state of Israel. In other words, Ms. Kozak, Canadian jurisprudence, and subsequently Canada's freedom, has been incrementally high-jacked by what amounts to be a percentage of citizens who comprise less than 1% of Canada's total population.

As such Harry Abrams' and the League of Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada's spurious contention that I and my website, <http://www.radicalpress.com> are contriving to "promote ongoing hatred affecting persons identifiable as Jews and/or as citizens of Israel" is premised not

only upon the false accusation that the articles contained on the website, written either by myself or other writers, are designed to somehow denigrate all Jews but also with the premeditated intention of diverting and misdirecting the focus of the public away from the content of the information (the message) onto a side diversion, that of “promoting hatred”, (the messenger) so as to prevent the reading population from gaining access to and assimilating the data and possibly using it to form their own conclusions as to whether or not the information fits into the overall picture of what is really happening in Israel and Palestine and, concurrently, here in Canada. Along with these two objectives I would hasten to add what I conceive to be a further and more imminent danger for all for Canadians, that of surreptitiously creating biased, deceiving and unconstitutional legislation which, over time, will stifle and silence, by fear-motivated threat of litigation, fines and imprisonment, any and all debate related to the ideology and practice of Zionism, either by those who promote such policies in Israel (the majority of Jewish citizens) or those front organizations within Canada such as the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith and the Canadian Jewish Congress whose primary *modus operandi* is to support the objectives of the Jewish state.

Bearing such assertions in mind, for Harry Abrams and the League of Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada to attempt a perpetuation of this old and worn-out canard of “hatred” toward Jews, regardless of its past efficacy in silencing and suppressing debate on issues related to either the policies of the state of Israel toward the Palestinian people or to the stranglehold over western mainstream media and international finance and so on, flies in the face of insurmountable and indisputable evidence to the contrary that appears daily on the internet and is even now, of necessity, trickling down into the Zionist-run media monopoly that heavily influences both Americans and Canadians alike. As a result we find in some of the most recent examples the cases of former U.S. President Jimmy Carter who is being incessantly attacked and vilified around the world by Zionist ideologues for his book, *Palestine: Peace Not Apartheid*, which focuses in on the very issues which I am outlining here, which are: the parallel structure and nature of the former apartheid state of South Africa with that of the current state of Israel and its racist, exclusionary treatment of the Palestinian people both within its own undetermined national boundaries and in the surrounding Palestinian territories which it illegally has occupied for decades. The other outstanding instance (more so in the US media) is the controversial case of the two respected Jewish researchers in the USA, John Mearsheimer and Stephen Walt and their book, *The Israeli Lobby*. Again, the attack upon them is relentlessly rude and only the epithets differ because they themselves are both ethnic Jews. Numerous other examples abound.

Synonymous with current criticism of Israel and the Zionist Jews by such notable Gentile internet authors as John Kaminski, Curt Maynard, Wendy Campbell, Texe Marrs, Alex James, Paul Fromm, Michael A. Hoffman II, James Petras, Edgar J. Steele, Mark Glenn and others are the writings and speeches of some of the most fervent and impassioned critics of the Zionist Israeli state who are themselves ethnic Jews. Canadian internet writer Dr. Henry Makow <http://www.henrymakow.com/> is a good example of what I am referring to. Due to the fact that these harsh critics of Zionist Israeli policies are bone fide Jews, the fanatical element itself, i.e. the Zionists, cannot with any credibility, accuse them of promoting “hatred” toward Jews. It therefore creates a conundrum for the Zionist Jews as well as for the Christian Zionist elements within our own western society who have been deceived by this false Messiah (Zionism) and fallen into the trap of believing that the state of Israel, because of its Biblical ramifications, is above and beyond criticism and/or fault. As a result, and true to the fallacious and indefensibly dogmatic tenets upon which the Zionist ideology is constructed, their only method of retaliating against their own kind is to resort to the most sordid practice of heaping as much calumny, slander, libel, verbal abuse and willful, malicious hatred that they can muster onto those ethnic Jews who they deem traitorous to the Zionist Israeli government. Thus, the brave and courageous Jews who have broken away from this pack of perfidious, self-chosen zealots and have displayed the audacity to criticize the Zionist agenda now are forced to bear the brunt of what is likely some of the most scathing, vitriolic, hate-related messaging on the net today. For anyone who doubts the veracity of the above statement they need only go to the following website <http://www.masada2000.org/list-A.html> and read for themselves the long list created by these fanatical proponents of Zionism. Blatant examples such as this beg the question as to why the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada are not raising a public outcry and pushing to have this site shut down for promoting “hatred” toward Jews?

These introductory comments basically cover what I wish to say at this point regarding Political Zionism and its direct connection with Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada. Tribunal members and readers in general who are interested in finding out more about this race-based ideology can study the phenomenon in greater detail by reading online the classic reference book on the origins and practices of Political Zionism by the late Douglas Reed, former British foreign correspondent for the *London Times* who wrote *The Controversy of Zion* http://www.radicalpress.com/?page_id=34 back in 1956 after spending 25 years researching the subject. For a more detailed account of my own views on this subject I suggest the article, *Epistle to Paul*, <http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=90>. There will also be other urls to articles and books on this topic which I will be posting in the bibliography at the end of this response. Simply googling the term “Zionism” or “Political Zionism” will give enquirers ample information. I would now like to return to the charges that Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada have brought to your Commission and focus attention on the specifics of the alleged misdeeds.

On Page 1 the Complainant, Harry Abrams, states that he is “a Canadian citizen of Jewish faith” and that it is his (and the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada’s) contention that I and my website RadicalPress.com are contriving “...to promote ongoing hatred affecting persons identifiable as Jews and/or as citizens of Israel.” In my discussions with you Ms.

Kovak on the issue of this contention I asked for some clarification on the meaning and use of this word “hatred” as it is understood and applied by the CHRC. You kindly furnished me with the following reply which I am inserting here. I do so because meanings of words play an extremely important role in determining intent and truth when it comes to such subjective concepts as hatred and contempt toward human beings:

From: SANDY KOZAK
Date: Mon, 10 Dec 2007
To:
Subject: Re: CHRC complaint

Mr. Topham,

In response to your email of 28 November 2007, the following information should answer the questions you posed about the meaning of ‘hate’ and our investigation of these types of complaints. Further, I would recommend that you submit a full response to the allegations initially, however, further information can be submitted later if necessary.

The investigation will examine whether there is support for the complainant’s allegation of hate on the internet by considering:

- i. whether the material which forms the basis of the complaint was observed on the Internet;*
- ii. whether the communication of the material has at least partially taken place in Canada;*
- iii. whether the material is likely to expose a person or persons to hatred or contempt based on characteristic(s) based upon a prohibited ground(s)*
- iv. whether the Respondent communicated or caused to be communicated the material which forms the basis of the complaint.*

What constitutes “hatred” is not defined in the Canadian Human Rights Act. It is a question of fact. It is generally accepted to refer to extreme ill-will and is an emotion which allows for “no redeeming qualities” in the person at whom it is directed. “Contempt” is similarly extreme and includes circumstances in which the object of one’s feelings is looked down upon, belittled, despised, dishonored or disgraced.

Sincerely,

Sandy Kozak
Investigator
Canadian Human Rights Commission

Now, apart from the nebulous CHRC guidelines upon which to base the “support” for the complainant’s allegation (contained in i. to iv.), it appears from what is stated, that the term “hatred” is not defined in the Canadian Human Rights Act. Whether this is so because it is not possible to define it in any legal sense is not stated. One is left to assume that instead of defining the word it is to be regarded as a “question of fact”; that it is “generally accepted” as referring to “extreme ill-will” by one person toward another and is an “emotion” that will not “allow for” the person who feels such feelings to see any “redeeming qualities” in the person at whom this “hatred” is directed. In addition the term “contempt” is also defined as “similarly extreme” and “...includes circumstances in which the object of one’s feelings is looked down upon, belittled, despised, dishonored or disgraced.” These, I would say, are extremely relative, subjective terms and liable to a variety of interpretations, as will be shown.

Given this interpretation, and taking it at face value, I would now have you consider the following: Mr. Harry Abrams has stated in his complaint that he is a Canadian citizen “of Jewish faith”. This, by definition, means that he believes in and accepts and acts upon those beliefs and founding principles and dogma which are contained within the tenets of his faith, Judaism, as outlined in the main work upon which Judaism rests, the Babylonian *Talmud*. If this is so then Mr. Abrams believes in and supports the fundamental ideological principles upon which the Jewish state of Israel is based, for that nation was founded upon the same beliefs as those contained in the Judaic *Talmud*. This assertion of mine is also based upon the facts of the complaint wherein Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada state that they feel I and my website are promoting ongoing hatred affecting persons identifiable as “citizens of Israel”. To attempt to defend the foreign citizens of Israel, who, by majority choice, have continuously elected governments that are premised upon a racist, exclusionary, anti-democratic platform, from criticisms originating in another foreign country (Canada), is, I suggest, both disingenuous and frivolous and designed to conceal a much greater and immediate plan on the part of Mr. Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada, which is that of censorship of Israeli/Zionist aims and principles via the unscrupulous manipulation of organizations such as the CHRC which, due to their inherent structure, lend themselves to such clandestine practices.

In order to clearly illustrate the quintessential point which I am attempting to convey to the CHRC it is necessary at this place

in my response that I insert a somewhat lengthy extract from a source within Israel itself. In his classic work, *Jewish History, Jewish Religion: The Weight of Three Thousand Years*, published in 1994, the well-known and respected Jewish scholar, life-long human rights activist and citizen of the state of Israel, Israel Shahak, describes the Jewish state of Israel and shows how it defines itself and its citizens. Because of the paramount importance of this particular issue of Jewish citizenship, its dual nature and direct relationship to both the Judaic faith and to the fact that Mr. Harry Abrams claims himself to be a member of this faith, I feel it needs to be examined by your commission in much greater detail. The extract itself comes from *Chapter 1, A Closed Utopia? Sub-titled, Defining the Jewish State*. All underlining is mine:

“Without a discussion of the prevalent Jewish attitudes to non-Jews, even the concept of Israel as ‘a Jewish state’, as Israel formally defines itself, cannot be understood. The widespread misconception that Israel, even without considering its regime in the Occupied Territories, is a true democracy arises from the refusal to confront the significance of the term ‘a Jewish state’ for non-Jews. In my view, Israel as a Jewish state constitutes a danger not only to itself and its inhabitants, but to all Jews and to all other peoples and states in the Middle East and beyond....”

“...Let me begin with the official Israeli definition of the term ‘Jewish’ illustrating the crucial difference between Israel as ‘a Jewish state’ and the majority of other states. By this official definition, Israel ‘belongs’ to persons who are defined by the Israeli authorities as ‘Jewish’, irrespective of where they live, and to them alone. On the other hand, Israel doesn’t officially ‘belong’ to its non-Jewish citizens, whose status is considered even officially as inferior. This means in practice that if members of a Peruvian tribe are converted to Judaism, and thus regarded as Jewish, they are entitled at once to become Israeli citizens and benefit from the approximately 70 per cent of the West Bank land (and the 92 per cent of the area of Israel proper), officially designated only for the benefit of Jews. All non-Jews, (not only Palestinians) are prohibited from benefiting from those lands. (The prohibition applies even to Israeli Arabs who served in the Israeli army and reached a high rank.) The case involving Peruvian converts to Judaism actually occurred a few years ago. The newly-created Jews were settled in the West Bank, near Nablus, on land from which non-Jews are officially excluded. All Israeli governments are taking enormous political risks, including the risk of war, so that such settlements, composed exclusively of persons who are defined as ‘Jewish’ (and not ‘Israeli’ as most of the media mendaciously claims) would be subject to only ‘Jewish’ authority.

“I suspect that the Jews of the USA or of Britain [or Canada A.T.] would regard it as antisemitic if Christians would propose that the USA or the United Kingdom should become a ‘Christian state’, belonging only to citizens officially defined as ‘Christians’. The consequence of such doctrine is that Jews converting to Christianity would become full citizens because of their conversion. It should be recalled that the benefits of conversions are well known to Jews from their own history. When the Christians and the Islamic states used to discriminate against all persons not belonging to the religion of the state, including the Jews, the discrimination against Jews was at once removed by their conversion. But a non-Jew discriminated against by the State of Israel will cease to be so treated the moment he or she converts to Judaism. This simply shows that the same kind of exclusivity that is regarded by the majority of the diaspora Jews as antisemitic is regarded by the majority of all Jews as Jewish. To oppose both antisemitism and Jewish chauvinism is widely regarded among Jews as a ‘self-hatred’, a concept which I regard as nonsensical.

“The meaning of the term ‘Jewish’ and its cognates, including ‘Judaism’, thus becomes in the context of Israeli politics as important as the meaning of ‘Islamic’ when officially used by Iran or ‘communist’ when it was officially used by the USSR. However, the meaning of the term ‘Jewish’ as it is popularly used is not clear, either in Hebrew or when translated into other languages, and so the term had to be defined officially.

“According to Israeli law a person is considered ‘Jewish’ if either their mother, grandmother, great-grandmother and great-great-grandmother were Jewesses by religion; or if the person was converted to Judaism in a way satisfactory to the Israeli authorities, and on condition that the person has not converted from Judaism to another religion, in which case Israel ceases to regard them as ‘Jewish’. Of the three conditions, the first represents the Talmudic definition of ‘who is a Jew’, a definition followed by Jewish Orthodoxy. The Talmud and post-Talmudic rabbinic law also recognize the conversion of a non-Jew to Judaism (as well as the purchase of a non-Jewish slave by a Jew followed by a different kind of conversion) as a method of becoming Jewish, provided that the conversion is performed by authorized rabbis in a proper manner. This ‘proper manner’ entails, for females, their inspection by three rabbis while naked in a ‘bath of purification’, a ritual which, although notorious to all readers of the Hebrew press, is not often mentioned by the English media in spite of its undoubted interest for certain readers. I hope that this book will be the beginning of a process which will rectify this discrepancy.

“But there is another urgent necessity for an official definition of who is, and who is not ‘Jewish’. The State of Israel officially discriminates in favour of Jews and against non-Jews in many domains of life, of which I regard three as being most important: residency rights, the right to work and the right to equality before the law. Discrimination in residency is based on the fact that about 92 per cent of Israel’s land is the property of the state and is administered by the Israel Land Authority according to regulations issued by the Jewish National Fund (JNF), an affiliate of the World Zionist Organization. In its regulations the JNF denies the right to reside, to open a business, and often also to work, to anyone who is not Jewish, only because he is not Jewish. At the same time, Jews are not prohibited from taking residence or opening businesses anywhere in Israel. If applied in another state against the Jews, such discriminatory practice would instantly and justifiably be labeled antisemitism and would no doubt spark massive public protests. When applied by Israel as a part of its ‘Jewish ideology’, they are usually studiously ignored or excused when rarely mentioned.

“The denial of the right to work means that non-Jews [but still citizens A.T.] are prohibited officially from working on land administered by the Israel Land Authority according to the JNF regulations....

“Non-Jewish citizens of Israel do not have the right to equality before the law....

“...The routine means for enforcing discrimination in everyday life is the ID card, which everyone is obliged to carry at all times. ID cards list the official ‘nationality’ of a person, which can be ‘Jewish’, ‘Arab’, ‘Druze’ and the like, with the significant exception of ‘Israeli’. Attempts to force the Interior Ministry to allow Israelis wishing to be officially described as ‘Israeli’, or even as ‘Israeli-Jew’ in their ID cards have failed. Those who have attempted to do so have received a letter from the Ministry of the Interior stating that ‘it was decided not to recognize an Israeli nationality’. The letter does not specify who made the decision or when.

“There are so many laws and regulations in Israel which discriminate in favour of the persons defined as those ‘who can immigrate in accordance with the Law of Return’ [i.e. Jews. A.T.] that the subject demands separate treatment. We can look here at one example, seemingly trivial in comparison with residence restrictions, but nevertheless important since it reveals the real intentions of the Israeli legislator. Israeli citizens who left the country for a time but who are defined as those who ‘can immigrate in accordance with the Law of Return’ are eligible on their return to generous customs benefits, to receive subsidy for their children’s high school education, and to receive either a grant or a loan on easy terms for the purchase of an apartment, as well as other benefits. Citizens who cannot be so defined, in other words, the non-Jewish citizens of Israel, get none of these benefits. The obvious intention of such discriminatory measures is to decrease the number of non-Jewish citizens of Israel, in order to make Israel a more ‘Jewish’ state.”

Returning now to the Commission’s definition of hatred and contempt, and based upon the testimony of what constitutes a person deemed to be ‘Jewish’, the evidence clearly points to a “question of fact” and that fact is that the official state policy of Israel is racist-based and discriminatory and divides its citizens into two distinct classes, those fitting the designation of ‘Jewish’ and those not. Those who don’t meet the guidelines that define them as ‘Jewish’ are accorded less rights and privileges than those who do meet the rigid criteria. This, I propose, is NOT the basis of a free and democratic society where ALL citizens are accorded equal rights by law as is assumed to be the case here in Canada. It is, in FACT, a description of a totalitarian, apartheid state wherein only those considered by law to be full citizens are given full rights. Those of a lesser degree who are not considered to be of the Jewish faith (the prerequisite for full citizenship) are treated as second-class citizens.

Therefore, according to reason and the laws of logic, this definition of what constitutes a Jewish citizen means that Mr. Harry Abrams and any other Canadian citizen “of Jewish faith” is, in FACT, someone who holds dual citizenship in both Canada and Israel. And, again, by definition and logic, this also means that Mr. Harry Abrams and all members of the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada must either overtly or tacitly support the Israeli government’s domestic policies which are based upon a set of principles fundamentally in violation of Canadian provincial and national laws as well as international law, all of which stipulate that within a democratic state ALL citizens MUST be treated equally. Any arguments contrary to this basic international human right must be construed as being either sophistry or else blatant, transparent hypocrisy.

In other words the spurious charge by Mr. Harry Abrams that I and my website are contriving “...to promote ongoing hatred affecting persons identifiable as Jews and/or as citizens of Israel.” is nothing less, based upon the facts surrounding the state of Israel’s domestic policies toward its citizens, than rank bigotry and a disingenuous and vexatious attempt to both slander and libel my name and that of my website while at the same time attempting to censor and suppress valuable, responsible coverage of the truth about the actual conditions which exist in Israel proper and in the surrounding areas of Palestine which have been illegally occupied and brutally exploited and controlled for decades now by the invading, imperialist Israeli Defense Forces.

Further to this, the examples available on numerous websites who monitor human rights violations, illustrate again and again the FACT that Israel treats its own non-Jewish citizens with great disdain and has been carrying on a program of ethnic cleansing within its undetermined borders since the state’s inception in 1948. This provable fact only reinforces the charge that the Israeli state holds all non-Jews, citizen or not, in complete disrespect and contempt. And without getting side-tracked on to related issues it can only be stated here that the prevalent attitude of the ‘Jewish’ state of Israel fully fits the description of the CHRC’s definition of “hatred” and “contempt” exemplified by the FACT that non-Jewish citizens and Gentiles not holding citizenship, are consistently and persistently subjected to the sort of contempt defined in your letter above and are “looked down upon, belittled, despised, dishonored or disgraced” on a routine basis.

This is all I have to say at this time regarding the contentions of Mr. Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B’nai Brith Canada and would like to now say a few words in my own defense as to who I am, what efforts I have devoted my life to and, finally, why I consider this whole affair to be one politically motivated (and by definition in this case, religiously as well) and not, in any sense of the word, having to do with any “hatred” toward Jews on my part or on the part of my website that publishes information on this topic.

Like Mr. Harry Abrams, I too am a Canadian citizen, born in Saskatchewan in 1947 to a Ukrainian Mother and an English Father, prior, I might add, to the birth of the present state of Israel. In that same year I was Baptized a Christian and at this

point in my life consider myself to be a person of "Christian faith". As such I have attempted throughout my lifetime to actualize the teachings of Christ insofar as they pertain to treating others as one might like to be treated and to help build a world where the precepts of Christ – Peace, Love, Brotherhood and Sisterhood for All – would be a guide to harmony on a global scale. My efforts in this regard have been published and are on public record since the year 1968 when, at the young age of 21 I first began penning letters to newspapers in British Columbia voicing my opinion on matters related to politics, religion, human rights and social justice issues. A record of these writings exists and will corroborate all such material that I intend to further use should this matter go beyond this preliminary investigation.

Having spent the majority of my life thus far in a variety of pursuits I will only highlight those most relevant so as to give you, as an Investigator into this matter, and those to whom you must report, a general outline of my life and work.

I have lived, uninterruptedly, in the province of British Columbia since December of 1956. After leaving high school I attended university (SFU) in 1965 and there obtained a Professional Teaching Certificate. I worked for a short number of years in this capacity both in the public school system and for First Nations school districts, all of which were located in the province of B.C., and taught grades ranging from Kindergarten to Grade 5. I left the profession in 1978 and worked for the Provincial Parks Branch for 8 years where I was a Supervisor and Park Ranger in the Quesnel District of the Cariboo region of the province. After losing that profession to government restructuring in the late 1980's I returned to teaching for a couple of years and worked for the Nuxalk Education Authority out of Bella Coola, B.C. in 1991 - 1992 where I taught on reserve Grades 2 and 3. From there I returned to Quesnel and worked in a substitute capacity for the local School District (#28) until I resigned in September of 1998. It was also during the year 1998 that I established my publishing business known as The Radical Press. From June of 1998 until June of 2002 I published a monthly, 24-page tabloid called *The Radical* which sold in retail outlets throughout B.C. and across Canada and by subscription around the world. Due to financial challenges the hard copy edition of the newspaper ceased in June of 2002 and from that date I carried on publishing online with my website known as <http://www.radicalpress.com>

. In 2005, using my lifetime of personal experience in the log building trades and construction industry which I had developed in conjunction with my tenure as a school teacher I formed a carpentry business and have been operating said business up to this point in time. I have lived out in the country for the vast majority of my life, have build my own home, grown my own garden, and maintained a philosophy of independence both in thought and deed. Throughout the course of my life I have fathered four children and now, along with my dear wife of thirty years, also have been blessed with seven grandchildren.

In many respects my life has been an open book to the community in which I have resided since 1970. I began writing letters to the local Quesnel newspaper known as *The Cariboo Observer*, newsroom@quesnelobserver.com beginning in 1976 and have steadily contributed to that publication over the ensuing years both as a regular columnist and an inveterate contributor on matters of public concern. While I would describe myself as a very controversial writer (and most, if not all of my readers would agree) I nonetheless need to stress the fact that throughout all the years of presenting my ideas to the general public on a number of issues ranging from politics to religion to social justice and environmental issues, I have never made any racist, hate-filled remarks against any person of Jewish or any other religious or ethnic grouping. All this I state with respect to the present allegations made against me by Mr. Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada; charges that they would fain convey to the public that insinuate I am a person who promotes hatred toward others, in this case Jews. The records of my writings would not, I suggest, indicate this to be the case.

As you stated in the above letter Ms. Kozak, there will be further opportunity to submit additional information and or evidence in support of my claim to innocence in this matter so with that in mind I will now conclude my response with a summary my position and then furnish you with the list of resources which I have relied upon in my quest for a greater understanding of this phenomenon known as Political Zionism and how it is affecting not only Canada but the world at large.

Summary

When I first received your unmarked, unregistered envelope in the mail Ms. Kozak, one containing the complaint from Mr. Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada it didn't come as a surprise. It was only a matter of time before my name and the name of my website would come up on Mr. Abrams' hit list which he and the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada have been diligently and, I might assume, frantically compiling since they and the CJC were instrumental in changing the legal wording contained in *Sec. 13 (1)* of the Canadian Human Rights Act, immediately following the attack on the World Trade Center in New York city on September 11, 2001. For those still unaware *Sec. 13 (1)* deals with "Hate messages" and prior to the new "interpretation" of said legislation, applied only to the use of telephones. This controversial section reads as follows:

13. (1) It is a discriminatory practice for a person or a group of persons acting in concert to communicate telephonically or to cause to be so communicated, repeatedly, in whole or in part by means of the facilities of a telecommunication undertaking within the legislative authority of Parliament, any matter that is likely to expose a person or persons to hatred or contempt by reason of the fact that that person or those persons are identifiable on the basis of a prohibited ground of discrimination.

In the immediate aftermath of the horrendous attack upon the World Trade Center the Canadian government, acting upon misinformation and deceptive intelligence reporting from the USA, passed its new *Anti-terrorism Act, c.41* on December 18th,

2001. That premature and misguided legislation, now included the following "Interpretation" of *Sec. 13 (1)* which reads as follows:

Interpretation

(2) For greater certainty, subsection (1) applies in respect of a matter that is communicated by means of a computer or a group of interconnected or related computers, including the Internet, or any similar means of communication, but does not apply in respect of a matter that is communicated in whole or in part by means of the facilities of a broadcasting undertaking.

This is precisely what the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada and the Canadian Jewish Congress were waiting for. Now they had the legal pretext within which they could begin their assault upon any individual or group who they felt was a threat to the national interests of the state of Israel. This in itself was rather revealing considering that within months of the attack a vast number of people around the world were already cognizant of the numerous discrepancies surrounding the so-called "Official" investigation into the causes and the culprits involved in this heinous act. Since 9/11 there has been a steady growth in the numbers of people who have examined the evidence and are now fully aware of the fact that the attack was not one by Arab terrorists with box cutters but was, in fact, orchestrated by forces within the US government; forces that also were found to be directly linked to a number of incidents which indicated to a great degree that the state of Israel, and in particular their intelligence service known as the Mossad, were directly complicit with the insiders working within the US government in the attack. Since 9/11 there have been endless efforts on the part of the survivors and families of the victims to have an independent inquiry carried out but to date all such efforts have been quashed by the US government. The event of 9/11 has precipitated untold misery and death and destruction and has led the world into a continuous state of fear and insecurity and provided the excuse or "false flag" for all the subsequent imperialist wars in Afghanistan and Iraq; wars without just cause and based upon lies and fabrications that have all since been proven false beyond a doubt. What most people though have failed to realize is that President GW Bush's so-called "War on Terror" has also been a direct result of the 9/11 event and stands as a perfect metaphor for what has been happening in Israel since 1948 where a continuous state of war has existed against the Palestinian people and other Arab states, all of which are intertwined with an ongoing program of terror that has persisted throughout Israeli's close to 60 year history. Now we are seeing this same phenomenon expanded on a global scale thanks to the many Zionist ideologues who have been shaping US foreign policy since at least the time of President Woodrow Wilson. And to keep these issues hidden away from the public consciousness is, I maintain, one of the foremost reasons why front organizations such as League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada are desperately attempting to silence all debate on either Israeli policies or Zionist ideology here in Canada.

When I say that it came as no surprise that Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada had me on their hit list I base this on the fact that in the May 24, 2007 edition of *The Globe and Mail* an article entitled "Website promotes hate, B'nai Brith member says"

<http://www.theglobeandmail.com/servlet/story/RTGAM.20070524.wbcrights24/BNStory/Technology/home> by the writer, Sid Tafler, stated, "The B.C. representative for the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada has filed a human-rights complaint alleging a Victoria-based website [<http://www.PEJ.org>] and its editors, manager and directors "contrive to promote ongoing hatred affecting persons identifiable as Jews and/or as citizens of Israel." Further on the article states: "Mr. Abrams says other Canadian-based websites are being examined for possible complaints before the commission. "We have to show that Canadian law extends to the Internet as well as the conventional printed word," he said."

This, I soon realized, was but one of many similar assaults upon Canadians and their treasured right to freedom of speech which I discovered as I began researching this issue more fully. Now I see a much larger pattern emerging with the addition of more and more similar charges being laid against individuals, media outlets and websites and even mainstream political parties. Individuals such as Paul Fromm of the Canadian Association for Free Expression <http://www.canadianfreespeech.com> who only recently had his longstanding teaching certificate revoked because of the actions of these pro-Zionist censors.

Mainstream news magazines such as *MacLean's* are also not immune to this insidious disease called censorship that's sweeping across the nation. See *Canada's Thought Police* http://www.nypost.com/seven/12162007/postopinion/editorials/canadas_thought_police_72483.htm . Attacks upon Christians and their organizations have always been standard fare for Zionists and it appears that such actions are on the rise again. We only need witness such Christian sites as Free Dominion <http://www.freedominion.ca> (which received a similar letter as I and others on July 16th, 2007 containing a demand for a full response by July 18th!). See <http://www.gopetition.com/petitions/a-free-dominion-against-the-hrcs.html> for their explanation and reaction to this attack.

Now even mainstream political parties such as the Christian Heritage Party, <http://www.lifesite.net/ldn/2007/nov/07112706.html> (who received their "letter" on November 27th, 2007), have been subjected to this same process of intimidation and vilification, all of which, I contend, is designed to reinforce this absurd and highly unreasonable and undemocratic, ideologically-driven notion that any criticism of any minority, (but especially the Jewish minority in Canada), is to be met with swift, draconian repercussions by a Commission that was once set up to ensure equal rights for ALL Canadians rather than the present situation wherein an extremely small group of religious zealots within the B'nai Brith and the Canadian Jewish Congress have basically usurped a governmental process and are using it to promote their own racist, hate-filled agenda; one, I contend, that treats Canadians and their democratic ideals and institutions with utter disdain and contempt and

threatens a way of life that the majority of Canadians do not wish to give up.

This unsavory business of thought control over Canadians had its early beginnings prior to the advent of the internet when people like Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada and the Canadian Jewish Congress basically had free rein with their ambitions to squelch any and all criticism they deemed anti-Zionist. Working with a complicit Zionist-controlled media they used its power and influence to vilify whomever they felt was deserving of their smear campaigns. It was then that Mr. Abrams made a name for himself back in 1997 when he brought a complaint against Mr. Doug Collins and the *Northshore News* in North Vancouver to the B.C. Human Rights Commission. But now it appears these initial successes have gone to their heads to the point where they are rampaging about cyberspace like some renegade band of vigilantes from the Simon Wiesenthal Center, convinced that they are still hunting down Nazi "war criminals".

Another even earlier case was that of Malcolm Ross, a Christian author and teacher living in New Brunswick, who opposed the machinations of the Talmudic Zionists and their hate-filled attacks upon Christians and Christianity (see his book *Spectre of Power*) and was subsequently nailed to the cross and lost his livelihood due to a complaint laid with the Human Rights Commission that was eventually upheld by the Supreme Court of Canada. I would venture to say that what Mr. Ross was trying to convey to the general public at the time of his trials and persecution is likely no different than the message that I and others are still trying to give to you today. The only difference is that at the time when Mr. Ross was attempting to present his case to the general public he didn't have the Internet to reach out beyond his local area and thus became another victim of the circumscribed and reprehensible Zionist media who tarred and feathered him with their usual epithets of "anti-Semitism" and "Holocaust denial" and "hatred toward Jews" and so on and the public was given no alternative perspective upon which to base any rational, balanced opinion.

Back in 1999 I was carrying articles by the British Author and Internationally-renowned lecturer David Icke

<http://www.davidicke.com>

who was coming to Canada on speaking tours and book promotions. During that time he was constantly harassed and abused by these organizations to the point of violent confrontations via some of their more sleazy organs like the Anti-Racist Action (ARA) committee. Accusations of Icke being a hate-monger and an anti-Semite abounded. Most disturbing in the present context of all that's happening is the fact that lawyers directly connected with the CHRC were playing major roles in this harassment and in the encouraging of such illegal actions by the ARA. I recall the words of David Icke at the time when he said, "Have you ever noticed that those who dub others as purveyors of hate have hate in their hearts? And that those who claim to be anti-fascist act in every way like the fascists?"

Then there's the case of the Canadian Website Publisher Reni Sentana-Ries of Edmonton who recently was sentenced to 16 months in jail for the crime of offending Jews. On and on and on, case after case it is always this 1% or less of the Canadian population who seems hell-bent on turning Canada into some Orwellian nightmare where people are coerced and cajoled through fear and intimidation and litigation into thinking that they have to keep their mouths shut or else some Bolshevik Cheka agent might appear at their door with a "complaint" in hand and off they must go to try in vain to justify their existence before a "Tribunal". The more one connects the dots the more insidious the whole affair appears and, quite frankly, it's beginning to feel more and more like I'm a second-class Arab-Palestinian living in the racist, apartheid state of Israel rather than a Canadian citizen living in a country that once held freedom of speech and expression and thought in the highest regard.

While doing this research I came upon a frightening set of statistics regarding your Commission's record Ms. Kozak that gave me (again) serious pause for more concern.

It appears that out of 46 Active and Past cases, of which the CHRC Tribunal ruled on 37, not a single respondent has ever won a Section 13 case! 100% of the respondents in every case were Caucasian. 98% of cases were brought against poor and/or working class respondents. 90.7% of the respondents were not represented by lawyers. From out of these 37 cases a total of \$93,000 has been awarded in fines and special compensations since 2003. And to compound this amazing set of statistics we see that 35 respondents have been given lifetime speech bans (Cease and Desist orders) and if said orders are breached these people could face up to 5 years in prison!

Statistics such as these Ms. Kozak represent, for me, a shameful and black mark on Canada's reputation as an open, just and democratic nation. When we begin to jail our own kind for expressing their views (such as occurred recently in the case of Ernst Zundel who now rots in a German prison cell because the Zionist Jews here in Canada and those that control the present German government didn't like his peaceful, yet provocative, views on their version of history) we have already succumbed to the same level of fear that the non-Jewish citizens of Israel and Palestine are experiencing daily and the Chinese citizens are forced to live under in a Communist dictatorship where dissent is met with deadly and swift reprisal. Such regrettable behaviour Ms. Kozak, can only lead to further degradation and injustice as our nation begins its final descent down the slippery slope leading to fascism or some other form of totalitarian dictatorship. Not, I might add, a pleasant prospect as we move into the 21st Century.

And so I have noted and pondered all these related cases and how they somehow always end up like the proverbial chickens who return to their roost at night. Only in all these cases the chickens are returning to a hen house that contains a sly fox and that fox is not residing in there because he has the best interests of the chickens in mind. And so the question continually

arises as to who Mr. Abrams and his "League" truly represent and the only reasonable conclusion that I can come to, after looking at the fruits of their labour is that this organization is NOT concerned about the rights of ALL Canadians but, in fact, is solely concerned with pursuing its own agenda; one that places the interests of a foreign nation (Israel) above those of Canadians and one that is severely impinging upon the human rights of the other 99% of Canadians who much prefer to live in peace and freedom than in a constant state of terror and anxiety and fear. This, I would categorically state, is a blatant form of treason on the part of these organizations.

The last example that I will give here involves yet another legal challenge to these organizations and, I might add, to even the CHRC itself. I'm referring to Marc Lemire's appeal to the Federal Court of Canada that is set for January 15th, 2008 at 9:30am 180 Queen Street W Toronto, Ontario and involves a direct challenge to the Canadian Human Rights Commission. As stated on the website of Paul Fromm's: <http://www.canadianfreespeech.com> :

"For the first time ever in history, the Canadian Human Rights Commission is being challenged by Marc Lemire in the Federal Court of Canada for its spying operations, abuses of the law, deception and agent provocateur agenda. Like some mobster in a U.S. trial who keeps invoking the Fifth Amendment, the CHRC is trying to keep the veil of secrecy wrapped tight around its spying operations on Canadian Internet dissidents. Its tool of choice is Section 37 of the Canada Evidence Act. This allows government representatives the ability to prevent disclosure (hide) and claim immunity over the disclosure of information that the CHRC alleges to be injurious to Canadian Government security and operations of a Federal agency. The evidence Marc Lemire is challenging before the Federal Court is explosive and will blow the whole agenda of the CHRC into the open. In a 300 page record, prepared by lead counsel Barbara Kulaszka, the abuse of Section 37 by the Commission was laid out in amazingly clear detail. Those 300 pages decimate the Canadian Human Rights Commission's claims and expose the spying operations of Canada's Thought Control apparatus"

Again I must reiterate what I said at the beginning of this response: I am not passing judgment at this time on you or your Commission Ms. Kozak. What I am attempting to do is alert both you and the public to the fact that the actions of the CHRC are tending to create a negative perception of inequity in terms of meting out justice as this applies to the issue of freedom of speech and this perception ought to give the CHRC cause for serious reflection.

There is one last, missing factor in this "hate" equation which Mr. Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada have accused me of which needs to be mentioned. I feel it poignantly illustrates the absurdity of what is going on with respect to the danger of abuse inherent in such laws as Sec. 13(1) when exploited for partisan purposes by people and organizations such as Harry Abrams and the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith. It also epitomizes the spuriousness of all the allegations and contentions which they have used in their attempt to harass and intimidate me by falsely and publicly accusing me of the crime of promoting "ongoing hatred affecting persons identifiable as Jews and/or as citizens of Israel." I now present this final factor to you Ms. Kozak and to the CHRC Tribunal as the culmination of my testimony to the frivolous and vexatious nature of these charges. For me to either admit to or accept that I am promoting hatred toward Jews would be tantamount to saying that I hate, rather than love and cherish beyond description, the one person in my life who has been wife and friend and companion to me over the last thirty years. For she too is Jewish.

Based therefore upon all that I have gleaned of this situation over the years Ms. Kozak I feel very strongly that organizations such as League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada and the CJC require investigation and that their seditious behaviour be curbed before our Canadian system of justice is turned into a Soviet "Star Chamber" such as existed under the former Bolshevik Communist Dictator Josef Stalin. It behooves you and your Commission members to take heed of this situation and the information contained in this response and that you begin weighing the total of the repercussions which are likely to manifest in this nation if these foreign (Israeli) front organizations are given free rein over our media, our institutions, our economy and our judicial affairs. Such unethical, undemocratic and discriminatory practices, in my humble opinion, require immediate redress and therefore I feel it would be in the best interest of the vast majority of Canadians if a full and independent inquiry into the nature of these activities by the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith and the CJC were conducted forthwith and that the said inquiry be carried out without any undue influence by either of these two organizations in question and that no members of the inquiry be of ethnic Jewish origin or biased in favour of Israel in any manner.

As I sit here this morning at the start of a new year with my four month old grandson, Hunter, on my knee, reflecting on the type of country that he will inherit from his Grandpa and Grandma and his Dad and Mom I can't help but feel an overwhelming sense of urgency with respect to the sorts of activities that are now going on in the sphere of human rights and freedoms in my country. For these reasons I also recall the words of William Blake who once wrote, "I shall not cease from mental fight, nor shall my sword sleep in my hand..." and I leave you with them for they likely symbolize the hopes and dreams of all honest and vigilant Canadians who have fought and died so that our generation and future generations would have greater freedom and liberty and opportunity to live in peace and harmony and share the love that we all so desire for ourselves, our families, our friends and our communities. For the sake of Canada and our democratic way of life you owe this much to your country.

Beyond this I await your reply on these urgent matters and remain,

Sincerely,

Arthur Topham
Pub/Ed
The Radical Press
Canada's Radical News Network
radical@radicalpress.com
<http://www.radicalpress.com>
"Digging to the root of the issues since 1998"

Post Script: Below please find my answers to the ten questions contained in your initial package of materials which I received on November 20th, 2007 as well as the bibliography of some of the books and articles which relate to this complaint and are used here as partial reference.

ANSWERS TO CHRC QUESTIONNAIRE:

1. Do you own and/or control radicalpress.com? If not, do you know who own's [sic] and/or controls this website?

Yes. I own and control radicalpress.com

2. What is the purpose of this website?

The Radical Press is a Sole Proprietorship business registered with the provincial government in Victoria, B.C. It was formed in 1998 when I began publishing a monthly alternative tabloid known as *The Radical*. The online version of this hard copy newspaper is known as Radicalpress.com . The purpose of the Radical Press and Radicalpress.com, like all news services, is to present to the general public news, information and opinions with which readers can better understand the world they are living in. The forum is provided, as is/was a Letters to the Editor page in *The Radical*, for public input, debate and feedback.

3. What is the intent of the information/documentation posted on this website?

See answer to Question #2.

4. Who is responsible for editing and/or posting the content of the information/documentation on this website?

I am.

5. How are the documents being posted on this website?

Documents are received from various writers on the net either via email or from their own websites or listserv or in hardcopy format or else written by myself and then republished on my site.

6. What is your relationship to radicalpress.com?

The Radical Press is my voice on the world wide web and the vehicle that I use to exercise my human right to freedom of the press and self-expression.

7. Who is the webmaster of this website?

I am the webmaster of radicalpress.com

8. Who is responsible for monitoring the forums on your website? What are your rules and regulations concerning the postings of messages on the forums? What is the criteria used to remove postings?

I am responsible for all aspects of monitoring the Radical Press forum. The rules and regulations are simple: no racist comments or articles are allowed. If this is discovered on the forum the post is removed and the poster is given a fair warning to not repeat their error. If they do they are deleted from the forum.

9. In reviewing your website, it would appear that radicalpress.com active [sic] links to organizations such as <http://www.Stor>