

War on Terror

See other [War on Terror](#) Articles

Title: [New Seismic Data Refutes Official Version \(WTC Collapse\)](#)

Source: **American Free Press**

URL Source: http://www.americanfreepress.net/09_03_02/NEW_SEISMIC/new_seismic.html

Published: **Sep 3, 2002**

Author: **Christopher Bollyn**

Post Date: **2003-09-03 22:01:32** by **Red Jones**

64 Comments

NEW SEISMIC DATA REFUTES OFFICIAL EXPLANATION

Two unexplained “spikes” in the seismic record from Sept. 11 indicate huge bursts of energy shook the ground beneath the World Trade Center’s twin towers immediately prior to the collapse.

Exclusive to American Free Press

By Christopher Bollyn

American Free Press has learned of pools of “molten steel” found at the base of the collapsed twin towers weeks after the collapse. Although the energy source for these incredibly hot areas has yet to be explained, New York seismometers recorded huge bursts of energy, which caused unexplained seismic “spikes” at the beginning of each collapse.

These spikes suggest that massive underground explosions may have literally knocked the towers off their foundations, causing them to collapse.

In the basements of the collapsed towers, where the 47 central support columns connected with the bedrock, hot spots of “literally molten steel” were discovered more than a month after the collapse. Such persistent and intense residual heat, 70 feet below the surface, in an oxygen starved environment, could explain how these crucial structural supports failed.

Peter Tully, president of Tully Construction of Flushing, N.Y., told AFP that he saw pools of “literally molten steel” at the World Trade Center.

Tully was contracted after the Sept. 11 tragedy to re move the debris from the site.

Tully called Mark Loizeaux, president of Controlled Demolition, Inc. (CDI) of Phoenix, Md., for consultation about removing the debris. CDI calls itself “the innovator and global leader in the controlled demolition and implosion of structures.”

Loizeaux, who cleaned up the bombed Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, arrived at the WTC site two days later and wrote the clean-up plan for the entire operation.

AFP asked Loizeaux about the report of molten steel on the site.

“Yes,” he said, “hot spots of molten steel in the basements.”

Breaking News:

[Obama Tangles With Online Pervert / Photos of pol's daughters were posted on pedophile's web site](#)

[Japan PM: No more WWII brothel apologies](#)

[Air strikes on Iran could backfire: report](#)

[Sinn Fein seeks power north and south of Irish border](#)

[Rep.TOM TANCREDO gave a speech to the CONSERVATIVE POLIT.ACTION CMTE.Mar.2 & McCain did not attend!](#)

[Chavez calls envoy 'professional killer' / enemies including the CIA are out to kill him](#)

[How a community imploded \(Latino gangs terror war on blacks\)](#)

[Bush in a quandary over border agents' case](#)

[Amnesty: America Hangs in the Balance - Will Decades-Long Conspiracy Succeed?](#)

[Earlier date for springing forward may lead to 'mini Y2K'](#)

[Museum IDs new species of dinosaur](#)

[Texas cop faces prison after shooting at illegals' van](#)

[US Cherokees vote to expel descendants of slaves](#)

['Global Warming Is Lies' Claims Documentary](#)

[U.S. projects 19 percent](#)

These incredibly hot areas were found “at the bottoms of the elevator shafts of the main towers, down seven [basement] levels,” Loizeaux said.

The molten steel was found “three, four, and five weeks later, when the rubble was being removed,” Loizeaux said. He said molten steel was also found at 7 WTC, which collapsed mysteriously in the late afternoon.

Construction steel has an extremely high melting point of about 2,800 degrees Fahrenheit.

Asked what could have caused such extreme heat, Tully said, “Think of the jet fuel.”

Loizeaux told AFP that the steel-melting fires were fueled by “paper, carpet and other combustibles packed down the elevator shafts by the tower floors as they ‘pancaked’ into the basement.”

However, some independent investigators dispute this claim, saying kerosene-based jet fuel, paper, or the other combustibles normally found in the towers, cannot generate the heat required to melt steel, especially in an oxygen-poor environment like a deep basement.

Eric Hufschmid, author of a book about the WTC collapse, *Painful Questions*,* told AFP that due to the lack of oxygen, paper and other combustibles packed down at the bottom of elevator shafts would probably be “a smoky smoldering pile.”

Experts disagree that jet-fuel or paper could generate such heat.

This is impossible, they say, because the maximum temperature that can be reached by hydrocarbons like jet-fuel burning in air is 1,520 degrees F. Because the WTC fires were fuel rich, as evidenced by the thick black smoke, it is argued that they did not reach this upper limit.

The hottest spots at the surface of the rubble, where abundant oxygen was available, were much cooler than the molten steel found in the basements.

Five days after the collapse, on Sept. 16, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) used an Airborne Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer (AVIRIS) to locate and measure the site’s hot spots.

Dozens of hot spots were mapped, the hottest being in the east corner of the South Tower where a temperature of 1,377 degrees F was recorded.

This is, however, less than half as hot as the molten steel in the basement.

The foundations of the twin towers were 70 feet deep. At that level, 47 huge box columns, connected to the bedrock, supported the entire gravity load of the structures. The steel walls of these lower box columns were four inches thick.

Videos of the North Tower collapse show its communication mast falling first, indicating that the central support columns must have failed at the very beginning of the collapse. Loizeaux told AFP, “Everything went simultaneously.”

“At 10:29 the entire top section of the North Tower had been severed from the base and began falling down,” Hufschmid writes. “If the first event was the falling of a floor, how did that progress to the severing of hundreds of columns?”

[emissions rise](#)

[G.O.P. Candidates Criticize Slur by Conservative Author](#)

[The Shocking Truth About Global Warming](#)

[Global warming is human rights issue: Nobel nominee](#)

[Armed smugglers fighting it out \[ILLEGALS & DRUGS!\]](#)

[Activists' expulsion cited as Bush rule](#)

[Al Gore Deliver speech at University of Oklahoma before 7000 people](#)

[Michael Moore unmasked by left-wing filmmakers](#)

[Alleged Prostitute Threatens To Sell Client List](#)

[Russian Expert Shot Near D.C.-Area Home](#)

[Teens suspected in bank heist arrested](#)

Other Articles:

[Tables turn on Fahrenheit 9/11 filmmaker](#)

[WORDS OF WISDOM](#)

[Clarence Thomas: I Hate the Media](#)

[AUTHOR OF TRIPLE CROSS ON C-SPAN -2 NOW](#)

[Elections](#)

[Report from a Minuteman Visitor from New York \(pics\)](#)

[Bill Will Result in Children Reporting Parents](#)

Asked if the vertical support columns gave way before the connections between the floors and the columns, Ron Hamburger, a structural engineer with the FEMA assessment team said, “That’s the \$64,000 question.”

Loizeaux said, “If I were to bring the towers down, I would put explosives in the basement to get the weight of the building to help collapse the structure.”

SEISMIC ‘SPIKES’

Seismographs at Columbia University’s Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory in Palisades, N.Y., 21 miles north of the WTC, recorded strange seismic activity on Sept. 11 that has still not been explained.

While the aircraft crashes caused minimal earth shaking, significant earthquakes with unusual spikes occurred at the beginning of each collapse.

The Palisades seismic data recorded a 2.1 magnitude earthquake during the 10-second collapse of the South Tower at 9:59:04 and a 2.3 quake during the 8-second collapse of the North Tower at 10:28:31.

However, the Palisades seismic record shows that—as the collapses began—a huge seismic “spike” marked the moment the greatest energy went into the ground. The strongest jolts were all registered at the beginning of the collapses, well before the falling debris struck the Earth.

These unexplained “spikes” in the seismic data lend credence to the theory that massive explosions at the base of the towers caused the collapses.

A “sharp spike of short duration” is how seismologist Thorne Lay of University of California at Santa Cruz told AFP an underground nuclear explosion appears on a seismograph.

The two unexplained spikes are more than 20 times the amplitude of the other seismic waves associated with the collapses and occurred in the East-West seismic recording as the buildings began to fall.

Experts cannot explain why the seismic waves peaked before the towers actually hit the ground.

Asked about these spikes, seismologist Arthur Lerner-Lam, director of Columbia University’s Center for Hazards and Risk Research told AFP, “This is an element of current research and discussion. It is still being investigated.”

Lerner-Lam told AFP that a 10-fold increase in wave amplitude indicates a 100-fold increase in energy released. These “short-period surface waves,” reflect “the interaction between the ground and the building foundation,” according to a report from Columbia Earth Institute.

“The seismic effects of the collapses are comparable to the explosions at a gasoline tank farm near Newark on Jan. 7, 1983,” the Palisades Seismology Group reported on Sept. 14, 2001.

One of the seismologists, Won-Young Kim, told AFP that the Palisades seismographs register daily underground explosions from a quarry 20 miles away.

[Chinese Ownership of Mexican Port Causing Worry](#)

[Goldman, Merrill Almost 'Junk,' Their Own Traders Say](#)

[Military-Grade Arsenal Found In Bloomington Home](#)

[Fortress America](#)

[Honeybees Vanish, Leaving Crops and Keepers in Peril](#)

[The unanticipated results of recycling](#)

[Christian belief a 'hate crime' under plan proposal would mandate jail time for dissing a 'gay'](#)

[Belated outrage emerges over Easter Bunny's 2003 name change](#)

[California exodus has far-ranging implications](#)

[Anatomy of Grey's--The Source of Ann Coulter's 'Faggot' Remark](#)

[How Gore's massive energy consumption saves the world](#)

[Did Cheney Allow Or Order 9/11 Plane To Strike Pentagon?](#)

[Bush in a quandary over border agents' case](#)

[Dannion Brinkley, "Friends, we must now wake up!"](#)

[2007 German horror tale](#)

[Gunmen kill 2 politicians in Mexico](#)

[BBC Exec Admits to blowing up WTC 7](#)

[Nancy Tate channels Archangel Michael](#)

These blasts are caused by 80,000 pounds of ammonium nitrate and cause local earthquakes between Magnitude 1 and 2. Kim said the 1993 truck-bomb at the WTC did not register on the seismographs because it was “not coupled” to the ground.

“Only a small fraction of the energy from the collapsing towers was converted into ground motion,” Lerner-Lam said. “The ground shaking that resulted from the collapse of the towers was extremely small.”

Last November, Lerner-Lam said: “During the collapse, most of the energy of the falling debris was absorbed by the towers and the neighboring structures, converting them into rubble and dust or causing other damage—but not causing significant ground shaking.”

Evidently, the energy source that shook the ground beneath the towers was many times more powerful than the total potential energy released by the falling mass of the towers. The question is: What was that energy source?

While steel is often tested for evidence of explosions, despite numerous eyewitness reports of explosions in the towers, the engineers involved in the FEMA-sponsored building assessment did no such tests.

Dr. W. Gene Corley, who investigated for the government the cause of the fire at the Branch Davidian compound in Waco and the Oklahoma City bombing, headed the FEMA-sponsored engineering assessment of the WTC collapse.

Corley told AFP that while some tests had been done on the 80 pieces of steel saved from the site, he said he did not know about tests that show if an explosion had affected the steel.

“I am not a metallurgist,” Corley said.

Much of the structural steel from the WTC was sold to Alan D. Ratner of Metal Management of Newark, N.J., and the New York-based company Hugo Neu Schnitzer East.

Ratner, who heads the New Jersey branch of the Chicago-based company, sold the WTC steel to overseas companies, reportedly selling more than 50,000 tons of steel to a Shanghai steel company known as Baosteel for \$120 per ton. Ratner paid about \$70 per ton for the steel.

Other shipments of steel from the WTC went to India and other Asian ports.

Ratner came to Metal Management after spending years with a metal trading firm known as SimsMetal based out of Sydney, Australia.

* Painful Questions (Item# 1051, \$20, 160 pages, softcover) Is available from First Amendment Books, 645 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 100, Washington D.C. 20003. Call 1-888-699-6397 to order by Visa or MasterCard.

FAIR USE NOTICE: The above may be copyrighted material, and the use of it on LibertyPost.org may not have been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. Such material is made available on a non-profit basis for educational and discussion purposes only. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in 17 USC § 107. For more information go to: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

[Post Comment](#)

[Top](#) • [Page Up](#) • [Full Thread](#) • [Page Down](#) • [Bottom/Latest](#)

Comments (1-14) not displayed.

.
. .
.

15. To: **Red Jones, aligryl (#5)**

Now, don't you think that if the quotes were false that there'd be hell to pay for AFP or Chris Bollyn or both?

AFP's standing policy, and it was the same for "The Spotlight," is that they will correct any meaningful error of fact upon proof of such. So far no one has offered any evidence to the contrary, and the story stands as printed.

The same is true for any article they have printed, and that includes such subjects as the *USS Liberty* and others of equal consequence and controversy.

BTP Holdings posted on 2003-09-03 23:29:17 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

16. To: aligyrl (#10)

Home page

Personal Information

News Releases

Litigation

and the whole file directory if you'd rather use that

There's is even info about Liberty Lobby and Patriots for Profit.

And what do you hope to prove by this incessant whining about Carto? There is another side to the story, but I do not have the time to pursue a defense of your attempts to defame Carto, and will not do so.

Willis Carto has been hounded by the ADL and others for many years, and your shenanigans are only another transparent attempt at the same tactics.

This is pure horse puckey.

BTP Holdings posted on 2003-09-03 23:39:12 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

17. To: aligyrl, RedJones (#10)

Home page

Personal Information

News Releases

Litigation

and the whole file directory if you'd rather use that

There's is even info about Liberty Lobby and Patriots for Profit.

And what do you hope to prove by this incessant whining about Carto? There is another side to the story, but I do not have the time to pursue a defense of your attempts to defame Carto, and will not do so.

Willis Carto has been hounded by the ADL and others for many years, and your shenanigans are only another transparent attempt at the same tactics.

This is pure horse pockey.

BTP Holdings posted on 2003-09-03 23:39:39 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

18. To: BTP Holdings (#16)


Red ask for the links, you moron. He also has been whining and misquoting me about Carto. Stay alert.

aligyrl posted on 2003-09-03 23:42:31 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)


19. To: weikel, Red Jones, SKYDRIFTER (#2)

Okay I'd like to see these seismic records.

This author is an absolute LIAR. Here is a better view of the seismic records to which the article refers from the Columbia source document.



And here is a closeup of the south tower collapse pulse.



Observe that it looks NOTHING like the author's description. There are NO "spikes" at the beginning of the pulses. They ramp up as one would expect them to, peak and then decay. And the seismic trace for the other building looks similar. This source is LYING, Red Jones ... or at the very least, a lazy "journalist" who apparently didn't bother to even read or closely examine the report put out by Columbia seismologists. Continue using it and you'll be the liar.

And note that if this part of the article is a fabrication, then why should we believe the "molten steel" claim in the same article? We shouldn't, of course. Which is why you haven't been able to find another source to back up Bollyn. It doesn't exist. It's an internet rumor. Bollyn's just an anti-Bush, anti- Government nut with an internet pen making things up.

BeAChooser posted on 2003-09-04 01:02:12 ET (2 images) [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

20. To: BeAChooser (#19)

Goodnight Red.

Andito posted on 2003-09-04 01:16:38 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

21. To: aligyrl (#3)

I remember last weekend you said I was a guy who posted using here using the name Steve 50

Hmm, news to me.

Cosby was on Fox live as the first tower fell. Said there were reports of two small explosions as the first collapse started. They cut to commercial and she never mentioned it again. Wish I had been taping.

steve50 posted on 2003-09-04 07:58:18 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

22. To: BeAChooser (#19)

There are NO "spikes" at the beginning of the pulses

I have to ask, are we looking at the same picture? Clearly there is a spike.

honway posted on 2003-09-04 08:13:31 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

23. To: OKCSubmariner (#22)

Is the seismic record depicted in reply #20 consistent with the description in the article?

honway posted on 2003-09-04 08:17:09 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

24. To: **Red Jones** ([#0](#))

<http://iws1.jhsph.edu/magazineSpED/Welch.htm>

[John Hopkins Public Health](#)

Danger in the Dust

It is 4 a.m. in New York City as four researchers from the School enter the site of the World Trade Center disaster on foot. Each is lugging from 50 to 90 pounds of air-monitoring equipment onto Ground Zero. In the dark, the tangled pile of wreckage takes on a distinctly hellish cast.

"Fires are still actively burning and the smoke is very intense," reports Alison Geyh, PhD. **"In some pockets now being uncovered, they are finding molten steel."**

Geyh, an assistant scientist with the School's Department of Environmental Health Sciences (EHS), heads the team of scientists sent by the School in response to a request by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences for a coordinated study of the disaster's potential health effects to those in the immediate environment

honway posted on 2003-09-04 08:33:22 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

25. To: **Red Jones** ([#0](#))

<http://www.ngaus.org/ngmagazine/nyc1201.asp>

[National Guard Association of the United States](#),

Serving on 'Sacred Ground'

December 2001

Smoke constantly poured from the peaks. **One fireman told us that there was still molten steel at the heart of the towers' remains.** Firemen sprayed water to cool the debris down but the heat remained intense enough at the surface to melt their boots.

honway posted on 2003-09-04 08:43:24 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

26. To: **roughrider** ([#25](#))

[Link](#)

First, good news -- from the USFE and Firehouse.Com site: after
> petitioning Congress, accusing NY of concealing evidence by the quick
> recycling of critical steel beams, the civil engineers of the Nat'l
> Institute now have access to over 60 steel beams they found in salvage
> yards which had not been melted down and recycled. On 4/16, they were
> particularly happy to report finding an intact beam that, according to
> the numbers stamped on it, went from floor 101 to 103. Report
> pending. These folks are not "conspiratorial", they just want to know
> WHY the towers collapsed when, according to most calculations, they
> should not have.

honway posted on 2003-09-04 09:05:57 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

27. To: **Red Jones** ([#0](#))

From Port Authority Transcripts:(B-4 is in the basement)

http://www.firehouse.com/news/wtcscripsts/wtc_channel8.pdf

MALE CALLER- B-4 LEVEL: Officer, help. We're down in the B-4 level. This is Turner's field office. There's been a big explosion. We've got water lines open. There seems to be steam and smoke in the area.

.....

MALE CALLER- B-4 LEVEL: It's...yeah, we got smoke. I don't know whether it's from fire, or just dust. We got brken water lines, water all over.

honway posted on 2003-09-04 10:02:24 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

28. To: honway (#24)

The welding of steel produces argon gas. If these guys were measuring air pollutants, and there was molten steel present, there would probably be elevated levels of argon gas detected.

roughrider posted on 2003-09-04 10:56:07 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

29. To: All (#0)

This is impossible, they say, because the maximum temperature that can be reached by hydrocarbons like jet-fuel burning in air is 1,520 degrees F. Because the WTC fires were fuel rich, as evidenced by the thick black smoke, it is argued that they did not reach this upper limit.

Almost 30 posts and nobody here able to point out the obvious. Are we really, as a group, so scientifically illiterate? Cannot one of us look up such basic scientific information to see if the source is correct? Here's an example of a journalist wannabe taking disinformation or plain stupidity as fact and then an editor letting it pass without so much as a high school level check for accuracy.

As I've pointed out on other threads... the temperature of burning jet fuel (hyper-purified kerosene, essentially) is **1727 degrees C**. The melting temperature (MELTING, not "buckling," not "creeping") temperature of steel is **1570 degrees C**. The author not only mixes up the number between steel and jet fuel, but he gets the units wrong. This is an obvious case of a game of journalistic "Whisper Down the Lane" where the perpetrators are brazen and confident enough to believe that, like them, nobody else will bother to check a book to see whether what they're asserting is scientifically credible. People who can't or won't check scientific facts surely will not bother to check political facts. This is a frightening, frightening thing to watch. In recent history, such zealotry, where it triumphs over truth, has sent people to their deaths by the tens of thousands.

Harrison Bergeron posted on 2003-09-04 11:41:28 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

30. To: honway (#26)

> *WHY the towers collapsed when, according to most calculations, they should not have.*

When are we going to stop trying to pass off subjective uneducated opinions as scientific fact? Using the words "*according to most calculations*" sounds good, until one realizes that nobody references an actual calculations. In fact, the only calculations actually referenced on these threads refutes every conspiracy mongering claim.

Harrison Bergeron posted on 2003-09-04 11:55:19 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

31. To: Harrison Bergeron (#30)

Not the least bit curious? If anyone is, please see the information in the link in #3

<http://college4.nytimes.com/guests/articles/2002/02/18/903268.xml>

Then the agents searched a fifth man, Sakhera Hammad of New York, whom the men identified as their second contact, and found an alarming piece of paper. Mr. Hammad had a worker's pass to the World Trade Center dated Sept. 5. Suddenly, the case became a possible terrorist plot.

From the link at the bottom of the article:

In a telephone interview on Saturday, Mr. Hammad's father, Peter Hansen, said his son was a plumber, and he provided a letter he said he had obtained from his son's employer attesting to his work at the trade center. **In the letter, dated Feb. 13, Sergei Denko, president of Denko Mechanical, said Mr. Hammad had been working for his company in the basement garage area of 1 World Trade Center.**

"He was there for a couple of days," Mr. Denko said in an interview.

honway posted on 2003-09-04 12:03:58 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

32. To: **All** ([#31](#))

Not the least bit curious? If anyone is, please see the information in the link in #3

Please disregard the above and see this link , if interested.

<http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bin/readart.cgi?ArtNum=21830&Disp=116#C116>

[Where's the f----- sprinkler system?"](#)

honway posted on 2003-09-04 12:08:12 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

33. To: **Harrison Bergeron** ([#30](#))

<http://www.fema.gov/library/wtstudy.shtm>

World Trade Center Building Performance Study

Here's an index to the FEMA Report.

You should read this. According to FEMA and the engineers the fuel fire did not cause the collapse.

honway posted on 2003-09-04 12:10:15 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

34. To: **Harrison Bergeron** ([#30](#))

http://www.nc4.us/nc4/articles/wtc_exsm.htm

FEMA WTC Sept 11, 2001 Executive Summary

The large quantity of jet fuel carried by each aircraft ignited upon impact into each building. A significant portion of this fuel was consumed immediately in the ensuing fireballs. **The remaining fuel is believed either to have flowed down through the buildings or to have burned off within a few minutes of the aircraft impact. The heat produced by this burning jet fuel does not by itself appear to have been sufficient to initiate the structural collapses.** However, as the burning jet fuel spread across several floors of the buildings, it ignited much of the buildings' contents, causing simultaneous fires across several floors of both buildings.

honway posted on 2003-09-04 12:11:27 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

35. To: honway, roughrider, *TerrorismCoverup* (#23)

From reply #19: Observe that it looks NOTHING like the author's description. There are NO "spikes" at the beginning of the pulses. They ramp up as one would expect them to, peak and then decay. And the seismic trace for the other building looks similar. This source is LYING, Red Jones ... or at the very least, a lazy "journalist" who apparently didn't bother to even read or closely examine the report put out by Columbia seismologists

These rantings in reply #19 are an attempt to obfuscate the FACT that the seismic SPIKES indicating explosions or earthquakes did precede the collapse of both towers after they were hit by planes but well before the towers hit the ground. Another thing to check is whether the other WTC buildings were hit by explosions about the time of the seismic spikes shown on the charts. COuld be a coincidence but charges may have gone off in those other buildings but made it look like (or coincided with) charges went off in the main WTC buildings.

Water mains breaking in the basement is another indication possibly of explosions depending on the timing. See my other replies on this.

Ramping up to the spikes did occur but over a very short period of time and this does not obviate the conclusions that I have stated above. Depending on what scale is used (a compressed time scale in this case) in the traces you could see very little of the ramping effect.

Sounds like someone is desperately trying to get Red Jones off this-Do not use this or you will be smeared and called a liar-typical FBI coverup tactic used repeatedly in the OKC case. If Red Jones keeps using this and if it is really correct the FBI will end up under the orders of Bush, Mueller and Ashcroft writing a smear FBI 302 report (becomes part of the Executive branch's personal files covered by executive privilege-but can be used against you in court with a judge but you can not have it expunged even if you can prove it wrong-I am an expert on this subject) on Red Jones and the author to intimidate them and attempt to destroy their credibility-police state tactics, KGB style. Orin Hatch made sure the FBI learned all these KGB smear techniques well when he set up the FBI/KGB school in Budapest in 1996-Hatch gave a graduating address there.

OKCSubmariner posted on 2003-09-04 12:20:58 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

36. To: Harrison Bergeron (#29)

The problem with your temperature citations is they are not relevant to the final official position of what caused the heating of the steel to the buckling point. According to FEMA, the jet fuel was not responsible for heating the steel. FEMA's report states that all the jet fuel did was ignite secondary sources of combustion, such as paper, furniture, carpeting, and plastic computers. Those items continued to burn, producing an inferno that heated the steel. FEMA's report was that the jet fuel burned off within minutes of its ignition. Therefore, according to FEMA, temperature citations comparing the maximum temperature of burning jet fuel, and the melting or buckling temperatures of steel, are irrelevant in assessing the FEMA conclusions, which are the official United States Government conclusions.

Some of the things I question about the FEMA position is that it did not include the very likely presence of flame retardant chemicals in the office furniture, carpeting, and draperies in the towers. It also did not factor in the use of flame resistant cabinets and vaults that would store the majority of the paper files in the offices. This is important as a large number of the tenants were financial institutions under federal/State record retention regulations that require paper files and records to be stored in fire-proof cabinets or vaults. State and federal regulators conduct examinations of such institutions to ensure compliance with the applicable regulations. Some of these containers would be breached where the airliner crashed into the building, but FEMA states that the fire spread to lower floors not involved in the impacts, and it was the spread of the fire to secondary combustibles on lower floors that was primarily responsible.

roughrider posted on 2003-09-04 12:26:54 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

37. To: honway, Silly Jo, roughrider, *TerrorismCoverup*, Triple, aristeides, Fred Mertz, backhoe (#31)

From honway's work:

MALE CALLER- B-4 LEVEL: Officer, help. We're down in the B-4 level. This is Turner's field office. There's been a big explosion. We've got water lines open. There seems to be steam and smoke in the area.

.....

MALE CALLER- B-4 LEVEL: It's...yeah, we got smoke. I don't know whether it's from fire, or just dust. We got broken water lines, water all over.

How could the airliners hitting the top floors cause water mains in the basement to be broken until much much later in the sequence of events. What time did these reports about the water mains come in relative to the time of initial impact of the airplanes in the towers?

THis information gives us a notion that possibly explosions occurred in the basement to break the water mains. Were the Russian plumbers (Davidenko) ever working in the basement near the water mains? Were these water mains tied to the sprinkler system that the Russian plumbers had worked on?

Ali Mohammed , the FBI/CIA double agent, wrote Bin Ladens terror training manual (not Atef alone) and worked in the US with Zawahiri and Khalid Mohammed as well as Ramzi Youseff, the first man to try to blow up the WTC. ALi Mohmmmed had documents on his possession when arrested in 1998 that showed how to hide demolition charges in public buildings. Were any of Ali Mohammeds terror techniques to put explosives in the WTC buildings passed on to people with access like the Davidenkos? This did happen in the OKC Murrah bombing in my opinion -explosive charges were beleived placed inside the Murrah building by workers who we know had been working in the building for up to a week and known to people close to Khalid Mohammed and Ali Mohammed. Khalid was in OKC around the same time.

"In the letter, dated Feb. 13, Sergei Denko, president of Denko Mechanical, said Mr. Hammad had been working for his company in the **basement garage area of 1 World Trade Center**"

Honway has nailed it. Are the FBI and CIA just stupid or are they covering this up for someone? If so why and for whom? Why did they so readily release they plumbers?

The explosives "work" going on in Murrah was also in the basement garage area as well as witnessed by several people I interviewed. Remote control devices can be used on these style of hidden explosives like what Ali Mohammed recommended in his manuals and docs..

See:

"Where's the f----- sprinkler system?"

<http://www.libertypost.org/cgi-bi>

OKCSubmariner posted on 2003-09-04 12:36:47 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

38. To: OKCSubmariner (#35)

I don't think the government is too worried about what goes on in these forums. I'm sure the monitor them, but the opinions posted in here will not do much to bring about a new investigation. Since the main forensic evidence is gone, proving that the official scenario was false will be extremely difficult. The real problem for them are the lawsuits that are presently underway on behalf of survivors of the victims, and not necessarily because anyone in the government was a perpetrator. If what Attorney Stanley Hilton of San Francisco (former Senator Robert Dole chief of staff) claims his discovery efforts have found are all true, then there are facts that are potentially embarrassing to the bureaucracies that could cause a crisis of public confidence of major import. Among the things that Hilton has alleged that depositions and other discovery measures have found are:

1. That 12 of the alleged hijackers were FBI informants. Now, this doesn't mean that the FBI was involved in the hijackings. The FBI could actually have believed that the terrorists were working for them, but the terrorists deceived their FBI handlers. This would be difficult to explain to the public.

2. One of the hijackers was married to an American woman. Her husband was an informant. Most of the hijackers, according to her, were not serious Muslims, and this allegation of Hilton's meshes well with what we know about how many of them lived here, particularly Mohammed Atta. They lived hedonistic life styles. The anomaly here is that hedonists do not like to commit suicide. They are having too much fun living. Religious extremists might commit suicide, but hedonists are somewhat reluctant to do so. As Hilton claims the terrorist wife put it: "These guys were more into PLAYBOY than the KORAN."

We will have to wait until the seal is released from the discovery material to make sure that Hilton's general description of the contents of the depositions is accurate. When Clinton was sued by Judicial Watch in the Filegate affair, you could download the depositions in their totality. You can't get any of this stuff in this case, so all we have are Hilton's verbal representations of general information. Anyone who wishes to question Hilton's claims, in absence of documentation, would not be unreasonable for so doing.

roughrider posted on 2003-09-04 12:45:58 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

39. To: honway (#25)

thanks for that link honway.

The firemen, the national guardsmen and everyone on the job site knew there were pools of molten steel way down deep in the WTC rubble even weeks after the event. The fancy equipment the government used identified hot spots spread out all over the site and down deep inside. These were concentrated hot spots. The heat wasn't spread equally through the rubble. The heat was concentrated in hot spots and these spots were distributed around. This explains all the smoke coming up for weeks and how the firemen's shoes were melting.

The only thing that explains these spots of molten steel deep down is exactly what Rivero, others and myself have said. It was thermite bombs or something similar strapped to the columns on that very lowest level. There is no other technical answer worth s**t.

I do not speak as a lightweight. I did receive a degree, summa cum laude, from a top engineering college. I studied the entire structural and civil engineering curriculum. I studied materials. I simply didn't work as an engineer, instead I worked in building construction management. But now I work in programming (unfortunately).

The idea that somehow the jet fuel produced molten steel or all that heat way down deep is ridiculous. You simply can't produce molten steel from solid steel with a petroleum fuel fire in the open air. Anyone who says you can is stupid, and I'm serious about that. The heat dissipates very quickly, both in the air and through the steel. The steel was all tied together, you heat up one spot and the heat travels. You can get the steel to bend and fail in a petroleum fuel fire, but you can't turn it liquid. That's a fact. So what if technically the jet fuel can burn hot enough to produce molten steel, so what, that temperature is only measured right at the fire, 1" away it isn't anywhere near that hot. The heat dissipates, it cannot be focused onto the steel to make it liquid unless you use a blast furnace with powerful energy source and lots of oxygen flowing in by mechanical force. Or if you use the fancy advanced chemical burn methods that were used.

We don't live in a free country. THE intelligence agencies of france, germany, India and others know this. They understand what's going on.

In the last few days we've learned, if you read these threads about WTC and 911, that it was our own government that did the 911 events. Read all the information and you will see.

Thanks honway for that article. Only sheeple should doubt that the molten steel pools deep down did exist. and if you know anything about that material and engineering and can think, then you will see that the radicals' theories as to how it happened are the only possible explanation.

We live in a country where they can get us to believe that $2+2=5$ and they can get experts to tell us this, they can get journalists to fawn over it, they can get academics to avoid the subject and they can get us all to pretend it's true. Freedom is a precious thing. We've squandered it. We don't have the integrity to get it back. We insult our forefathers and we lie to god by pretending our government is worthy and that it shouldn't be over-thrown.

Evil is upon us, repent for the time is near.

Red Jones posted on 2003-09-04 12:46:01 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

40. To: Red Jones, honway (#35)

Red, Please see replies #35 and #37. Thanks.

Honway deserves a lot of credit for his dogged persistence and hard work.

OKCSubmariner posted on 2003-09-04 12:52:39 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

41. To: honway (#31)

the crew of plumbers worked in the basement of WTC 1 less than a week before the disaster. The controls for the fire sprinkler system would've been in the basement, the system would be disabled there. And various other witnesses reported that the sprinkler system did not turn on after the attack began. In my opinion the fire sprinkler system of the entire building wouldn't be disabled by the plane crash unless the plane went through the very center of the building. That didn't happen. The plane was stopped well before it got there, according to analysis I've seen on tv. The floors above the plane crash had people on them who reported the sprinkler system never came on despite lots and lots of smoke and heat that would've definitely triggered the sprinklers.

We don't know if the sprinklers were disabled purposely, but evidence shows they may have been. Keep your eyes on the main events, the molten steel pools. That is absolute proof that the columns on first level were melted by very special devices and that was the demolition of the WTC, not plane crash above. They waited a long time to punch that button to destroy those columns and bring the building down. They knew the heat produced would give them away. They hoped the plane crash alone would do it. They had some secondary explosions up top, they tried these, it didn't work. They had to go to their last resort, the thermite bombs on the columns in the basement, in the end.

Worship idols if you choose, but god gave us all a sound mind. We are obligated for his truth and righteousness to use these sound minds he gave us and not to worship the idols we are given by the evil ones to worship.

Red Jones posted on 2003-09-04 13:12:15 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

42. To: OKCSubmariner (#40)

Honway deserves a lot of credit for his dogged persistence and hard work.

you darn right he does, he stashes tremendously important articles away and can show them when we need em. That's a patriot for you.

Red Jones posted on 2003-09-04 13:18:25 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

43. To: roughrider (#36)

thank you roughrider for that analysis. and I would like to add another bit of information for the benefit of everyone who reads this thread.

honway has provided a link showing that experts believe that the jet fuel would burn off very quickly and that also some of it would simply run off to lower floors, perhaps ignite down there. I myself thought that the jet fuel should just burn off quickly and I questioned from the very beginning the enormous size of that fire shooting out. And I noted on

sept. 11 that the media spent a lot of time trying to focus the popular mind on the idea that the enormous fire was created by all that jet fuel. when they spend time trying to convince you of something, they belabor the point that is, bells should go off in your head wondering if it is propaganda.

From just a month after the attack there were videos for sale on the internet showing the plane coming into the building in slow motion. and the explosion comes out of the building just one frame before the plane hits. I myself spoke to an individual who is not selling these videos, but claims that he taped the events live as he records CNN 24 hours a day normally. And he said on the very first impact that this is exactly what happened. The building exploded one frame before the plane hit the building.

Some will say it ridiculous, that the videos don't show the building exploding before the plane hits. The very first video shown live on CNN shows the building exploding first. Every other video shown does not show this. George Bush admitted 2-3 weeks after 911 that government censors immediately scrubbed out the evil face from the smoke. If they can scrub out an evil face within minutes don't you think they can correct this other problem? If you disagree with me on this point, then you are saying that George Bush is a liar. He came on tv and said the evil face was immediately censored, that is a fact.

They had a huge incendiary bomb in the locations where the planes hit. The planes were drawn to that location by some fancy electronic equipment. The pilots or whoever controlled the planes had some guidance on bringing it in. When the sensors inside the building knew that the plane was extremely close they blew up the incendiary bombs. They rigged it so the bombs would blow up just before the plane hit because they were afraid that the plane's impact would disable the bomb. They wanted this huge fire to melt the columns enough to bring the building down. But it wasn't enough. The way they use safety factors on buildings they're a lot stronger than we calculate them to be. When we calculate we pretend they're not as strong as they are. It's not easy to bring down a well built building like WTC.

After an hour or so they went to Plan B which was the bombs on the basement columns.

For crying out loud, I'm telling you I read quotes from a fireman who radioed in and said that he found the plane and he found the big fire and he said that the fire source was different from the plane. Why the hell do you think that while there were a few conversations from firemen that came out our government recently decided to lock the great volume of these conversations away from us completely. Wake up sheeples.

and remember also, that when WTC 7 came down they destroyed records on thousands of fraud investigations that if they had not been destroyed could've ruined a lot of big corporations in america. Just like in the OKC bombing a lot of records showing the Mena cocaine smuggling were destroyed.

The oligarchy of evil is ruling us friends. They think of us like peasants. They don't hesitate to set policy that will cause our incomes to go down, our retirements to be in poverty, our children to learn to be homosexuals, to kill us or to send us into unjust wars. and we cheer for them.

Red Jones posted on 2003-09-04 13:49:44 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

44. To: OKCSubmariner (#40)

I read with great interest 35 & 37.

What I want to say in conclusion is that americans shouldn't be surprised that our own government did the sept 11 job. Why should americans be surprised? We know beyond the shadow of a doubt based on our government's own documents that they murdered 2 people at Randy Weaver's land for absolutely no reason in 1992. We know they flew helicopters over the branch davidians and fired guns down into the building to initiate the Waco events and then proceeded to systematically kill all 85 people who died there. We know this because of the evidence. The evidence is not provided to you on tv, but the evidence is there and it is overwhelming. The OKC bombing has evidence too showing that the government almost certainly did that job too. Nobody else could do it. We know because of the structural engineer's studies that there were bombs inside the building that did the damage to Murrah Building. We know our government covered it up. Look at the TWA800 events, the Ron Brown plane crash. They do these things, they get away with it and every few years the escalate so that the death toll goes up as time goes on. Don't think that

911 was the last of it. The next attack will be worse. The tv will lead us through all the proper emotions and thoughts. We will support their agenda.

Read the book of Daniel. The United States of America is the key subject of the book of Daniel. Only in that book we are referred to as the Whore of Babylon.

Red Jones posted on 2003-09-04 14:07:24 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

45. To: Red Jones, honway, Uncle Bill, scooter (#44)

In the book of Revelation it is told that there will be a voice out of Heaven that says "**Come out of her my people** (a Rapture or an escape route?), just before the whore, Mystery Baylon is destroyed.

The people referred to here as "my people" are born again Christians who the LORD will save in my opinion.

OKCSubmariner posted on 2003-09-04 16:32:31 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

46. To: honway (#22)

I have to ask, are we looking at the same picture? Clearly there is a spike.

No there is not. There are growing and decreasing oscillations but there is nothing that can clearly be called a SPIKE as Bollyn tried to suggest. Furthermore, he claimed the "The strongest jolts were all registered at the beginning of the collapses, well before the falling debris struck the Earth". PROVE IT. Post the waveform with a timescale and overlay it with the time that the collapse began and the time the bulk of the building reached the ground. BET YOU DON'T. Because it simply isn't true. Don't forget to point out the two "unexplained" spikes that have "20 times the amplitude of the other seismic waves". I don't see them. Do you? You'd think that at least ONE seismologist out there might have noticed the suspicious arrival times and these super spikes ... don't you think? But none did. So are they ALL part of the conspiracy?

BeAChooser posted on 2003-09-04 16:50:02 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

47. To: OKCSubmariner, honway, Red Jones, SKYDRIFTER (#35)

You want to post the same thing on multiple threads. I'll post the same reply.

These rantings in reply #128 are an attempt to obfuscate the FACT that the seismic SPIKES indicating explosions or earthquakes did proceed the collapse of both towers after they were hit by planes but well before the towers hit the ground.

"Rantings"? ROTFLOL!

As to "spikes", don't believe your own eyes? All I see are oscillations and that is the way the seismologists described them in CREDIBLE source after source.

As to them "preceeding the collapse" "well before the towers hit the ground", do you care to actually prove this claim ... by showing the seismic waveforms, pointing out the "spikes" and then indicating on those waveforms the times that various events occurred ... like the moment the collapse began? Bet you don't. Because what you claim simply isn't true.

I'm a little suprised to see you going out on the limb this way, OKCSubmariner.

And answer this ... isn't it immensely curious that NOT ONE seismologist or engineer has come forward to agree with what you claim. So unless you can offer a reasonable explanation, I guess we are to assume they are all now among the millions that have been coopted by government in this sorbid conspiracy or yours and Red Jones. Every last one of

them. ROTFLOL!

When do YOU begin to notice how ridiculous this particular aspect of concern is?

I guess not yet.

You write: "Another thing to check is whether the other WTC buildings were hit by explosions about the time of the seismic spikes shown on the charts. COuld be a coincidence but charges may have gone off in those other buildings but made it look like (or coincided with) charges went off in the main WTC biuildings."

So the conspiracy grows even larger. Now you have them placing bombs in not just the towers and WTC7 building, but buildings all around the WTC site ... in order to create the "spikes" on the charts. And noone even noticed the placement of these bombs. And seismologists worldwide are so dimwitted they can't figure it out. ROTFLOL!

Ramping up to the spikes did occur but over a very short period of time and this does not obviate the conclusions that I have stated above.

But the fact that you're not a seismologist and no seismologist agrees with you does.

Sounds like someone is desparately trying to get Red Jones off this-Do not use this or you will be smeared and called a liar-

All I want him to do is back up what he claims with credible sources and stop posting things already proven to be untrue.

You say you're concerned about my calling him a liar. Ok. Here's a challenge.

In the previous thread where these matters were discussed, Red Jones made some claims that I think he had to have known were untrue ... because he was present on and involved in earlier (but recent) discussions where the facts that show what he claimed are untrue were presented. Specifically, he made some claims about the condition of lightpoles and the shape of the hole at the Pentagon crash.

Here is what he wrote and my response:

Red Jones - "The photos exist showing the two streetlights standing up right outside where the crash occurred."

Me - For example, prove this. Because this is an outright LIE.

Red Jones - "Then more photos exist showing the same streetlights laying on the ground. Somebody un-did the bolts and laid them down. The streetlights aren't even damaged."

Me - And so is this. There was, for example, a heavily damaged lightpole in the middle of the freeway. A light that hit a taxi on that freeway and smashed its windshield. A lightpole that eyewitness ... just ordinary people like you and me ... have testified they saw the plane hit. And the lightpole is heavily damaged ... as are others. You are a LIAR.

Red Jones - "The damage pattern to the pentagon just doesn't match the profile of the plane. The wings are much wider than the damaged area. Yet if you crash Boeing 757 into a building the wings will cause damage."

Me - There were holes in the Pentagon facade where the wings passed into the building. I've posted pictures of this multiple times. And the wings are NOT much wider than the damaged area. Only the tips, assuming the wings were not folded back as eyewitnesses say they were, extend beyond the damaged area. You are a LIAR.

He didn't respond. So since you want to take on the role of defending Red Jones from my accusations of liar, OKCSubmariner ... do so. PROVE that what he claimed about the lightpoles and the size of the hole in the Pentagon above are true ... by posting material (pictures, eyewitness statements, whatever ...) proving it. Here. Now. And you can start with his lightpole claims and the fact that a lightpole was thrown into the middle of the highway running next to the Pentagon by a plane that witnesses said they saw hit it. Please show that his claims and the condition of that lightpole match.

And note that he's been on thread after thread where this was debunked. So what explanation is there for repeating this SKYDRIFTERISH claim other than that he's lying to push an agenda? Answer that ... or don't YOU have a problem with lying about facts?

What you are forgetting, my friend, is that what people like Red Jones and SKYDRIFTER claim reflects on the credibility of YOUR allegations ... especially when you are seen to defend those people and their efforts to mischaracterize (that a nice enough word?) what the facts are. Red Jones is making it more difficult for people (in general) to accept what people like you write because when the public now thinks of 9/11 conspiracies, the obvious lies of people like Red Jones get linked to what you are claiming.

It is in YOUR interest to make sure that the facts aren't distorted.

BeAChooser posted on 2003-09-04 17:01:08 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

48. To: OKCSubmariner, honway (#37)

What time did these reports about the water mains come in relative to the time of initial impact of the airplanes in the towers? THis information gives us a notion that possibly explosions occurred in the basement to break the water mains.

Don't you think you should get an answer to the first question before jumping off into your "notions" about Russian plumbers and such? Now I'm not saying people working with the hijackers didn't try to defeat the sprinkler system. Could be. But let's slow down and examine the problem carefully before assuming facts not in evidence.

And there is a huge leap between suggesting a bomb on a water main near the base of the buildings ... and claiming thermite bombs at the floors of the impact and in the basements of at least 3 buildings or bombs in other buildings to disguise "something" in seismic waveforms. Please don't tell me you're going there too.

BeAChooser posted on 2003-09-04 17:10:59 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

49. To: OKCSubmariner, Red Jones (#37)

From the transcripts:

http://www.firehouse.com/news/wtcscripsts/wtc_channel27.pdf

WTC Ch. 27-RADIO CHANNEL X-SECURITY

MALE: Building One is flooding! Building one is flooding! (PAUSE) There's a lot of water in the building, it's flooding.(27:41)

honway posted on 2003-09-04 17:31:48 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

50. To: Red Jones (#39)

*There is no other technical answer worth s**t. I do not speak as a lightweight. I did receive a degree, summa cum laude, from a top engineering college.*

Well, summa cum laude, why don't you explain where the gravitational energy stored in the falling towers went? Very little went into the ground ... or the air. The seismologist tell us that. Yet the energy was a significant fraction of a Hiroshima bomb (http://www.space.com/scienceastronomy/generalscience/wtc_science_010919.html) , "Though terrorists triggered the collapse of the World Trade Center's Twin Towers, much of the energy -- calculated by a physicist to have been at least 2 percent that of the atomic bomb dropped on Hiroshima -- was supplied by Nature's most ubiquitous force: gravity." Keep in mind that the energy in a hundred thermite bombs ... your theory ... don't even come close to this. So where did the gravitational energy go? And what do you think that much energy might do trapped in the rubble? Create hotspots? Perhaps melt steel?

You can get the steel to bend and fail in a petroleum fuel fire,

Well at least you'll admit this much. So, in other words, thermite bombs in the structure are not needed to weaken it and cause a collapse ... as the "government" alleges. So what is the purpose of such bombs in the basement as you claim there must have been? They certainly didn't collapse the tower since that failure mode would have been quite obvious to all. And with your background you should know that. So why put thermite bombs in the basement as you seem to believe someone did? Special effects?

So what if technically the jet fuel can burn hot enough to produce molten steel, so what, that temperature is only measured right at the fire, 1" away it isn't anywhere near that hot.

But it was a BIG fire. And did you ever hear of warping in your "studies"? What do suppose that might do the structure? Mr summa cum laude. Bring it down?

BeAChooser posted on 2003-09-04 17:35:44 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

51. To: OKCSubmariner (#45)

thanks OKC. I'm going to indulge myself and talk about Daniel. Please don't read (whoever's looking) if you don't want to.

Daniel has many specific passages that I feel can only refer to the United States. Our nation is the greatest of all nations in this entire 'era of nations' that god has brought to planet earth. We are the greatest nation that ever was or ever will be. We've been formed and shaped with special help from god all along. He gave us such goodness, such brotherhood, such prosperity, such happiness, unlike all other nations. If you don't know this to be true, then you don't know our nation and how we were a few decades ago. But we've fallen down, we've taken to worshipping idols. We've accepted evil in our leadership, in our culture. Worse than anything we've created evil schemes like the WTO that we force on the nations of the world. The poor of the world suffer from this. Their national leaders have been seduced into abandoning them. God will not tolerate this.

Daniel talks specifically about our diversity how our people come from many different nations. Daniel talks about the symbol of the eye used on our dollar bill and by the new spy agency in washington that keeps track of us. It talks about how the people ruling our country own the means of communication and seduce everyone with beautiful words. It talks about our currency manipulation schemes. It says our own weapons will one day be used against us to destroy our country completely and in one hour's time.

It dovetails with George washington's famous speech in December 1776 that he gave to the american army at valley forge. Washington said the angel told him that when this terrible invasion comes that many people will rise up out of the ground as 'white ghosts' and fight on our side in the great war and that we will win this war, but not until after it appears that all has been lost. Daniel says also that people will be raised up out of the ground in this country when these things happen. Daniel makes clear that virtual total destruction will come, first in what seems to be a massive nuclear attack, then immediately followed by biological warfare on large scale, then famine. And the nation will then be inhabited by demons.

But Daniel also makes clear that those who believe in god and work his will every day will be saved. They will be right in the thick of the nuclear attack yet will not be harmed. They will not be effected by the biological weapons. They will be gathered together somewhere and protected.

Just as god used Moses to take the jews out of egypt and to the promised land, yet he did not allow Moses to live in that new land. Moses died literally just before they made it. The same is true for our nation. We are the greatest of nations in this era of nations. Crowned Thy Good With Brotherhood From Sea To Shining Sea. This nation will not live in the new era.

Our corrupt leaders like Clinton & Bush have developed the habit of leaving our nation during holidays like July 4th. One day a whole bunch of leaders will vacation out of our nation together and our nation destroyed. The space based defense systems will be disabled most likely by covert forces inside our country. The chinese & russians will launch their missiles by the thousands. Even small towns will be wiped out. Overkill will be the rule. Immediately after other weapons will be released. We'll be defenseless. And there will be invaders too.

The world will be in shock. They will mourn heavily. And by supernatural means some americans will survive and be raised from the dead and kill all of the invaders. Then the world will see these people raised up in the supernatural way and the world will welcome these people into their nations. Daniel says so.

And god will build his kingdom here on earth at that time.

Red Jones posted on 2003-09-04 17:40:21 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

52. To: Red Jones, honway, roughrider, OKCSubmariner, SKYDRIFTER (#41)

The controls for the fire sprinkler system would've been in the basement, the system would be disabled there. And various other witnesses reported that the sprinkler system did not turn on after the attack began.

You must have missed the witness statements which spoke of sprinkler systems coming on in lower regions of the towers. You must have missed reports of sprinkler water falling down the stairwells. So apparently these hypothetical bombers didn't disable the systems throughout the building as you claim.

In my opinion the fire sprinkler system of the entire building wouldn't be disabled by the plane crash unless the plane went through the very center of the building. That didn't happen. The plane was stopped well before it got there, according to analysis I've seen on tv.

Is that where you get your analyses, summa cum laude? You might want to go to better sources. Because in at least one of the towers the destruction and fires DID extend to the core where the sprinkler supply lines and control systems ran. Furthermore, you should know given your background that sprinkler systems in building are not designed to handle really extensive fires ... all at once. In other words, they aren't designed to have all the sprinkler heads in a large area activate at once. When they do, they lose pressure and don't work properly. And that's what many sprinkler experts suggest happened in this case.

Keep your eyes on the main events, the molten steel pools. That is absolute proof that the columns on first level were melted by very special devices

No it's not. But we can discuss that once you've actually proven there was molten steel. So far that proof is pretty tenuous ... pretty hearsay. Odd for something that important.

And you haven't shown anything to suggest that bombs destroying columns on the first level caused the collapse. **THIS IS UTTER NONSENSE.** No video shows such a collapse mechanism. **NOT ONE.** There were dozens filming that day and they **ALL** show a collapse starting at the top and working its way down. And no **REAL** structural engineer has suggested a collapse like you allege occurred. Guess they actually listened in their classes and watched the videos instead of visiting conspiracy websites.

Worship idols if you choose, but god gave us all a sound mind.

Not all of us.

BeAChooser posted on 2003-09-04 17:55:44 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

53. To: Red Jones, *Pantload Alert* (#51)

The parts of the bible you talk about are very difficult, if not impossible at this time, to understand. I don't think you have come up with your explanations on your own, I think you have adopted them from books you have read. This is a big mistake. I think you are in major biblical misunderstanding and error.

While I regret having to do this, I feel I must tag you with a Pantload Alert. Due to the major nature of your delusions, it is a Medium Level alert. To date, this is the highest level Pantload Alert ever issued.

brucew posted on 2003-09-04 18:20:18 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

54. To: Red Jones (#43)

The building exploded one frame before the plane hit the building. Some will say it ridiculous, that the videos don't show the building exploding before the plane hits. The very first video shown live on CNN shows the building exploding first.

Sure Red Jones. But you don't have a copy of that video ... do you. How unfortunate. And noone else does either ... do they. How sad. ROTFLOL! You sure are gullible ... for a summa cum laude engineer ... er ... construction manager.

George Bush admitted 2-3 weeks after 911 that government censors immediately scrubbed out the evil face from the smoke.

But the difference is that I can still find and post pictures of the evil face. You do see the significance in that, don't you? Well maybe not. ROTFLOL!

If you disagree with me on this point, then you are saying that George Bush is a liar. He came on tv and said the evil face was immediately censored, that is a fact.

No, I'm saying you're a liar ... about this and other things. So in this case, prove it. Post EXACTLY what George Bush supposedly admitted to with a link to the source. Prove to this audience that the face was IMMEDIATELY censored and that Bush said the government did it.

BeAChooser posted on 2003-09-04 18:29:58 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

55. To: brucew (#53)

well, I admit. Part of that rant is my own speculation and interpretations. Who cares what you label it!

Red Jones posted on 2003-09-04 18:34:06 ET [Reply](#) [Trace](#)

.
.
.

Comments (56 - 64) not displayed.

[Top](#) • [Page Up](#) • [Full Thread](#) • [Page Down](#) • [Bottom/Latest](#)

[\[Home\]](#) [\[Headlines\]](#) [\[Latest Articles\]](#) [\[Latest Comments\]](#) [\[Post\]](#) [\[Sign-in\]](#) [\[Setup\]](#) [\[Donate\]](#) [\[Help\]](#) [\[FAQ\]](#) [\[Register\]](#)

Please report web page problems, questions and comments to webmaster2@libertypost.org
Liberty Post • 12 Carroll Street, Suite #6022 • Westminster, Maryland 21157