

The Zionist Agenda Part I

Exclusive to Rense.com
Commentary By Ted Lang
1-14-7

Zionism can now be defined as the political movement which wraps and camouflages itself in the Jewish faith, having as its primary mission the destruction of all sovereign national governments, religions and social structures with the objective of removing these obstacles to the establishment of a one world global government: the "New World Order."

Zionism is also the belief in the "Jewish" state, the primary one being the United States, and of secondary significance, the state of Israel. The latter will serve as the "trigger" to provoke World War III by inciting belligerent political and religious interests in the Middle East to war against each other. Thus, by taking advantage of the competing hostile religious and political divides in the region, the military chaos that could be launched and the horrific world war that would follow, leaves but only one way out for the human race. Mankind will then come to realize that its salvation can come only through a beneficent New World Order government. Predecessors of the NWO are, of course, The League of Nations and the UN.

The Zionist agenda can be attributed as the chief contributing causal factors behind virtually every war on the planet since 1776, especially those involving US. And although it was a revelation, when only a short time ago I first came to realize the Zionist fingerprints all over our very own American Revolution and nation's first war, I am now aware of Zionism's continued and intensified involvement in our second war, the War of 1812. In fact, all our wars after 1776 were not only engineered by Zionists, but can also be shown to be interconnected to each other via the cult of powerful international Zionist bankers. The Zionist bankers, headed by the international House of Rothschild-Rockefeller, have deliberately incited global conflicts and then made astonishing profits funding both sides of the conflicts they created.

Zionism can be shown to be a product of Jewish origin, and these

origins have been "thoroughly studied" by Professor Kevin MacDonald, a psychologist at Cal-State. He briefly articulates the origins of Zionism using the presently accepted and politically correct euphemism of "neoconservatism." As MacDonald has offered in his September 18, 2003 essay, written for V-Dare.com entitled, "Thinking About Neoconservatism," he states, "there is nothing conservative about their goals." I would hasten to add that there is nothing new about their agenda either; nor is their agenda in any way shape or form "American." It is called neoconservatism, but it is really one thing only:

Zionism! And there is authoritative source material that offers viable arguments that Zionist Jews aren't really Jews at all, but an Asiatic race originating from a land formerly identified as Khazar in the country known today as Georgia. The population was "converted" to Judaism merely because their king had converted in 720 A.D.

Zionism, through its founder, Theodor Herzl, charges Jews with his vision as offered in his book "The Jewish State" (1896): "We are one people!" What is meant by this is that although Jewish people may have divergent interests, backgrounds and goals, Zionism charges all Jews to put their differences behind them recognizing that they are different from all other people. This serves to create the double-edge sword that is Zionism; 1) all Jews are both Zionists and Jews; and 2) Jews are a race, thereby justifying the charge of "racism" to be used against anyone and everyone who questions Zionism. It justifies the use of the racist term "anti-Semite."

But Zionism is not a religion; it is a political agenda. Political agendas are normally the product of a state, or at least are intended to result in state action. The latter characteristic approach launching political agendas via state mandate is usually the product of a secret, or powerful and possibly even a dangerous cabal that represents only the interests of their own group. This is usually in conflict with the best interests of either the national population, or possibly Mankind in general.

Zionism defends itself against its critics with racist-based charges of anti-Semitism purely because Zionists reserve for themselves the right to hide behind their adopted sovereignty of being a "nation" of people and the nation of "Israel." They offer that all Jews are a nation, but when convenient or deemed necessary, they invoke their "religion" to stave off criticism and analytical inquiry.

Are Jews BOTH a race and a religion? Is there such a thing as the "Protestant Race," or the "Catholic Race"? Can there be, therefore, such a thing as the "Jewish Race"? Depending upon the circumstances or the nature of inquiry or criticism, Zionists will either cry "religious persecution" or "racial discrimination" as a defense. These charges are consistently offered after they, Zionists, first perpetrate the persecution of others, and when it is they who first initiate racial discrimination towards others, most notably in the form of mass murder and acts of terrorism against the Palestinians and other Middle Eastern nations. They then cry anti-Semitism when questioned, defending their indefensible actions clearly recognized as such by the world. They insist that their "nation" has a right to exist, as they deny other nations that very same right.

Examining further MacDonald's V-Dare piece, he provides a hyperlink promoting his book, *The Culture of Critique: An Evolutionary Analysis of Jewish Involvement in Twentieth-Century Intellectual and Political Movements*. MacDonald promotes what I feel is the standard refutation of the connectivity of a Zionist conspiracy, when he offers as its basic defense the disparity of Jewish cultural and intellectual diversity and the resultant conflicting points of view. To be fair, I have not read either the book or any additional evaluation of the contents therein; but I focus on this vignette to make the point of the common error that is always resurrected to defeat the "conspiracy theory."

MacDonald writes: "There is no implication here of a unified Jewish 'conspiracy' to undermine gentile culture, as portrayed in the notorious Protocols of the Elders of Zion. Since the Enlightenment, Judaism has never been a unified, monolithic movement, and there has clearly been a great deal of disagreement among Jews as to how to protect themselves and attain their interests during this period. The movements discussed in this volume (Boasian anthropology, political radicalism, psychoanalysis, the Frankfurt School of Social Research, and the New York Intellectuals) were advanced by relatively few individuals whose views may not have been known or understood by the majority of the Jewish community. The argument is that Jews dominated these intellectual movements, that a strong sense of Jewish identity was characteristic of the great majority of these individuals, and that these individuals were pursuing a Jewish agenda in establishing and participating in these movements."

MacDonald does not examine, at least as far as I am aware due to my ignorance of his total efforts, the connection between Mayer Rothschild, the patriarch of the Rothschild dynasty, and Adam Weisshaupt, the founder of a satanic cult calling themselves the

"Illuminati." It is believed that Rothschild encouraged or plotted with Weisshaupt for the Illuminati's infiltration of the Freemasons. Rank and file Freemasons are unaware of the satanic aspect of their organization, yet the connection between the rich and powerful Rothschilds, Weisshaupt, the Freemasons and Zionism is foolish to ignore. And although the connection has been brought to the fore by such as Dr. Henry Makow, the term "Illuminati" is simply too mystical and too colorful to believe, especially when denigrated by the towering intellects "who don't believe in conspiracy theories." The term "International Zionist bankers" offers a more believable base providing easier investigation and analysis.

Any connections to these theories, even those supported by factual, hard evidence, are then pounced upon by the Zionist network of liars, frauds, dirt and smear mongers, journalistic charlatans and other propagandists, and are decried as examples of "frauds" and "forgeries." The Protocols of the Elders of Zion are, but of course, a "forgery." Never mind that they are on exhibit in the British Museum the curators are obviously too stupid and have been duped. And "Hitler's bankers" are another fraud. And Israel's attack on the U.S.S. Liberty never happened, and 34 of our Navy personnel weren't really killed in that act of war. Everybody else is lying, but never the Zionists. They never lie or persecute or discriminate against anyone. That is why they need "hate crimes" protection!

MacDonald seems to have fallen into the same Zionist trap that befalls most critics of "Judaism." Let's think about that for a moment. Let's reflect for a moment on Hitler's views of the "Jewish bankers" who betrayed Germany via the Versailles Treaty. Let's reflect upon Mel Gibson's outburst while in an inebriated state blaming all the world's wars on "Jews." How about Henry Ford in his Zionist "451"-banned book, *The International Jew*? It can be shown that "Jews" were clearly identified as being heavily involved in both initiating World War I and in cutting up all the pieces of the Versailles victory pie for themselves. To be sure, "Jews" presided over both the beginnings of World War I, as well as in its spoils, one of them being the guarantee of a "Jewish state" in the captured Ottoman territory identified as Palestine. But were these "Jews" really Jews, or were they in fact Zionists? Failing to separate the two designations is what discredits Hitler, Ford, Gibson, Lindbergh, Mearsheimer, Walt, Judt, Freedman, and now probably even former President Jimmy Carter.

I fear that the genre of "conspiracy theory" dismissal rhetoric offered by such as Professor MacDonald, misses badly on the real driver that should be at the heart of any discussion involving the international

political future of our planet. And the driving force of world politics is not, nor has it ever been, Judaism. The threat is Zionism! It has always been Zionism, and will continue to be such unless we stop their current dangerous stooge, G. Bush, from launching World War III. Our unelected Chief Executive Moron has his finger on the button, and the Zionists, not neoconservatives, are the puppet masters pulling his strings.

© THEODORE E. LANG 1/14/07 All rights reserved

Ted Lang is a political analyst and freelance writer.

[Disclaimer](#)

[Email This Article](#)

[MainPage](#)

<http://www.rense.com>

[This Site Served by TheHostPros](#)