

CNN Is An ACTIVE Participant In Planning War With Iran!!

- Printer-friendly format
Email this thread to a friend
Bookmark this thread

Previous thread | Next thread

Home » Discuss » General Discussion

DONATE

Military Search

Find your ancestors online today Start now with a 14 Day Free Trial! Ancestry.com.au

Local Photo Personal Ads

Find Fun, Romance, Love and More. Safely & Securely. Meet Someone Now MatchTrust.com

Crisco (1000+ posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:21 AM Original message

CNN Is An ACTIVE Participant In Planning War With Iran!!

Edited on Wed Nov-28-07 11:23 AM by Crisco



I just received this notice in my email:

CNN Presents: We Were Warned--Iran Goes Nuclear

CNN Presents: We Were Warned - Iran Goes Nuclear examines a potential scenario of the nation's response to an Iran with nuclear weapon capabilities. Participating in the scenario are people with real-life situation task force experience at the highest levels of government:

Former Acting CIA Director John Mclaughlin in the role as National Security Advisor

Former Nixon and Clinton Sr. Staffer David Gergen in the role as White House Chief of Staff

Former Vice-Chair of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, General Joe Ralston, in the role as Chairman of the Joint Chiefs

Former EPA Administrator and New Jersey Gov. Christie Todd Whitman in the role as Secretary of Treasury

Former CIA Director Jim Woolsey in the role as Secretary of Defense

Former FBI Terrorist Chief Dale Watson in the role as Secretary of Homeland Security

Former CIA Director of Counter Terrorism, John Brennan in the role as Director of National Intelligence

Former U.S. Ambassador to Israel, U.S. Ambassador to Syria and Undersecretary of State for Near-East affairs, Ed Djrejian as the Secretary of State.

Set in the future, an informant discloses a secret Iranian nuclear weapons program and the U.S. president convenes his National Security Council to review the options and the consequences of potential action and inaction, and develop a recommendation for what to do.

While our hypothetical council debates, CNN's Frank Sesno interviews former Secretary of State Madeline Albright, former arms inspector David Kay, and current Under Secretary of State Nicholas Burns for their expert views on the consequences of a nuclear Iran - and the current tensions between the two nations. He asks them what the U.S. should be doing to avert the worst-case scenario.

We Were Warned - Iran Goes Nuclear will premiere on Wednesday, December 12 from 8pm to 10pm, with a replay at midnight to 2am (all times Eastern).

This program is pure propaganda, designed to get us all comfy with the idea of going to war with Iran CNN is guilty of conspiracy, IMO.

Refresh | 3 Recommendations

Printer Friendly | Permalink | Reply | Top

Replies to this thread

Table with 4 columns: Reply text, Username, Date, and Post ID. Contains multiple replies discussing the article's content and the user's opinion.

DOES EVERYONE FORGET THE SOVIET UNION???	LSK	Nov-28-07 11:28 AM	#8
The United States of Alzheimers?	zidzi	Nov-28-07 11:29 AM	#12
No, but the elites WANT us to be. Frankly I'm far more terrified by our own psycho govt	Echo In Light	Nov-28-07 11:39 AM	#16
Just the title ("We Were Warned") is ridiculous--	wienerdoggie	Nov-28-07 11:28 AM	#10
Who sponsors this Shit?	zidzi	Nov-28-07 11:33 AM	#13
Ah Yep .. What Did Condi Say About Mushroom Clouds?	Crisco	Nov-28-07 11:37 AM	#14
LOL! Thank God we listened, or we might not be mired in Iraq today!	wienerdoggie	Nov-28-07 12:12 PM	#18
Wake up! CNN FOX CBS ABC NBC MSN are our ENEMIES	L0oniX	Nov-28-07 11:47 AM	#17
Word.	Bonobo	Nov-28-07 12:20 PM	#19
Say it aint so. The network of Glen Beck..	MinM	Nov-28-07 12:23 PM	#20
Chavez accuses CNN of psyops.	MinM	Nov-28-07 01:21 PM	#23
And this kind of action has a specific history. One of the coup plotting	sfexpat2000	Nov-28-07 01:46 PM	#28
Broadcast media in this country is the crap from a horse's ass.	AX10	Nov-28-07 01:27 PM	#25
I am speechless, simply speechless.	Mr_Monday	Nov-28-07 01:33 PM	#26
There will be no economy	loindelrio	Nov-28-07 02:07 PM	#29
Please call the office when you get an admission. LOL	lonestarnot	Nov-28-07 02:18 PM	#31

tjwash (1000+ posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:24 AM
Response to [Original message](#)

1. What....no one's playing the Clenis selling the Iranians Uranium and bomb blueprints?



CNN. 😊

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

ixion (1000+ posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:25 AM
Response to [Original message](#)

2. they've been complicit in these things for a couple decades now

JOURNAL UPDATED



they are garbage, IMO, and really worse than FAUX because they're more deceptive and less obvious.

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Ishoutandscream2 (1000+ posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:25 AM
Response to [Original message](#)

3. Why not? They've been cheerleaders for war since 1st Gulf War



Good for the ratings, ya know.

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

harun (970 posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:27 AM
Response to [Reply #3](#)

7. CNN has had the pom-pom's on cheerleading war for years



Nothing get's people tuned in like anti-aircraft fire fireworks.

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Romulox (941 posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:26 AM
Response to [Original message](#)

4. CNN is under the control of a Saudi Sheik, IIRC. Isn't Deregulation grand? nt



[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Echo In Light (318 posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:27 AM
Response to [Reply #4](#)

6. The Reagan/Bush fascists understood that Orwellian term very well



Edited on Wed Nov-28-07 11:28 AM by Echo In Light

"De-regulation"

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Romulox (941 posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:29 AM
Response to [Reply #6](#)

11. As a poster below notices, it was BILL CLINTON that deregulated media ownership...nt



Wed Nov-28-07 11:37 AM
Response to [Reply #11](#)

Echo In Light (318 posts)

15. Yes. The Telecommunications Act of 96. Reagan/Bush's "dereg" policies were economic..



And they did abolish The Fairness Doctrine.

Wed Nov-28-07 11:28 AM
Response to [Reply #4](#)

zidzi (1000+ posts)

9. Yeah, thanks bill clinton



you're a real stud.

Wed Nov-28-07 12:25 PM
Response to [Reply #4](#)

entanglement (1000+ posts)

21. And I suppose they'd be calling for peace with Iran otherwise?



Wed Nov-28-07 12:49 PM
Response to [Reply #21](#)

Romulox (941 posts)

22. Who can say? All things being equal, I'd rather not have my news filtered through the Saudi royals



Whether they be pro or anti war with Iran.

It's a quirk of mine.

Wed Nov-28-07 01:25 PM
Response to [Reply #22](#)

entanglement (1000+ posts)

24. As I understand, they don't interfere in the day to day affairs of the channel



Edited on Wed Nov-28-07 01:25 PM by entanglement

Rather hard to imagine Glenn Beck taking orders from a Sheik. 😊 Anyhow - my point is that identifying certain sections of the capitalist media as somehow better (or worse) than others (based on nationalist grounds) seems to be somewhat naive. They are collectively hostile to the interests of working people and only interested in self-enrichment. The problem with your kind of thinking is that it *externalizes* the problem entirely. By identifying the 'enemy' as Saudi / Mexican / Chinese / <insert favorite country here>, you fail to identify the *class* enemy - who is better identified by his moneybags than anything else and let 'our' corrupt capitalists off the hook.



Wed Nov-28-07 01:40 PM
Response to [Reply #24](#)

Romulox (941 posts)

27. On the contrary, there has been a patent shift in editorial line at CNN in the past several years



Edited on Wed Nov-28-07 01:41 PM by Romulox

Furthermore, **every** developed country on earth (save our own) restricts foreign ownership of media, precisely because a Saudi Sheik's interests very likely do not coincide very neatly with those of the average American.

In the law, there is a saying: *cui bono?* For *whose* benefit? I think it's fairly obvious that the true naivete lies in assuming a cash-flushed member of the Saudi royal family bought a controlling interest in CNN solely as a silent partner. The marked (and publicly acknowledged) change in editorial line at CNN that coincides with this ownership is a coincidence only in the minds of the truly credulous.

Wed Nov-28-07 02:22 PM
Response to [Reply #27](#)

entanglement (1000+ posts)

32. You misunderstand me - I don't classify the Saudi rulers as a benign force



Edited on Wed Nov-28-07 02:28 PM by entanglement

any more than the neo-cons or any other group hostile to the interests of the working class. The difference is you're thinking along nationalistic lines and I'm thinking along class lines. The domination of the airwaves and other forms of media by organized capital has ALREADY proved to be a disaster for us. Pursuing your argument, you're perfectly ok with the control of large sections of the US media by someone like Rupert Murdoch (because he's an American). The likes of Mr. Murdoch are arguably as culpable as any Sheiks in our spiraling descent to fascism.

You're worried about the perceived "change of editorial line" since the Saudis bought a stake. Gawd! You're at best seeing a *very* small part of the train-wreck that is organized capitalism.

Rupert Murdoch (or Eisner or any other media boss's) interests coincide about as much (as little, really) with those of a working class American as a Saudi Sheik . That is the crux of my idea.

You believe *one* group of owners of capital / bosses are somehow better than others because they're American. I disagree - I perceive nationalistic thinking (worldwide) as a major enemy to global working class unity and solidarity. As long as the working class is divided along ethnic / racial / religious / nationalistic lines, the bosses win.

Edited to add: Of course, I'm all for total control of the airwaves by working people rather than kings, sheiks, neocons or corporatists of any stripe.



[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

blm ☆ (1000+ posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 02:09 PM
Response to [Reply #4](#)

30. House of Saud-House of Bush have controlled CNN outright since AOL took over.

Steve Case has been a BFEE man for decades.

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

zidzi ☆ (1000+ posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:27 AM
Response to [Original message](#)

5. HELLO!



They had so much fun and revenue with Iraq they decided to do 'er again. Their corporatemasters have spoken and the crapwhores spring into action.

Fooled them once and are they're gonna do it again?

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

LSK ☆ (1000+ posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:28 AM
Response to [Original message](#)

8. DOES EVERYONE FORGET THE SOVIET UNION???

Edited on Wed Nov-28-07 11:28 AM by LSK



That country that had thousands of nukes pointed at our cities for some 50 years????? But we are scared shitless of Iran having 1 bomb 10 years from now???????



[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

zidzi ☆ (1000+ posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:29 AM
Response to [Reply #8](#)

12. The United States of Alzheimers?



I forget who I stole that from.

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Echo In Light (318 posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:39 AM
Response to [Reply #8](#)

16. No, but the elites WANT us to be. Frankly I'm far more terrified by our own psycho govt



[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

wienerdoggie ☆ (1000+ posts)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:28 AM
Response to [Original message](#)

10. Just the title ("We Were Warned") is ridiculous--



Ohhhh, we're all gonna die now! Dick Cheney was right!! HE TRIED TO WARN US!!! Thanks, CNN, for your unnecessary, irresponsible, ratings-boosting fear-mongering.

Wed Nov-28-07 11:33 AM
Response to [Reply #10](#)

zidzi ☆ (1000+ posts)    

13. Who sponsors this Shit?



Edited on Wed Nov-28-07 11:39 AM by zidzi

Is there anyway to hit cnn in their corporatepursestrings?

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:37 AM
Response to [Reply #10](#)

Crisco ☆ (1000+ posts)    





14. Ah Yep .. What Did Condi Say About Mushroom Clouds?



She warned us, too.

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 12:12 PM
Response to [Reply #14](#)

wienerdoggie ☆ (1000+ posts)    

18. LOL! Thank God we listened, or we might not be mired in Iraq today!



[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 11:47 AM
Response to [Original message](#)

LOoniX ☆ (952 posts)    

17. Wake up! CNN FOX CBS ABC NBC MSN are our ENEMIES

JOURNAL UPDATED



[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 12:20 PM
Response to [Reply #17](#)

Bonobo (1000+ posts)    

19. Word.



[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 12:23 PM
Response to [Original message](#)

MinM (31 posts)    

20. Say it aint so. The network of Glen Beck..

Oh wait .. Glen Beck and that e-mail are part of a consistent pattern with CNN:

[Why Were Government Propaganda Experts Working On News At CNN?](#)

Reports in the Dutch newspaper Trouw (2/21/00, 2/25/00) and France's Intelligence Newsletter (2/17/00) have revealed that several officers from the US Army's 4th Psychological Operations (PSYOPS) Group at Ft. Bragg worked in the news division at CNN's Atlanta headquarters last year, starting in the final days of the Kosovo War.

The story is disturbing. In the 1980s, officers from the 4th Army PSYOPS group staffed the National Security Council's Office of Public Diplomacy (OPD), a shadowy government propaganda agency that planted stories in the U.S. media supporting the Reagan Administration's Central America policies.





A senior US official described OPD as a "vast psychological warfare operation of the kind the military conducts to influence a population in enemy territory." (Miami Herald, 7/19/87) An investigation by the congressional General Accounting Office found that OPD had engaged in "prohibited, covert propaganda activities," and the office was soon shut down as a result of the Iran-Contra investigations. But the 4th PSYOPS group still operates.

CNN has always maintained a close relationship with the Pentagon. Getting access to top military officials is a necessity for a network that stakes its reputation on being first on the ground during wars and other military operations..

<http://www.fair.org/index.php?page=1748>

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 01:21 PM
Response to [Reply #20](#)

MinM (31 posts)    

23. Chavez accuses CNN of psyops.


[Venezuela Chavez: CNN may be instigating my murder](#)

CARACAS, Nov 28 (Reuters) - Venezuelan President Hugo Chavez said on Wednesday CNN may have been instigating his murder when the U.S. TV network showed a photograph of him with a label underneath that read "Who killed him?"

The caption appeared to be a production mistake -- confusing a Chavez news item with one on the death of a football star..

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 01:46 PM
Response to [Reply #23](#)

sfexpat2000 ☆ (1000+ posts) 


28. And this kind of action has a specific history. One of the coup plotting

**IMPEACH
BU\$H**

stations in Venezuela ran tape of the assassination attempt on the Pope over and over with a voice over that suggested that it was time to "take direct action", iirc. They didn't name Chavez but the implication was pretty clear. I believe that station (Globovision) and CNN are connected financially.

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 01:27 PM
Response to [Original message](#)


AX10 (1000+ posts) 

25. Broadcast media in this country is the crap from a horse's ass.

CLARK

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 01:33 PM
Response to [Original message](#)


Mr_Monday (10 posts) 

26. I am speechless, simply speechless.

But I guess it's expected from the corporate media. The only thing I don't understand is how the economy will improve when the oil supplies get disrupted (from War w/ Iran)

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 02:07 PM
Response to [Reply #26](#)

loindelrio ☆ (1000+ posts) 

29. There will be no economy



An attack on Iran will lead to [loss](#) of 50% of the worlds petroleum export market, reducing said market from ~41 Mbb/dy to ~21 Mbb/dy.

Petroleum will be priceless, with critical demand far outstripping supply.


The US economy will go into depression, or worse. Shortages in fuel and food will occur in short order, as the US imports over 12 Mbb/dy, and will be bidding using the greatly weakened dollar against countries with significant export capacity in finished goods in what remains of the worlds export market.

Vlad, on the other hand, is [excited](#). Kinda like some Anton Chigurh stalking the [petrodollar](#).

And all the current 'peace conference' is accomplishing is further isolation of Iran, making it much more likely they will strike out against perceived US 'allies' in the gulf.

[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

Wed Nov-28-07 02:18 PM
Response to [Original message](#)

lonestarnot ☆ (1000+ posts) 

31. Please call the office when you get an admission. LOL



[Printer Friendly](#) | [Permalink](#) | [Reply](#) | [Top](#)

[China Flag&Banner seller](#)
10 Years Experience, Accredited
Co. Buy now Direct from
manufacturer
www.flagbanner1001.com

[Canada - Know Your Rights](#)
Charter of Rights & Freedoms
online video, multilingual &
Teacher tools
charterofrights.ca

[Buy Computers](#)
Find, compare and buy. Prices
from Australia's leading retailers.
www.shopping.com

[Wristbands](#)
Get the perfect wristband for you.
Charity, custom & athletic bands.
www.ExpertTopics.com

Big Forums

[Home](#) » [Discuss](#) » [General Discussion](#)

[DONATE](#)

Powered by DCForum+ Version 1.1 Copyright 1997-2002 DCScripts.com
Software has been extensively modified by the DU administrators

Important Notices: By participating on this discussion board, visitors agree to abide by the rules outlined on our [Rules](#) page. Messages posted on the Democratic Underground Discussion Forums are the opinions of the individuals who post them, and do not necessarily represent the opinions of Democratic Underground, LLC.

[Home](#) | [Discussion Forums](#) | [Journals](#) | [Campaigns](#) | [Links](#) | [Store](#) | [Donate](#)

Got a message for Democratic Underground? [Click here](#) to send us a message.