



**Vonage - Official Site**

Don't get gouged by old-fashioned phone companies.

[www.vonage.com](http://www.vonage.com)

**Mortgage Rates Near 39Yr Lows**

\$400K loan \$1,289/mo. Think you pay too much?

[www.LowerMyBills.com](http://www.LowerMyBills.com)

**Refinance with Bad Credit**

Compare up to 4 free quotes. Serious refinance requests only

[www.homeloanhelpline.com](http://www.homeloanhelpline.com)

[Ads by Yahoo!](#)

[Iran Defence Forum](#) > [IRAN's National Security](#) > [Iran's Military & Strategic Discussion Forum](#)

**Iran's FIRST STRIKE = US ships GRAVEYARD in Persian Gulf...**

User Name   Remember Me?

Password

[Register](#)

[FAQ](#)

[Gallery](#)

[Members List](#)

[Calendar](#)

[Today's Posts](#)

[Search](#)

[MyDubby](#) - Friends, Blog, Galleries, Groups and more...

[VidLime](#) - Watch, Upload & Share your videos.

[IDFHost](#) - Images hosting, share your images today.

Page 1 of 2 [1](#) [2](#) >

[Thread Tools](#) [Display Modes](#)

Today, 03:05 PM

#1



**Zionix**   
Lieutenant



Join Date: Sep 2006  
Posts: 393

**Iran's FIRST STRIKE = US ships GRAVEYARD in Persian Gulf...**

**Iran's FIRST STRIKE = US ships GRAVEYARD in Persian Gulf...**

Iran is seriously thinking of attacking US forces first and the concept makes a great deal of sense...

*"Iran's defense minister expressed his government's disquiet about the U.S. troop presence in neighboring Iraq and Afghanistan, and hinted that some Iranian generals believe they should strike first if they sense an imminent U.S. threat."*

<http://www.jihadwatch.org/archives/002903.php>

**1) First Strike:** As soon as the Russian intercepts passed on to Iran confirm an imminent US attack, Iran MUST STRIKE FIRST the US ships in the Persian Gulf/Arabian Sea and all US targets within reach in the Middle-East, starting with the Green Zone and the US base in Balad. The element of surprise is KEY to win such conflict and Iran must use its weapons before a US attack damages its capabilities and GPS signal's accuracy is selectively degraded...

Van Riper sunk the entire US Fleet in the Persian Gulf during expensive War Games that much resemble what's likely to happen soon and achieved this victory without the help of the Sunburn and Yakhont missiles...

*"When it was over, most of the US fleet had been destroyed. Sixteen US warships, including an aircraft carrier and 2 Expeditionary Strike vessels loaded with marines lay on the bottom, and the rest were in disarray. Thousands of American sailors were dead, dying, or wounded..."*

<http://www.rense.com/general64/fore.htm>

**2) Supersonic anti-ships Missiles:** Of course, since the War Games, Iran has procured the famous supersonic Sunburn and Yakhont missiles, which was confirmed in the US Senate:

*"There can be no doubt that China's provision of advanced missile technology and equipment to Iran directly threatens our national security interests and directly contravenes U.S. law. Over the past several years, Iran has purchased Sunburn, C-801 and C-802 antiship cruise missiles, fast attack missile boats, diesel submarines, and naval mine warfare capabilities."*

<http://www.globalsecurity.org/wmd/li...r/s970617h.htm>

US vessels have NO credible defense against such supersonic weapons, simply because the US has never been able to test its defences against missiles similar to the Sunburns and Yakhonts in a war-like environment. However, it is well known that smaller subsonic missiles, such as the French Exocet and the Chinese C-802 have had a very high success rate, as the latest hit against a modern Israeli vessel amply demonstrated...

<http://www.rense.com/general59/theSu...ansawesome.htm>

**3) Klub-S (Sizzler) Missiles in Submarines:** And Iran's submarines, undetectable by US vessels when operating below 5 knots are apparently sporting even nastier missiles, the Klub-S that can be fired from underwater to nail any US ships in the Arabian Sea...

<http://www.strategypage.com/htm/hts.../20060830.aspx>

*"Navy Lacks Plan to Defend Against 'Carrier-Destroying' Missile: The U.S. Navy, after nearly six years of warnings from Pentagon testers, still lacks a plan for defending aircraft carriers against a supersonic Russian-built missile, according to current and former officials and Defense Department documents. 'This is a carrier-destroying weapon,' said Orville Hanson, who evaluated weapons systems for 38 years with the Navy. 'That's its purpose.'"*

[http://news.yahoo.com/s/bloomberg/20...g/ako7y\\_orw538](http://news.yahoo.com/s/bloomberg/20...g/ako7y_orw538)

**4) Chinese Rocket Mines EM-52:** In addition, any US ships trying to escape the Persian Gulf trap will likely be blown up by the state of the art acoustically activated EM-52 rocket mines that can be discretely laid all over the Hormuz Strait sea floor by the Iranian Kilo Class submarines ...

<http://www.irandefence.net/showthread.php?t=4688>

**5) Blocking Hormuz Strait:** If this was not enough, Iran can block off the Strait of Hormuz and prevent any shipping getting through, using its batteries of missiles hidden in rock caves all along the shore combined with conventional and rocket propelled sea mines...

*"Unfortunately, the inelastic nature of crude oil markets means a full suspension of Persian Gulf oil supplies for as little as a few days could result in the doubling of oil prices."*

<http://www.stratfor.com/products/pre....php?id=273471>

**6) Conclusion:** In summary, the idiotic commanders of the US naval assets in the 5th Fleet have learned absolutely NOTHING from their loss to General Van Riper, as 2 Carrier Groups are now sitting ducks in the Persian Gulf. Iran definitely has the capability - conveniently ignored by American cheerleaders here and the cocky but moronic US leaders bent on losing wars, such as Vietnam - to sink 2 or 3 US aircraft carriers, which would be sufficient to win the war for Iran and practically end any US ability to wage future wars of conquest...



**Let's NIX ZION for inventing terrorism...**  
<http://iraqwar.mirror-world.ru/article/102804>

Last edited by Zionix : Today at 03:40 PM.



Today, 03:52 PM

#2



[jorg2007](#)   
Corporal



Join Date: Feb 2007  
Posts: 43



A surprise attack could be another pearl harbour and a devastating blow they could just well be sitting ducks in the Persian Gulf. But it won't win a war of machines but will have a devastating cost on their lives and then again they have to have too much of a foothold around the area and will invade definitely then and it will end up in the end turning into another Iraq in the end. But I don't think Ahmadinejad is a war criminal like Saddam.



Today, 04:58 PM

#3

[jimbodogg](#)   
Sergeant



Join Date: Oct 2006  
Posts: 124

Outgunned, outnumbered, and surrounded, millitarly the condition appears difficult for Iran.



Today, 05:02 PM

#4

**burster**

Captain



Join Date: Mar 2006

Location: usa

Posts: 582

Zionix,

Hasn't this all been mentioned and hashed out before on this forum? Do you think just restating the same points ad nauseum is somehow going to turn reality on its head?

Instead of going over everything again, I will simply point readers to a couple of posts that I have previously made that address some of these issues, particularly the sunburn/yakhonets supersonic cruise missile issue. You failed to respond the last time to these posts, so I assume you have dug up nothing new.

As regards the sea mine issue, the US and UK navies are equipped with plenty of MCM warships and helicopters. I doubt if a real war starts that the Straits of Hormuz will be shut down for long, even with the vaunted "rockets in a cave" option.

The Van Ripper episode was important for the US Navy because it helped stress how critical the ROE will be when the balloon goes up. I seriously doubt many Iranian suicide boats will get through the carrier groups defenses.

But since you are such a fanboy of the Van Ripper scenario, perhaps you should volunteer to man one of the boats since you think it will be so easy.  
:-)

<http://www.irandefence.net/showpost....7&postcount=22>

<http://www.irandefence.net/showpost....5&postcount=13>



Today, 06:14 PM

#5



**Zionix**  
Lieutenant



Join Date: Sep 2006  
Posts: 393

 **Burster, banalities and generalities paraded as answers...**

### **Burster, banalities and generalities paraded as answers when they're in fact empty propaganda are not worthy of any reply...**

The fact is that the US has **NO PROVEN** solution to Iran's supersonic missiles, such as the Sunburns, Yakhonts and Klub-S (Sizzler) and this will be its downfall. Actually, the situation is so bad, that the next generation of aircraft carriers is being put on hold until a real solution to this lethal problem can be found, just like the Israeli stopped building their Merkava tanks when HizbAllah damaged/destroyed about 100 of them. Of course, if/when that happens, the new generation of Russian anti-ship missiles driven by Ramjet engines will be doing Mach 8 and nothing can stop that, so it'll be the end of the era of large and costly naval vessels due to their extreme vulnerability...

***"Jane's, the London-based defense information group, reported in 2005 in its publication ` `Missiles and Rockets" that Russia had offered the missile [Sizzler] to Iran as part of a sale in the 1990s of three Kilo- class submarines. That report was confirmed by the Pentagon official who requested anonymity.***

*The U.S. Navy, after nearly six years of warnings from Pentagon testers, still lacks a plan for defending aircraft carriers against a supersonic Russian-built missile, according to current and former officials and Defense Department documents.*

***The Defense Department's weapons-testing office judges the threat so serious that its director, Charles McQueary, warned the Pentagon's chief weapons-buyer in a memo that he would move to stall production of multibillion-dollar ship and missile programs until the issue was addressed. In the memo, McQuery said that unless the Sizzler threat was addressed, his office wouldn't approve test plans necessary for production to begin on several other projects, including Northrop Grumman Corp.'s new \$35.8 billion CVN-21 aircraft-carrier project; the \$36.5 billion DDG-1000 destroyer project being developed by Northrop and General Dynamics Corp.; and two Raytheon Corp. projects, the \$6 billion Standard Missile-6 and \$1.1 billion Ship Self Defense System.***

*The effect is that in a conflict, the U.S. ` `would send a billion-dollar platform loaded with equipment and crew into harm's way without some sort of confidence that we could defeat what is apparently a threat very near on the horizon," Christie said.*

*The Navy considered developing a program to test against the Sizzler ` `but has no plans in the immediate future to initiate such a developmental effort," Naval Air Systems Command spokesman Rob Koon said in an e-mail."*

[http://news.yahoo.com/s/bloomberg/20...g/ako7y\\_orw538](http://news.yahoo.com/s/bloomberg/20...g/ako7y_orw538)

**All your yapping about the US Navy defence against Iran's supersonic missiles, is just that... yapping ... in the light of the information presented above: if Iran attacks first, as I believe it's poised to do, the US will become a second tier nation in the next few years, burdened by debts of which it cannot even pay the interest and having lost the respect usually afforded to Superpowers... while being headed for extinction, like a tiny-brained dinosaur that just could not adapt to fast changing conditions to survive...**

Quote:

Originally Posted by **burster** 

Zionix,

*Hasn't this all been mentioned and hashed out before on this forum? Do you think just restating the same points ad nauseum is somehow going to turn reality on its head?*

*Instead of going over everything again, I will simply point readers to a couple of posts that I have previously made that address some of these issues, particularly the sunburn/yakhonets supersonic cruise missile issue. You failed to respond the last time to these posts, so I assume you have dug up nothing new.*

*As regards the sea mine issue, the US and UK navies are equipped with plenty of MCM warships and helicopters. I doubt if a real war starts that the Straits of Hormuz will be shut down for long, even with the vaunted "rockets in a cave" option.*

*The Van Ripper episode was important for the US Navy because it helped stress how critical the ROE will be when the balloon goes up. I seriously doubt many Iranian suicide boats will get through the carrier groups defenses.*

*But since you are such a fanboy of the Van Ripper scenario, perhaps you should volunteer to man one of the boats since you think it will be so easy.*

*: -)*

<http://www.irandefence.net/showpost...7&postcount=22>

<http://www.irandefence.net/showpost...5&postcount=13>




**Let's NIX ZION for inventing terrorism...**

<http://iraqwar.mirror-world.ru/article/102804>

Last edited by Zionix : Today at 06:32 PM.



 Today, 06:30 PM

#6

[Brimstojne](#) 

Private



Join Date: Mar 2007

Posts: 9

iran instigating an attack against USA would be complete suicide for the country. at the moment most americans are against having a war with iran. BUT, if iran attacks, ill assure you that 100% of american public would be COMPLETELY in support of a complete invasion of iran. so, it would be an extremely stupid thing to do from iran's side(to instigate an attack)



Today, 06:40 PM

#7

[Behrooz Boonabi](#)

General

Join Date: Nov 2006  
Posts: 1,125



Quote:

Originally Posted by [Brimstojne](#)

*iran instigating an attack against USA would be complete suicide for the country. at the moment most americans are against having a war with iran. BUT, if iran attacks, ill assure you that 100% of american public would be COMPLETELY in support of a complete invasion of iran. so, it would be an extremely stupid thing to do from iran's side(to instigate an attack)*

Iran isnt instegating an attack. The US is trying to get Iran to retaliate but so far it hasnt worked.

About a use of force by the US, any attack on Iran will cause a retaliation that will not stop even possibly after an invasion. An invasion would also be very bloody and the US does not even have anywhere close to enough troops for. It isnt Iraq.



Today, 06:42 PM

#8



[Zionix](#)   
Lieutenant



Join Date: Sep 2006  
Posts: 393

 You still haven't got it!....


You still haven't got it, so let me REPEAT!....

**As soon as the Russian intercepts passed on to Iran confirm an imminent US attack,** Iran MUST STRIKE FIRST

If Iran can show that it was about to be attacked, the fact that it struck first at the US will not matter one iota to the world's opinion, which already considers the US as a ROGUE NATION out of control...

**I bet that the loss of a few Carriers and the destruction of bases in Iraq will put a serious damper on the average US citizen's opinion, used to see its country attack with impunity defenseless states...**

Quote:


Originally Posted by **Brimstojne** 

*iran instigating an attack against USA would be complete suicide for the country. at the moment most americans are against having a war with iran. BUT, if iran attacks, ill assure you that 100% of american public would be COMPLETELY in support of a complete invasion of iran. so, it would be an extremely stupid thing to do from iran's side(to instigate an attack)*



**Let's NIX ZION for inventing terrorism...**  
<http://iraqwar.mirror-world.ru/article/102804>



 Today, 06:47 PM

#9



Join Date: Oct 2006  
Location: Working in the US  
Posts: 153



Yes, thats right, get the US public pissed off and wanting genocide, brilliant move.



# Behrooz Boonabi

Join Date: Nov 2006  
Posts: 1,125

General



Quote:

Originally Posted by **Zionix** 

*I bet that the loss of a few Carriers and the destruction of bases in Iraq will put a serious damper on the average US citizen's opinion, used to see its country attack with impunity defenseless states...*

Yes, Iran is a totally different thing. Iran is not defensless and its millitary is designed for a confrontation with the US.



[« Previous Thread](#) | [Next Thread »](#)

### Posting Rules

- You **may not** post new threads
- You **may not** post replies
- You **may not** post attachments
- You **may not** edit your posts

[vB code](#) is **On**  
[Smilies](#) are **On**  
[\[IMG\]](#) code is **On**  
 HTML code is **Off**

### Forum Jump

Iran's Military & Strategic Discussion Forum 

Go

### Similar Threads

Thread

Thread Starter

Forum

Replies

Last Post

## Similar Threads



<a href="#">How Farsi influenced English</a>	khak-2-sar	General chat	3	03-29-2007 08:56 PM
<a href="#">The United States and Iran-Iraq War 1980-1988</a>	admin	Military Articles	19	11-08-2006 11:44 PM
<a href="#">The Sunburn - Iran's Awesome Missile that could defeat the U.S. in the Persian Gulf</a>	siroos	Social & Political Issues Forum	36	09-12-2006 07:23 PM
<a href="#">Iranian military procurements</a>	Al-Andalus	Military Articles	3	08-26-2006 07:28 PM
<a href="#">Persian Gulf History</a>	Highsky	Iran's Military & Strategic Discussion Forum	1	01-12-2006 05:24 PM

All times are GMT. The time now is 08:28 PM.

**[Contact Us](#) - [Islamic Republic of Iran Defence Forum](#) - [Archive](#) - [Top](#)**

Powered by vBulletin® Version 3.6.4

Copyright ©2000 - 2007, Jelsoft Enterprises Ltd.

This is an independent forum and not related with the Iranian Government in any way.

### **Sponsor Links**

[WhoPy](#) - [Place Your Tag](#)