



[About us](#) [Money Links](#) [Great Sites](#) [Great Quotes](#) [Our Logo](#) [Must Reads](#) [Old Blog](#)
[Home](#) » [Blogs](#) » [qrswave's blog](#)

Obama to Howard - 'Put up or Shut up'

Looks like we finally got ourselves a presidential candidate with a backbone...

Mr Obama, speaking at a packed press conference in Iowa today, said if MrHoward was concerned about the situation in Iraq he should send 20,000 Australian troops to the strife-torn nation.

Ouch! That must smart!

But, it was worth it!

The Illinois senator added that **if Mr Howard did not send the troops, then the prime minister's attack on the Democrat presidential hopeful's Iraq policy was "empty rhetoric".**

Take that you old bag of wind!

"I would also note that we have close to 140,000 troops on the ground now and my understanding is that Mr Howard has deployed 1400," Mr Obama, who next year could become the first African American to be elected US president, said.

"So, if he's ginned up to fight the good fight in Iraq, I would suggest he calls up another 20,000 Australians and sends them up to Iraq.

"Otherwise, it's just a bunch of empty rhetoric."

I like his style.

This man is presidential material. Now, if only we can keep him alive.

Mr Howard sparked the war of words yesterday when he took the extraordinary step of declaring that **he hoped Mr Obama did not become president of the US, and that his election would be disastrous for the war on terrorism.**

Mr Howard said Mr Obama's plan to pull America's combat brigades out of Iraq by March 31, 2008, was a strategy that would **"destabilise and destroy Iraq, and create chaos and a victory for the terrorists."**

"If I were running al-Qaeda in Iraq, I would put a circle around March 2008 and be praying as many times as possible for a victory, not only for Obama but also for the Democrats," Mr Howard said.

Ah - the old 'who would Osama vote for' card!

Old and dry, John. Old and dry.

Besides, why bother feigning speculation, when we all know that **you and your zionist handlers DO run Al-Cia-eda.**

Mr Howard's comments came a day after Mr Obama officially launched his US presidential campaign and the clash quickly became one of the top news stories in the US.

Spokesman for Mr Obama, Robert Gibbs, travelling with the senator in Iowa, told American reporters Mr Howard should contribute more Australian troops "so some American troops can come home."

"It's easy to talk tough when it's not your country or your troops making the sacrifices," Mr Gibbs said.

israel does it all the time.

Mr Howard's attack also drew criticism from other senior US Democrats.

Oregon senator Ron Wyden said: **"The most charitable thing you can say about Mr Howard's comment is bizarre."**

"We'll make our own judgments in this country with respect to elections [thank you very much] and Barack Obama is a terrific public servant."

Mr Obama and Terry McAuliffe, a former chairman of the Democratic National Convention, noted Mr Howard's close relationship with Republican president George W Bush.

"I think it's flattering that one of George Bush's allies on the other side of the world started attacking me the day after I announced," Mr Obama said.

"I take that as a compliment."

And Americans can take it as a signal that Obama is not on the take. To zionists - he's a loose cannon.

Mr Howard also managed to upset at least one Republican senator.

"I would prefer that Mr Howard stay out of our domestic politics and we will stay out of his domestic politics," Texas Republican senator John Cornyn said.

Mr Obama, 45, is the top challenger to Hillary Rodham Clinton to be the Democratic Party's candidate for next year's US presidential election.

Mr Obama has vowed to end the Iraq war if elected president.

Mr Howard's comments received plenty of airplay in the US, with 24-hour news channels CNN and Fox News regularly running reports about the war of words.

But he appeared unrepentant today, saying Mr Obama had failed to address the substance of the war in Iraq.

"I think the most interesting thing about (Senator Obama's comments) is that it didn't really address the substance of the issue," Howard told ABC Radio.

What an idiot.

He didn't have to - you fool. He undercut the basis for your opinion.

This is good news and leaves me hopeful - despite a very trying weekend - that things might improve after all.

Posted in [Australia](#) [Barack Obama](#) [John Howard](#) [politics](#) [Presidential race](#) [US](#) Submitted by grswave on Mon, 2007-02-12 10:07.

[grswave's blog](#) [Login](#) or [register](#) to post comments

Comment viewing options

....Yet what they do NOT talk about is:

1) Who started this in the first place -- the First War against the people of Iraq

(i.e, the FAKE "Baby Incumbator" Incident)

--- nor the Second (and current) War against the people of Iraq

(i.e., the FAKE "9/11 done by MOSSAD---Oooops---I mean done by 19 Ay-rab 'hijacker' NINJAS).

.
. .
. .

or

.
. .
. .

2) Who has benefited by wiping out ISRAELS' ENEMIES

.
. .
. .

or

.
. .
. .

3) The fake 'evidence' of WMD

.
. .
. .

or

.
. .
. .

4) WHEN THE HELL ARE WE GOING TO BRING ALL -- that's right, ALL -- the troupes back home to be with the families.

....and hang each and every single GUILTY ZIONIST/MOSSAD/ISRAELI/JEW/KHAZAR????

....HEY, if all this fighting is for the "WAR-ON-TERROR"....

....then why not go after the REAL perpetrators?!!!

.
. .
. .

If Mr. Obama is not a spinless Useful-Goy PUPPET, this would be what he be talking about.

Instead, all he does is....

....AND TALK....

....AND TALK....

....About troupe 'levels'....

....JUST LIKE EVERY OTHER (zionist controlled) politician.....

...just like reading the lines of a carefully crafted SCRIPT

The Great Revealer | Mon, 2007-02-12 10:37

[Facts don't matter --- it's the "appearance" that counts!](#)

Obama, may-or-may-not be Muslim....

...but that's besides the point. HE LOOKS MUSLIM, he is being SOLD to the PUBLIC as 'muslim' (at least MOST people ASSUME that's the case from his APPEARANCE).

And that is the key:

With the Zionists, FACTS don't matter. It's all about "APPEARANCES", the projection of the ILLUSION they've crafted.

The Great Revealer | Mon, 2007-02-12 10:40

[Qrs, I hope you're right also....](#)

Qrs, I hope you're right also.... but to me, this all seems like a PLAY on Stage, and all of us are just foolish ACTORS -- unaware we are 'part-of-the-act'.

I do hope you're right, but the amount of 'POSITIVE' media attention Obama has been receiving --- tells me a different story --- that we just give 'false hopes'.

I truly hope he's genuine, but this all seems too much of 'MASSIVE MIND MANIPULATION' to give me any REAL comfort.

....time will tell ;-)

The Great Revealer | Mon, 2007-02-12 10:47

[QRS, Also note that....](#)

QRS, Also note that BOTH Mr. Obama and Mr. Howard play the famous mens "Pissing Game" or "My-penis-is-bigger-than-yours-Game".

In other words, Mr. Obama talks about how much MORE US troops there are than Australian troops, making the comparison of 140,000 versus 1,400.

And then he 'urges' Mr. Howard to send more troops.

All this talk makes it sound "HEROIC" to fight in Iraq, that it's "HEROIC" to fight for your country, that it's "HEROIC" to fight "TERRORISTS" ABROAD.

..... Q, can you see why I don't have much 'faith' in Mr. Obama?!!!

The Great Revealer | Mon, 2007-02-12 10:52

[Yes, I can](#)

And I think you have good reason to pause.

I merely hang on to a thread of hope - it gets me through another day.

Obama is young and inexperienced. I suspect he thinks he can have it all.

He will soon learn the hard way that he cannot.

qrswave | Mon, 2007-02-12 11:02

[Maybe it is just grandstanding](#)

But it sure is putting the odious Howard in his place. It is the classic "put up or shut up" line.

Someone suggested that the election of Hilary Clinton would be cited as proof that the US is a democratic nation, not least because she would be the first woman president. If so, then the US is a little late getting in on the act.

Many countries have had women presidents, some, like Ireland, have had two women presidents. Of course none of these countries have made the fundamental mistake of handing so much power to the office of President, preferring instead to leave in the hands of the relatively more accountable public representatives.

sullivan | Mon, 2007-02-12 13:25

[I don't know why most people are married with celebrities](#)

HELLO ALL, i don't understand how come most people behave the way they do. I mean why are they literally married with celebrity-candidates of the mainstream media. People have this sort of idea that people who appear on CNN, ABC, CBS, NBC, FOX, TELEMUNDO, UNIVISION, GALAVISION, and most traditional TV channels are a lot better than underground people, like Bob Avakian, Kucinich, Ralph Nader, Michael Rivero, Carl Dix, Chomsky to rule this country. For example even within the Democratic Party why do they choose Hillary and Obama a lot more than Howard Dean, Kucinich, and other people who are a lot better than Obama and Hillary.

Workers of the world: Unite !!

juancarlosacruz2005 | Mon, 2007-02-12 21:39

[Celebrity candidates](#)

I take issue with Howard Dean - but, I would vote for Mike Rivero any day.

Maybe we can let him run the country from Hawaii, for a change.

qrswave | Mon, 2007-02-12 22:28

[Obama Called For Nuking Iran](#)

Obama, Clinton, Edwards, McCain, or Guliani for president?

What's the dif?

They're all warmongers.

Have a nice "fixed" 2008 Election, Amerika.

CAMPAIGN 2004: US SENATE RACE

[Obama would consider missile strikes on Iran](#)

By David Mendell

Tribune staff reporter

U.S. Senate candidate Barack Obama suggested Friday that the United States one day might have to launch surgical missile strikes into Iran and Pakistan to keep extremists from getting control of nuclear bombs.

Obama, a Democratic state senator from the Hyde Park neighborhood, made the remarks during a meeting Friday with the Tribune editorial board. Obama's Republican opponent, Alan Keyes, was invited to attend the same session but declined.

[Click here to find out more!](#)

Iran announced on Tuesday that it has begun converting tons of uranium into gas, a crucial step in making fuel for a nuclear reactor or a nuclear bomb. The International Atomic Energy Agency has called for Iran to suspend all such activities.

Obama said the United States must first address Iran's attempt to gain nuclear capabilities by going before the United Nations Security Council and lobbying the international community to apply more pressure on Iran to cease nuclear activities. That pressure should come in the form of economic sanctions, he said.

But if those measures fall short, the United States should not rule out military strikes to destroy nuclear production sites in Iran, Obama said.

"The big question is going to be, if Iran is resistant to these pressures, including economic sanctions, which I hope will be imposed if they do not cooperate, at what point are we going to, if any, are we going to take military action?" Obama asked.

Given the continuing war in Iraq, the United States is not in a position to invade Iran, but missile strikes might be a viable option, he said. Obama conceded that such strikes might further strain relations between the U.S. and the Arab world.

"In light of the fact that we're now in Iraq, with all the problems in terms of perceptions about America that have been created, us launching some missile strikes into Iran is not the optimal position for us to be in," he said.

"On the other hand, having a radical Muslim theocracy in possession of nuclear weapons is worse. So I guess my instinct would be to err on not having those weapons in the possession of the ruling clerics of Iran. ... And I hope it doesn't get to that point. But realistically, as I watch how this thing has evolved, I'd be surprised if Iran blinked at this point."

As for Pakistan, Obama said that if President Pervez Musharraf were to lose power in a coup, the United States similarly might have to consider military action in that country to destroy nuclear weapons it already possesses. Musharraf's troops are battling hundreds of well-armed foreign militants and Pakistani tribesmen in increasingly violent confrontations.

Obama said that violent Islamic extremists are a vastly different brand of foe than was the Soviet Union during the Cold War, and they must be treated differently.

"With the Soviet Union, you did get the sense that they were operating on a model that we could comprehend in terms of, they don't want to be blown up, we don't want to be blown up, so you do game theory and calculate ways to contain," Obama said. "I think there are certain elements within the Islamic world right now that don't make those same calculations."

"... I think there are elements within Pakistan right now--if Musharraf is overthrown and they took over, I think we would have to consider going in and taking those bombs out, because I don't think we can make the same assumptions about how they calculate risks."

A last resort

Obama's willingness to consider additional military action in the Middle East comes despite his early and vocal opposition to the Iraq war. Obama, however, also has stressed that he is not averse to using military action as a last

ressort, although he believes that President Bush did not make that case for the Iraq invasion.

Invitations were extended to both Obama and Keyes several weeks ago to appear before the Tribune editorial board in a debate-style setting. Obama accepted and Keyes did not, even though the Republican has accused his rival of ducking debates and has declared a desire to take on Obama in any venue.

"How can I be any clearer about this?" Keyes said in an Aug. 10 news conference. "I don't care what the format is. I don't care what the topics are. ... I am ready to go to any forum put together in any way that is in the best interests of the people of Illinois."

A Keyes aide said the Republican would not appear because the session was not a public forum that could be covered by media other than the Tribune. Obama and Keyes have agreed to three public debates in October, though Keyes had sought more.

Also during the session, Obama said that, if elected, he likely would make the health-care crisis his first priority. He said he would seek to expand the federal program that gives aid to poor children without health coverage, improve the COBRA program to allow for greater portability of coverage, and push for small businesses to receive tax credits to help pay for employee health insurance.

Views on gay marriage

Earlier Friday, Obama clarified his position on gay marriage after several days of criticism from Keyes on the issue. Keyes, a vehement opponent of gay marriage who has called homosexuals "selfish hedonists," charged during a campaign swing Downstate this week that Obama favors gay marriage.

But during a taping of WBBM-AM's "At Issue," Obama said that his Christian faith dictates that marriage should be between a man and woman.

"I'm a Christian. And so, although I try not to have my religious beliefs dominate or determine my political views on this issue, I do believe that tradition, and my religious beliefs say that marriage is something sanctified between a man and a woman," Obama said.

Obama said he would not let his religious beliefs dictate the way he approaches public policy. He said he would support civil unions between gay and lesbian couples, as well as letting individual states determine if marriage between gay and lesbian couples should be legalized.

"Giving them a set of basic rights would allow them to experience their relationship and live their lives in a way that doesn't cause discrimination," Obama said. "I think it is the right balance to strike in this society."

Copyright © 2007, Chicago Tribune

By David Mendell

Tribune staff reporter

Published September 25, 2004

U.S. Senate candidate Barack Obama suggested Friday that the United States one day might have to launch surgical missile strikes into Iran and Pakistan to keep extremists from getting control of nuclear bombs.

Obama, a Democratic state senator from the Hyde Park neighborhood, made the remarks during a meeting Friday with the Tribune editorial board. Obama's Republican opponent, Alan Keyes, was invited to attend the same session but declined.

[Click here to find out more!](#)

Iran announced on Tuesday that it has begun converting tons of uranium into gas, a crucial step in making fuel for a nuclear reactor or a nuclear bomb. The International Atomic Energy Agency has called for Iran to suspend all such activities.

Obama said the United States must first address Iran's attempt to gain nuclear capabilities by going before the United

Nations Security Council and lobbying the international community to apply more pressure on Iran to cease nuclear activities. That pressure should come in the form of economic sanctions, he said.

But if those measures fall short, the United States should not rule out military strikes to destroy nuclear production sites in Iran, Obama said.

"The big question is going to be, if Iran is resistant to these pressures, including economic sanctions, which I hope will be imposed if they do not cooperate, at what point are we going to, if any, are we going to take military action?" Obama asked.

Given the continuing war in Iraq, the United States is not in a position to invade Iran, but missile strikes might be a viable option, he said. Obama conceded that such strikes might further strain relations between the U.S. and the Arab world.

"In light of the fact that we're now in Iraq, with all the problems in terms of perceptions about America that have been created, us launching some missile strikes into Iran is not the optimal position for us to be in," he said.

"On the other hand, having a radical Muslim theocracy in possession of nuclear weapons is worse. So I guess my instinct would be to err on not having those weapons in the possession of the ruling clerics of Iran. ... And I hope it doesn't get to that point. But realistically, as I watch how this thing has evolved, I'd be surprised if Iran blinked at this point."

As for Pakistan, Obama said that if President Pervez Musharraf were to lose power in a coup, the United States similarly might have to consider military action in that country to destroy nuclear weapons it already possesses. Musharraf's troops are battling hundreds of well-armed foreign militants and Pakistani tribesmen in increasingly violent confrontations.

Obama said that violent Islamic extremists are a vastly different brand of foe than was the Soviet Union during the Cold War, and they must be treated differently.

"With the Soviet Union, you did get the sense that they were operating on a model that we could comprehend in terms of, they don't want to be blown up, we don't want to be blown up, so you do game theory and calculate ways to contain," Obama said. "I think there are certain elements within the Islamic world right now that don't make those same calculations.

"... I think there are elements within Pakistan right now--if Musharraf is overthrown and they took over, I think we would have to consider going in and taking those bombs out, because I don't think we can make the same assumptions about how they calculate risks."

A last resort

Obama's willingness to consider additional military action in the Middle East comes despite his early and vocal opposition to the Iraq war. Obama, however, also has stressed that he is not averse to using military action as a last resort, although he believes that President Bush did not make that case for the Iraq invasion.

Invitations were extended to both Obama and Keyes several weeks ago to appear before the Tribune editorial board in a debate-style setting. Obama accepted and Keyes did not, even though the Republican has accused his rival of ducking debates and has declared a desire to take on Obama in any venue.

"How can I be any clearer about this?" Keyes said in an Aug. 10 news conference. "I don't care what the format is. I don't care what the topics are. ... I am ready to go to any forum put together in any way that is in the best interests of the people of Illinois."

A Keyes aide said the Republican would not appear because the session was not a public forum that could be covered by media other than the Tribune. Obama and Keyes have agreed to three public debates in October, though Keyes had sought more.

Also during the session, Obama said that, if elected, he likely would make the health-care crisis his first priority. He

said he would seek to expand the federal program that gives aid to poor children without health coverage, improve the COBRA program to allow for greater portability of coverage, and push for small businesses to receive tax credits to help pay for employee health insurance.

Views on gay marriage

Earlier Friday, Obama clarified his position on gay marriage after several days of criticism from Keyes on the issue. Keyes, a vehement opponent of gay marriage who has called homosexuals "selfish hedonists," charged during a campaign swing Downstate this week that Obama favors gay marriage.

But during a taping of WBBM-AM's "At Issue," Obama said that his Christian faith dictates that marriage should be between a man and woman.

"I'm a Christian. And so, although I try not to have my religious beliefs dominate or determine my political views on this issue, I do believe that tradition, and my religious beliefs say that marriage is something sanctified between a man and a woman," Obama said.

Obama said he would not let his religious beliefs dictate the way he approaches public policy. He said he would support civil unions between gay and lesbian couples, as well as letting individual states determine if marriage between gay and lesbian couples should be legalized.

"Giving them a set of basic rights would allow them to experience their relationship and live their lives in a way that doesn't cause discrimination," Obama said. "I think it is the right balance to strike in this society."

Copyright © 2007, Chicago Tribune

By David Mendell

Tribune staff reporter

Published September 25, 2004

U.S. Senate candidate Barack Obama suggested Friday that the United States one day might have to launch surgical missile strikes into Iran and Pakistan to keep extremists from getting control of nuclear bombs.

Obama, a Democratic state senator from the Hyde Park neighborhood, made the remarks during a meeting Friday with the Tribune editorial board. Obama's Republican opponent, Alan Keyes, was invited to attend the same session but declined.

[Click here to find out more!](#)

Iran announced on Tuesday that it has begun converting tons of uranium into gas, a crucial step in making fuel for a nuclear reactor or a nuclear bomb. The International Atomic Energy Agency has called for Iran to suspend all such activities.

Obama said the United States must first address Iran's attempt to gain nuclear capabilities by going before the United Nations Security Council and lobbying the international community to apply more pressure on Iran to cease nuclear activities. That pressure should come in the form of economic sanctions, he said.

But if those measures fall short, the United States should not rule out military strikes to destroy nuclear production sites in Iran, Obama said.

"The big question is going to be, if Iran is resistant to these pressures, including economic sanctions, which I hope will be imposed if they do not cooperate, at what point are we going to, if any, are we going to take military action?" Obama asked.

Given the continuing war in Iraq, the United States is not in a position to invade Iran, but missile strikes might be a viable option, he said. Obama conceded that such strikes might further strain relations between the U.S. and the Arab world.

"In light of the fact that we're now in Iraq, with all the problems in terms of perceptions about America that have been

created, us launching some missile strikes into Iran is not the optimal position for us to be in," he said.

"On the other hand, having a radical Muslim theocracy in possession of nuclear weapons is worse. So I guess my instinct would be to err on not having those weapons in the possession of the ruling clerics of Iran. ... And I hope it doesn't get to that point. But realistically, as I watch how this thing has evolved, I'd be surprised if Iran blinked at this point."

As for Pakistan, Obama said that if President Pervez Musharraf were to lose power in a coup, the United States similarly might have to consider military action in that country to destroy nuclear weapons it already possesses. Musharraf's troops are battling hundreds of well-armed foreign militants and Pakistani tribesmen in increasingly violent confrontations.

Obama said that violent Islamic extremists are a vastly different brand of foe than was the Soviet Union during the Cold War, and they must be treated differently.

"With the Soviet Union, you did get the sense that they were operating on a model that we could comprehend in terms of, they don't want to be blown up, we don't want to be blown up, so you do game theory and calculate ways to contain," Obama said. "I think there are certain elements within the Islamic world right now that don't make those same calculations.

"... I think there are elements within Pakistan right now--if Musharraf is overthrown and they took over, I think we would have to consider going in and taking those bombs out, because I don't think we can make the same assumptions about how they calculate risks."

A last resort

Obama's willingness to consider additional military action in the Middle East comes despite his early and vocal opposition to the Iraq war. Obama, however, also has stressed that he is not averse to using military action as a last resort, although he believes that President Bush did not make that case for the Iraq invasion.

Invitations were extended to both Obama and Keyes several weeks ago to appear before the Tribune editorial board in a debate-style setting. Obama accepted and Keyes did not, even though the Republican has accused his rival of ducking debates and has declared a desire to take on Obama in any venue.

"How can I be any clearer about this?" Keyes said in an Aug. 10 news conference. "I don't care what the format is. I don't care what the topics are. ... I am ready to go to any forum put together in any way that is in the best interests of the people of Illinois."

A Keyes aide said the Republican would not appear because the session was not a public forum that could be covered by media other than the Tribune. Obama and Keyes have agreed to three public debates in October, though Keyes had sought more.

Also during the session, Obama said that, if elected, he likely would make the health-care crisis his first priority. He said he would seek to expand the federal program that gives aid to poor children without health coverage, improve the COBRA program to allow for greater portability of coverage, and push for small businesses to receive tax credits to help pay for employee health insurance.

Views on gay marriage

Earlier Friday, Obama clarified his position on gay marriage after several days of criticism from Keyes on the issue. Keyes, a vehement opponent of gay marriage who has called homosexuals "selfish hedonists," charged during a campaign swing Downstate this week that Obama favors gay marriage.

But during a taping of WBBM-AM's "At Issue," Obama said that his Christian faith dictates that marriage should be between a man and woman.

"I'm a Christian. And so, although I try not to have my religious beliefs dominate or determine my political views on this issue, I do believe that tradition, and my religious beliefs say that marriage is something sanctified between a man

and a woman," Obama said.

Obama said he would not let his religious beliefs dictate the way he approaches public policy. He said he would support civil unions between gay and lesbian couples, as well as letting individual states determine if marriage between gay and lesbian couples should be legalized.

"Giving them a set of basic rights would allow them to experience their relationship and live their lives in a way that doesn't cause discrimination," Obama said. "I think it is the right balance to strike in this society."

Copyright © 2007, Chicago Tribune

mparent7777 | Mon, 2007-02-12 22:42

[Thanks, Marc - for the cold water](#)

helps temper false hopes.

qrswave | Mon, 2007-02-12 22:57

[Obama President???? Oh, Mamaaaaaa!!!!!!!!!!](#)

Who is this Obama character?

Who the hell is he?

I don't like any black running a white nation. This guy will ruin the chances for presidency of any other wiser, more qualified and white individual, like Ron Paul. I don't see anything written about Ron Paul running for the White House. How come? Why is Rep. Ron Paul's candidacy missing from most of the news sites? I haven't seen anything about him on this site either. Obama is on every news site. Obama president? A sick joke. America is white and keep it white or else it will go the way of Somalia and Ethiopia, where they don't know what a door knob is for. They don't even have doors or windows. Just ask the folks in Kansas where the US government settled a large number of these savages who eat raw meat and some still practice cannibalism back where they come from.

Obama????? Oh, Mama!!!! Another communist in disguise. And to add insult to injury, he had to be black. I'd rather have Bush president for life than O'Babamba. Why not Robert Mugabe? He'll be better than Mama Obama. He has what O'Mama Babama doesn't: experience in tyranny. Let's get serious. I'll take Bush over any black president any time.

This nation's presidency must reflect not only the racial majority but must equally reflect the race and religion of those who established this nation and those who built it afterwards. Yes, everyone is racist to one degree or another, the same goes for blacks too. Anyone denying it is a liar. Obama O'Mamma will trigger a civil war, which I might add will start anyway, with Obama or without him. There is no room for hyphenated Americans in our country. They may try that in Nigeria or Somalia if they so much like it to be called African-American or Whatever-American. Send them back to the Whatever land wherever the bloody hell they came from. In America there is only one People: the American-American people. Anyone else is to be terminated since it is the enemy. You're either an American or an enemy. America for Americans only.

mk | Tue, 2007-02-13 03:31

[mk, would you kindly define American](#)

for us poor hyphenated folks.

You would rather keep Bush than have Obama? That's why the United States is in the shape it's in today.

Don't forget that Douglas Feith and all the other scumbags who set this country on a bloody path to illegal wars have lily white skin.

So, in a sense, you got what you asked for and what you deserve.

qrswave | Tue, 2007-02-13 03:49

[Yo' Mama is a 'Bama](#)

mk; There are much better websites for this sort of stuff..... Try David Duke or National Vanguard. I'm sure they will appreciate it very much, thank you.

quasimodo | Tue, 2007-02-13 03:49

[Here's a post on Ron Paul](#)

who is an outstanding candidate - better than Obama, not because he's white but because he's right on many more issues than Obama - **among them, the Federal Reserve System** - and has far more experience than Obama.

[Don't Do It, Mr. President](#)

Your narrowminded perspective on skin color is anachronistic and will take us nowhere but down.

If people don't unite, no one stands a chance.

qrswave | Tue, 2007-02-13 04:03

Must Reads . . .

[Money 101](#)

[The Origins of Fractional Reserve Banking](#)

[Life is Hard When You Are Stupid](#)

[The Fed: One Ring to Rule Them All](#)

Popular content

Today's:

[Obama to Howard - 'Put up or Shut up'](#)

[Feith calls prewar lies 'good government'](#)

[Scary Movie 2: PAUL KRUGMAN - Attacking Iran](#)

[US Sending Third Carrier Strike Group to Persian Gulf](#)

[Al Aqsa Exploding](#)

[They got NOTHING on Iran - Nada. Zip. Zilch.](#)

[BBC takes on 9/11 - MUST SEE](#)

Recent blog posts

[A New Israeli Weapon?](#)

[BBC takes on 9/11 - MUST SEE](#)

[Top American General Disputes US Military Claim Re Iran](#)

[A Greater Israel](#)

[Blast Reported Near U.S. Base in Japan: Investigators suspect a "guerrilla attack"](#)

[They got NOTHING on Iran - Nada. Zip. Zilch.](#)

[Putin Makes Historical Visit to Saudi Arabia](#)

[Scary Movie 2: PAUL KRUGMAN - Attacking Iran](#)

[Feith calls prewar lies 'good government'](#)

[Obama to Howard - 'Put up or Shut up'](#)

[more](#)

Recent comments

[I think it is rather more](#)

5 min 50 sec ago

[Here's a post on Ron Paul](#)

20 min 2 sec ago

[Yo' Mama is a 'Bama](#)

33 min 55 sec ago

[mk, would you kindly define American](#)

34 min ago

[So Little Time !](#)

44 min 15 sec ago

[Obama President???? Oh, Mamaaaaaa!!!!!!!!!!](#)

51 min 20 sec ago

[Wake Up! The Next War Is Coming](#)

57 min 6 sec ago

[A Splash of Bitter Lemon](#)

1 hour 44 sec ago

[No amount of Tech or Gold..](#)

1 hour 29 min ago

[FABRICATING THE CASE AGAINST IRAN](#)

1 hour 31 min ago

Navigation

[Blogs](#)

[Recent posts](#)

[News aggregator](#)

Poll

The upcoming 'Financial Holocaust' -- WHO IS RESPONSIBLE?

The Ashkenazi Khazar bankers

10%

The Rothschilds who OWN the Central Banks

20%

Alan Greenspan

0%

The 'greedy' House Flippers

0%

ALL OF THE ABOVE

70%

Total votes: 10

[Older polls](#)

Theme Designed by [SEO Position](#) and site hosted by [Dvanet Specialized Hosting](#)