

# The Gaelic Starover

...speaking Gaeilge to power...

SUNDAY, AUGUST 27, 2006

## Then, They Came for the Professors.



...from the [Union Leader](#):

*"A tenured professor of psychology at the University of New Hampshire believes an 'elite' group within the federal government orchestrated the [September 11th](#) attacks on America.*

*William Woodward has already raised that possibility in his classroom and later this year hopes to teach a class that would explore Sept. 11th 'in psychological terms -- terms like belief, conspiracy, fear, truth, courage, group dynamics.'*

*He may not get the chance. Several state leaders yesterday criticized Woodward for bringing the radical theories into the classroom.*

*'In my view, there are limitations to academic freedom and freedom of speech,' said [U.S. Sen. Judd Gregg, R-N.H.](#)..."*

*"I believe it is inappropriate for someone at a public university which is supported with taxpayer dollars to take positions that are generally an affront to the sensibility of most all Americans," Gregg said..."*

Senator Gregg is an [asshole](#).

Truth needs no laws to defend it.

## About Me



Name:  
Daithí Mac  
Lochlainn  
Location:  
Nua Eabhrac

Researcher, journalist,  
conceptual artist, community  
activist and shameless agitator.

[View my complete profile](#)

## Previous Posts

[A Deacon Speaks Out for Sr. Helen](#)

[Archbishop Resists Neo-Catholicism](#)

[Sister Helen's Stance](#)

[Diocese Punishes Work of Mercy](#)

The [9/11 Commission Report](#) is an affront to many non-Kool-Aid-imbibing Americans, yet we already paid for it!

A few months ago, [Professor Kevin Barrett](#) was threatened for questioning the officially-approved conspiracy theory. It must be open-season on professors.

We would do well to consider the haunting words of [Pastor Martin Niemöller](#).

If we don't stop this now, *all* of us will be required to subscribe to *all* lies spewed by this miserable and vicious Administration.

posted by Daithí @ 5:25 PM

[62 comments](#)

## 62 Comments:

At [6:48 PM](#), [Joe](#) said...

The Communist dictatorship in China arrested the professors and put them into forced labor on farms and in factories because they might inform the masses. Dictatorships throughout the world have oppressed the learned for fear of their knowledge (knowledge being power and dictators jealously guarding their power and all). In a truly free society leaders would not fear those with dissenting viewpoints and would welcome the opportunity to debate and put to rest wrongheaded views critical of them. When leaders fear those who disagree with them we must wonder why they are so afraid of them. Why are Bush and Republicans in Congress so frightened of those they claim are wrong? The more they suppress and censor those who disagree with them the more suspicious we Americans should be of their motives. Me thinks they doth protest too much!

At [7:07 PM](#), Anonymous said...

"What are they afraid of?" is exactly right. A group from the 9/11 truth movement is challenging the government to a nationally televised debate- but all gov't officials have refused. That includes FEMA & NIST scientists, as well as 911 commission members, etc.

[Bellacio](#)

At [7:13 PM](#), Anonymous said...

Keep up the truth movement! You're getting warmer all the time. "19 guys in a cave?"...more likely "19 guys at the Pentagon"

At [7:20 PM](#), Anonymous said...

Any elected official who *ever* utters a phrase as asinine as "In my view, there are limitations to (fill in the blank) freedom and

[The Bushling's Apocalyptic Foreign Policy](#)

[Like an "RNC" for Sligo](#)

[So Now, "Democracy" Limits Free Speech](#)

["Bolcán Cultúrtha"](#)

[Jewish Conscience at Penn Station](#)

[Falafel on "Traditional Values"](#)

## Achainí

[Petition Against Treason & Terror: Investigate the Muhammad Naeem Noor Khan Leak!](#)

## Sgríbhinní

[Cé hé John Doe 2?](#)

[Ó Mheán Fómhair go Meán Fómhair](#)

- [Beirt gafa i seilg snípeara Washington](#)
- [Leirmheas: Gangs of New York](#)
- [Díospóireacht](#)
- [Buile na tubaiste](#)



freedom of speech)" deserves to be run out of office, stripped of citizenship and exiled to North Korea.

America *is* freedom, and it galls me no end that the assclowns who insist on forcefully "bringing Democracy" to other countries have no problem with destroying it here.

As others have said, it's really simple. If there's no conspiracy, they have nothing to hide, and no fear of questions being asked.

At [7:27 PM](#), [David](#) said...

9/11 is being put in the same category as the Holocaust or the Rape of Nanking. There's an official story and anybody who questions it or says something contrary to it is somehow an immoral or maladjusted person for doing so.

Any "official" story with legal or government force behind it is automatically suspect.

At [8:01 PM](#), Anonymous said...

thank the lord god himself that less of us are alone.

my anger has subsided a bit, safe in the knowledge that the majority aint buying the crap spewed by devil worshipping scum that claims to 'preside' over the american, british and jewish people.

I hope I can throw a huge party with video's of these bastards swinging from ropes....

At [8:14 PM](#), [duarte1](#) said...

Hello all: To a poster who talked about "the Maoist communist dictatorship" i don't know why most people, specially in the U.S. and the world mix communism with dictatorship. A dictatorship is an opression of a class over another class which is more suited to capitalist regimens like Mexico, USA, Dominican Republic, UK, Colombia, Germany, Japan, France and most capitalist nations. Stalin was the only communist dictator. But most communist systems are the negation of dictatorships. What we need is a socialism and a communism as an necessary medicine to cure capitalism which is dictatorship in reality

At [8:15 PM](#), [duarte1](#) said...

USA has been a dictatorship since July 4, 1776 till August 27, 2006

At [8:21 PM](#), Anonymous said...

sent to Judd Gregg,

freedom of speech,' said U.S. Sen. Judd Gregg, R-N.H..."  
NO, THERE ARE NOTNOTNOT "LIMITATIONS" TO FREEDOM OF  
SPEECH (IN CASE YOU FORGOT YOUR 5TH GRADE CIVICS LESSONS)  
- NOT ACCORDING TO THE 1ST AMENDMENT OF THE  
CONSTITUTION - YOUR 'VIEW' CONTRADICTS THE CONSTITUTION -  
THEREFORE YOU MUST RESIGN SINCE YOU ARE UNWILLING TO  
UPHOLD THE MOST BASIC LAW OF THE LAND AND ARE VIOLATING  
YOUR OATH OF OFFICE !!!!

"I believe it is inappropriate for someone at a public university  
which is supported with taxpayer dollars to take positions that are  
generally an affront to the sensibility of most all Americans,"  
I BELIEVE IT IS HIGHLY INAPPROPRIATE FOR A CONGRESSCRITTER  
SUPPORTED BY TAXPAYER DOLLARS TO TAKE POSITIONS THAT ARE  
AN AFFRONT TO THE CONSTITUTION!! YOUR 'BELIEFS' VIOLATE  
AMERICAN LAW & YOUR OATH OF OFFICE !!  
AND IN CASE THE NEWS HASN'T PENETRATED YOUR BUBBLE, MORE  
& MORE OF "MOST ALL AMERICANS" ARE "AFFRONTED" BY THE  
RADICAL "POSITION" OR FAIRYTALE THAT 19 MEN (AT LEAST 7 OF  
WHICH ARE ALIVE & WELL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH) WITH  
SUPER-CUTTERS , COMMANDED BY AN INVALID IN A CAVE IN  
AFGHANISTAN BROUGHT DOWN 3 SKYSCRAPERS WHICH IMPOLODED  
INTO THEIR OWN FOOTPRINT JUST AS BUILDINGS DO WHICH ARE  
BROUGHT DOWN BY DEMOLITION EXPLOSIVES. GET A GRIP, MAN!!  
AND ANSWER THIS "RADICAL" QUESTION - WHY DID THE SECRET  
SERVICE NOT IMMEDIATELY DRAG OFF THE pRESIDENT AS SOON AS  
THE NEWS CAME IN - W/ UNKNOWN AIRPLANES IN THE AIR HOW  
DID THEY ALREADY KNOW BUSH WASN'T A TARGET?

At [8:40 PM](#), [Daithi](#) said...

Perhaps, we really ought to be grateful to Senator Gregg.

When Steve Nass pulled the same stunt and tried to get Prof.  
Kevin Barrett dismissed, it backfired and skepticism about the  
official conspiracy theory was catapulted into the mainstream  
media.

Free advertising!!!!

At [8:43 PM](#), Anonymous said...

Could it be that while we still are able we should take a bit of  
advice written many years ago?

Namely "...But when a long train of abuses and usurpations,  
pursuing invariably the same Object, evinces a design to reduce  
them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty,  
to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for  
their future security.

-- "The Declaration of Independence"

"In my view, there are limitations to academic freedom and freedom of speech"

The only limitation around is our patience with these stalinoids and their hatred of free speech and democracy.

At [9:32 PM](#), Anonymous said...

The "official story" is a preposterous and cruel insult to Americans.

People who want to staunchly adhere to and defend the official story are bad Americans. They are in denial and not capable of pursuing truth. Senators like that, we need to get rid of fast. Nothing good and right and Constitutional came come from them. All of these people are the ones who support the imperialistic continual wars and wasting of innocent lives.

"The melting point of steel isn't Democrat or Republican. The highest temperature a jet fuel fire can attain isn't conservative or liberal. The facts are decisive." Professor James Fetzer, Scholars for 9-11 Truth  
[www.st911.org](http://www.st911.org)

At [9:46 PM](#), [Daithí](#) said...

*"these stalinoids"*

Wow! An accurate, but ironic appellation, that!

The Neo-Conservatives can trace their intellectual geneology to the anti-Stalin Left in New York. For obvious reasons, they were or became Trotskyites.

After breaking away from the American Communist Party (as a protest against Stalinism), the "New York Intellectuals" formed the Socialist Workers Party.

From within the SWP, some followed Max Schachtman's drift to the Right.

I usually try to reserve the term "Neo-Conservative" for those who hold such Leftist credentials. For the greater body of mindless cheerleaders, I use the term "Neo-Crazies".

Now, if you're correct, they've finally come full circle.

For more history and background, I heartily recommend, Alan M. Wald's [The New York Intellectuals](#).

Perhaps, I'll prepare a review of the book sometime.

-  
At [10:24 PM](#), [Point-X](#) said...

Everyone should read the book, *The Underground History of American Education*, written by John Taylor Gatto, google it as the book stores do not carry it. You will very quickly understand why it is that it is so important that the "elites" as they refer to themselves control all aspects of "everyday people". This Professor has rocked the boat nearly over.

At [11:04 PM](#), Anonymous said...

We should create a new ranking system: Educational Freedom Ranking. Universities that allow the most amount of debate and least amount of interference in promotion of free thought need to be seperated from rest of the pointless schools that just hash out "white collar widget counting robots"

At [11:13 PM](#), powderkeg said...

duarte1 commented "What we need is a socialism and a communism as an necessary medicine to cure capitalism which is dictatorship in reality." Actually, socialism and/or communism aren't the cure for run-amok capitalism, because they are both just tools used by ruthless rulers as it behooves them to play their peoples against each other and stay in power.

At [11:13 PM](#), [Mary Maxwell, Ph.D.](#) said...

I doubt that Sen Gregg actually said that. No New Hampshire Republican would take such a position against the Constitution. More likely, the words were supplied by the editor of *The Union Leader* (also known locally as *The Management Follower*). I believe many quotes in newspapers are written without benefit of a telephone call to the speaker.

In any case I suspect that the episode of the professor would not have made the news (after all it is not an event)but for the fact that I am running for Congress here in New Hampshire, and on Thursday another paper came out with the jaw-dropper that I had labeled 9/11 a false flag operation. I am indeed proud of the Nashua Telegraph for letting me say my piece.

The *Union Leader's* page 1 headline in today's (Sunday) paper was PROF'S

9/11 THEORIES OUTRAGE NH LEADERS. Many of those leaders then gave quotes, or should I say "quotes" including the mandatory "People from New Hampshire died in that event. It's important to preserve their memory. This kind of thing is uncalled for."

I am guessing that the headline was meant to be planted around town to assist in marginalizing your humble (but by no means marginalizable) servant. Evidence for this? Well, in the last month

the wires (paid for as a press release by me) and was picked up nationally but studiously ignored by The Management Follower, oops I mean the Union Leader and also by The Concord Monitor right here in my city. Likewise, I submitted a piece to The Concord Monitor about nuclear weapons - the ones we are about to wreck our children with - and it was turned down in favor of one entitled - I kid you not - "Will a New Taliban Rise in Bangladesh?"

Today as we get closer to war, the page 1 headline in the said Monitor is "Who Killed Kathy Lynn?" - a child who died in 1971. And tho my 9/11 item has brought me thsanks and congratulations from people in many states, and even made it to the AP, neither The Follower nor The Monitor touched it with a 10 foot pole. HI THERE I AM RUNNING IN THIS STATE \_ THE VOTERS HAVE A RIGHT TO HEAR ABOUT ME, DON'T THEY? HI THERE.

If you peek at my website tomorrow, [maxwellforcongress.com](http://maxwellforcongress.com), you will see an item on the Ethical Code of Journalists. Since Union Leader is owned by the Nackie Loeb School of Journalism maybe the incoming class could do a project on all this

At [11:18 PM](#), powderkeg said...

The same could be said about the false republican/democrat show. Read General Butler's [War Is A Racket](#)

At [12:02 AM](#), [Joe Plummer](#) said...

My Personal Reply to Judd Gregg:

Excuse me Sir, but there is no greater threat facing this nation than the prospect 9/11 was "allowed" or actually guided by criminal elements within our Federal Government.

Surely you must realize an "open discussion of the facts" threatens only those with something to hide. You wouldn't want to protect those with something to hide, would you? -To do so would only give "aid and comfort" to the terrorists.

You wrote: "In my view, there are limitations to academic freedom and freedom of speech"

In MY VIEW it's inappropriate for a man who swore an oath to defend our Constitution to speak of limiting freedom of speech; especially in matters as important as 9/11.

Our foreign and domestic policy has been hijacked by a radical group who (in your own Washington DC circles) were once referred to only as "The Crazies." Does that not concern you? Does the long list of "intelligence failures" that gave The Crazies everything they wanted not concern you? Does the scientific evidence that proves the "official account" is impossible not

Complete reply here:

[http://stopthelie.com/judd\\_gregg.html](http://stopthelie.com/judd_gregg.html)

At [4:10 AM](#), Anonymous said...

This was a letter I wrote to the Editor of the Union Leader:

I am outraged by so-called leaders of this state to even attempt to censor anyone. Young adults who vote go to these colleges--not underage minors. It sickens me to live in a state with a motto: Live Free or Die to have these sanctimonious self-righteous elected representatives tell us what speech is appropriate or not. The first amendment needs no interpretation. It says what it means and means what it says.

There are tremendous inconsistencies and irregularities to the official story. I am of the opinion that the real conspiracy theory is the one told by the federal government.

\*\*\*\*\*

Mary Maxwell I applaud you!

At [5:48 AM](#), Anonymous said...

The reasoning that the gov did it themselves, since the evidence shows the official story unlikely, create a gov inside a gov. No country will survive a reality with two governments, thereby making the propagation of the 'they did it themselves' theory more threatening to the us as the attacks themselves.

secondly it has not been proven yet it was the government ;)

Thirdly the us might have been attacked by a foreign secret intelligence. In this case ppl are blaming the gov while the country is being attacked by an unkown foreign force.

Although the gov conspiracy is tempting, it may be obvious that noone in his right mind would create his own alibi by shooting himself in the head, now would he ?  
i hope we can agree on this.

and the real perpetrators of 911 are still out there, for reasons you may consider and if you do , you may find the us is not in control as a world leader, but submitted to eastern influences.

im afraid times have changed, ofcourse the media coloborateurs are silent

At [6:04 AM](#), Anonymous said...

If he really said that he needs to resign or We The People need to kick him the hell out of Congress. Check out this awesome

interesting forum: [These Liberties](#)

At [7:17 AM](#), twa said...

freedom of speech = tolerating precisely those views which you don't like

limitations to freedom of speech = no freedom of speech

At [8:45 AM](#), [ISRAOIL](#) said...

When bloggers have no fear in using the Jew word--america will be free. The reason hardly no media touches the rot in America is the Jewish control---Jews support only Jews. The 911 was made in USA with the help of Israel. That is why, the cover is not blown. Every country that has been infested, has suffered and booted them out. Time to take America back and send them packing back to Russia and Poland. Don't like it---then suffer slave !

At [8:56 AM](#), Anonymous said...

And then Bush came for Jeff Gannon.

And in a few years when Bill Clinton is back in the WifesHouse, he'll be putting America back where it belongs. On another women's hand.

At [9:27 AM](#), Anonymous said...

american sensibility can be hurt; it makes me laugh, as if there was such a thing, as your cruel nation destroys the planet bouldorizes every inch of freedom and your american goulag will soon close on itself then maybe some amero-soljenitsine will let the rest of the free world know about your reall state of your nation pentagon is still to be bombed as the black house so the people can democate again

At [9:52 AM](#), Anonymous said...

Where there is actually no conspiracy, the government could easily launch a full investigation answering all questions so that even this professor would be satisfied. It is when there truly is a conspiracy that things are kept unanswered. The proof in the devil is that everyone wants to hide him in the fantasy. The story behind flight 800 was a bogus fantasy also. Why is it so hard for people to tell the truth? It is because all men are liars and they do things for their own self-interests at the expense of the stupid population.

At [10:27 AM](#), [Alex](#) said...

The point isn't that this asshole of a proffessor shouldn't be allowed to have freedom of speech. He absolutely should. But he certainly doesn't have any gaurantee of employment. If you walk

mass-murderer, and then advocate that he be tried for war crimes and/or hung, you're probably not going to last there very much longer. That sort of behaviour is even more unacceptable at a College, especially when you're being entrusted with teaching your students important life skills and are instead using the opportunity to brainwash them into hating their government. ESPECIALLY when 99% of the claims you make have no basis to them even in logic, let alone in fact. Schools should be a place where students develop critical thinking and research skills, NOT a place where they're spoon-fed brainless propaganda and taught to "fight the power" for no reason other than because some paranoid schizophrenic told them to. Professors like this guy shouldn't even be allowed to keep their jobs because it's quite obvious that they have nothing relevant to pass on to their students, REGARDLESS of whether or not they actually speak about 9/11. A person who doesn't understand simple logic, and who can delude him/herself into believing the 9/11 conspiracy theories (or any of the other whacky theories that you people subscribe to), doesn't deserve the power and responsibility with which we entrust all teachers.

At [10:34 AM](#), [Daithí](#) said...

*"NOT a place where they're spoon-fed brainless propaganda"*

If they have televisions, they already are being spoonfed brainless propaganda.

I hope that Dr. Woodward will be allowed to encourage them to ask questions.

*No conspiracy should be accepted on face-value, not even those with the Bushling's stamp of approval.*

At [10:53 AM](#), [boycot](#) said...

Let's start an American boycott of U.S. universities. I've got a child I can send overseas for education (I won't send him to the state system here anyway since they fired Ward Churchill). International students are already boycotting U.S. institutions. Any ideas?

At [11:18 AM](#), [Alex](#) said...

Yeah, I've got an idea. How about you focus on getting your child a good education instead of using him/her as a political tool? People like you should NOT be allowed to breed....

At [11:49 AM](#), [Daithí](#) said...

I've another idea...

Since the promulgators of the official conspiracy theory control all the evidence, why don't you press them to release it?

Then, you won't need to cringe every time anyone dares to question your cherished beliefs.

At [12:01 PM](#), [Alex](#) said...

Because there IS no official conspiracy theory. Since none of you can find any real facts to support your ideas, you can't put together a CT which everyone agrees on. So you end up with thousands of different versions, most of which don't actually have any sort of structure, and the few which do end up varying so much in terms of their theories and timelines that the only thing they have in common is the "Daahhh, Dubya Did It!" mindset. Therefore, there is no official conspiracy theory. Besides which, "Official Conspiracy Theory" is an oxymoron, since as soon as a large enough group got together to make an "official" version, 200 fringe groups would crawl out of the woodwork and accuse them of being CIA skills. That's just the way your twisted minds work.

At [12:41 PM](#), [Daithí](#) said...

*"Because there IS no official conspiracy theory."*

If the [9/11 Commission Report](#) is not "official", then why the hell did my tax dollars pay for it?

At [1:51 PM](#), [Alex](#) said...

Ah, yes, you're one of those idiots who doesn't actually understand what a conspiracy theory *is*. I'd suggest you invest in a dictionary, but, in the meantime, I'll help you out:

*conspiracy theory*

*n.*

*A theory seeking to explain a disputed case or matter as a plot by a secret group or alliance rather than an individual or isolated act.*

At [2:01 PM](#), [Daithí](#) said...

This is a first.

Are you proposing that 9/11 was perpetrated by *one singular individual*?

Conspire = to breathe (*spire*) together (*con*)

Learn some Latin. It might help.

At [2:45 PM](#), Anonymous said...

I can't wait till Lord Bush and Duke Cheney reinstate the draft. Then you low life sacks of regurgitated maggot droppings can

is, when your not burning up little girls bodies or shooting them in the head when they are praying for protection. Now that's for real. No Delaney clause conspiracy theory there. So is Paul Wolfoshitz big fat smile while holding up the 911 commission report, right before he ran off to head-up the world bank. Well done Paul. From what I've read all about the net, American's are telling themselves the draft won't happen cause your scared to death of dying, why else would you give up all your freedoms for a false sense of security from a government that walks the hollywood red carpet.

And ladies, don't forget to take your dictionary.

Billy G

At [2:50 PM](#), Anonymous said...

9-11 Truth seekers have established beyond a reasonable doubt that the official story, which let's say is found in the 9-11 Commission Report. There are so so many inconsitences and anomalies in the official story that only a stupid person or an ill person would not naturally question the official story. Do we have a "theory" of what actually did happen. Most of us do not because we realize we need hard evidence to even hypothesize. Several official requests for the evidence and hard data has been requested from the government, but the government is terminally corrupt from top to bottom and we will not have the evidence.

Conspiracy is as American as apple pie. It simply means when two or more people decide to break the law to achieve a goal and they agree to keep their plan secret.

It is wrong to trust the criminals in power. It is wrong to keep quiet and acquiesce to these criminals.

Resist evil. One way to do that is to speak out and ask questions and look for truth.

Our government criminals will not see the light until they feel the heat.

Vote EVERY ENCUMBENT out of office in November. That is one small thing we can try to do. The voting machines are controlled by the same people who perpetrated 9-11, but we as Americans are obligated to try to kick these criminals out.

At [3:27 PM](#), [Alex](#) said...

**Are you proposing that 9/11 was perpetrated by one singular individual?**

saying. I was trying to explain to you what the phrase "conspiracy theory" means! Here, I'll simplify some more:

Event: Two buildings are hit by aircraft and subsequently collapse.

Logical Cause: The impact caused the buildings to collapse.

Conspiracy Theory: An evil organization using thousands of hours and hundreds of people pre-wired the buildings with explosives, and then crashed the aircraft into the exact point on the buildings where they planned to begin the demolitions. They then blow up the buildings and blame it on Afghanistan. They then invade Iraq. All this so they could buy some oil at fair market value. And over the next 5 years, none of the people involved come forward to tell the truth.

See how that works? Singular event VS a plot involving a complex and unnecessary chain of events. That's the difference. Real life events are rarely the result of complex conspiracies. Only paranoid minds insist on linking every event into a vast conspiracy.

**9-11 Truth seekers have established beyond a reasonable doubt that the official story, which let's say is found in the 9-11 Commission Report.**

That wasn't actually a sentence...

At [3:54 PM](#), [Daithí](#) said...

*"Event: Two buildings are hit by aircraft and subsequently collapse."*

Ummm...Alex....

Two buildings were hit; *three* collapsed.

Also, did you believe that Osama bin Laden, Muhammed Atta *et al.* actually *conspired* with others...or not?

At [5:36 PM](#), [Alex](#) said...

See, that's not how a logical analysis works. If I say "the two buildings collapsed because they were hit by aircraft", you can't jump up and yell "AHA! BUT THREE FELL!".

In CT land that's the ONLY way to "prove" something; you tie in so many coincidences that eventually the event seems like it HAD to be some massive conspiracy by the illuminate or maybe by space aliens. In reality, you're not proving squat because none of the single events which make up your overall theory can stand up on

people by simply making yourself sound like you know what you're talking about. On the other hand if you actually wanted to prove that demolition brought down WTC1 and WTC2, you couldn't use WTC3 as evidence. It just doesn't work that way. If you could prove without a shadow of a doubt that WTC3 WAS demolished (which nobody has come even close to proving) then it would make it seem a bit more plausible that WTC1 and 2 were also demolished, but it would still not prove anything.

And as for the Osama bit, you're still not understanding what the phrase "conspiracy theory" actually *means*. Yes I do believe that Osama conspired with the 19 hijackers, however THAT is NOT a conspiracy theory. We have his confession, AND we know he's done similar things in the past. Whereas all you have to suggest that illuminati/zionists/space aliens pulled off 9/11 is suppositions, coincidences, distortions, mis-quotations, and incorrect analysis by unqualified individuals. You don't have a confession, and you don't have any solid evidence that can stand on it's own. You can't even fall back on prior acts! (and no, "Operation Northwoods" doesn't count - it never took place). That's why YOUR beliefs are a Conspiracy Theory, whereas believing in what you refer to as "the official story" is common sense. Ofcourse, the fact that you believe in a Conspiracy Theory doesn't necessarily mean you're wrong. You could very well be right. Or, at least, your overall conclusion could be right even though 99% of your actual analysis is wrong. Stranger things HAVE happened. However, the problem is that a Conspiracy Theory is generally unprovable. You'll never actually PROVE that you're right untill you're able to turn your Conspiracy Theory into a logical analysis of the available data. And you'll never accomplish that as long as you continue to approach the issue with the mindset of a Conspiracy Theorist.

At [5:45 PM](#), P\_Revere said...

There is another who said that there should be limits to freedom. It was George Bush in 1999 when shown gwush.com, a parody website lambasting him. These professors speaking out against tyranny are actual American patriots. The perpetrators of 9-11 and the politicians who defend the government's absurd explanation merit expulsion from American soil. According to existing law, they are guilty of treason and sedition and merit execution. But we should rise above the culture of death and Biblical revenge that has dragged our country to its historical abyss. Exile the criminals to a desolate, uninhabitable region with no supplies, and let the elements determine their survival or extinction.

At [6:02 PM](#), P\_Revere said...

So sorry. The last word should read 'extinction', such as that of

mind a descriptive from H.P. Lovecraft, the master of horror, who wrote, "more foul than the putrid slime that clings to the walls of hell".

At [6:06 PM](#), Alice said...

Yes I do believe that Osama conspired with the 19 hijackers, however THAT is NOT a conspiracy theory.

-----

Man, listen to yourself.

You believe in a theory based on an alleged conspiracy.

YOU ARE A CONSPIRACY THEORIST!

<http://www.swans.com/library/art12/rdeck068.html>

Now, get over it!

At [6:42 PM](#), Anonymous said...

We have his confession

NO WE DON'T

[http://www.robert-fisk.com/faked\\_video\\_inin.htm](http://www.robert-fisk.com/faked_video_inin.htm)

At [7:21 PM](#), Anonymous said...

This is for Alex the Antagonist.

The 9/11 Commission Report *is* a conspiracy theory. It is a theory purported by the US media and government as fact; however, just like the theory that WTC7 (not 3 - do your homework) was reduced in a controlled demolition, it is still a *theory*.

We do not have the hard facts. Even the FBI says that there are no hard links between 9/11 and Osama bin Laden. We have indicators, and some facts; for example, it is a fact that jet fuel does not burn hotter than 1800 F, even under compression. It is also a fact that the melting point of standard construction-grade steel is above 2500 F, and it is another fact that the steel used to build WTC 1 and 2 was of the highest quality available at the time. None of these facts point to "W". All of these facts indicate a cover-up. They do not prove a cover-up; they *indicate* a cover-up.

Back to the original point made by several posters: If there's nothing to cover-up, then why are the hard questions not being answered? Why are professors and scientists under pressure to change their mode of thinking?

Post #1: "Me thinks they doth protest too much!"

At [8:18 PM](#), Anonymous said...

9-11 Truth seekers have established beyond a reasonable doubt that the official story, which let's say is found in the 9-11 Commission Report, is FALSE. Because so many learned people like professors and former high government "officials" have joined the 9-11 truth movement, the pressure has caused some government people to try to change their official story. But the scholars for 9-11 truth, and all other scholarly researchers do mainly address their arguments and research toward the 9-11 Omission Report, which was basically even more of a bad joke than was the Warren Commission Report.

The researchers early on in the search for truth used to say there were those who believed "they" "let it happen on purpose" (called the LIHOP position) and those who believe they "made it happen on purpose" (called the MIHOP position.) However now enough information is available, to an overwhelming degree in quantity, that all say there really is no legitimate LIHOP stance.

There are many in this thread that have apparently not truly studied the facts on 9-11. I have been studying it for 5 years.

9-11 is just one part of the plan to bring about a one world government, which will be a feudalistic rulership by the elite of those they allow to live and work for them in the 1984 type world.

I do not think those of us who know what is going on in our nation and in the world and who know about 9-11 should waste our time in blogs responding those weak ones who can only mutter "conspiracy theory, conspiracy theory." If those people have not inquired and become awake by now, it is too late for them. They only get in the way of people who still want exposure of 9-11 truth to be more loud and clear each day to more and more people so that we can possibly put a chink in their plans to kill and enslave us and take down the once great Constitutional republic of the U.S.A.

The time is too late to waste on people who are blind and will not see. Spend your energies in ways you can find to expose the deeds of darkness of our unrighteous leaders.

"The melting point of steel isn't Democrat or Republican. The highest temperature a jet fuel fire can attain isn't conservative or liberal. The facts are decisive."

Professor James Fetzer [www.st911.org](http://www.st911.org)

At [8:52 PM](#), [Alex](#) said...

The melting point of steel is also irrelevant because the steel didn't need to melt in order to collapse, and NOWHERE in either

suggest that the steel melted. On the other hand, as someone who's worked with demolition charges I can gaurante you that none of the WTC buildings were wired for demolition. Talk to any demo expert, or a combat engineer, or anyone who's worked with explosives, and they'll tell you the same. There's a very good reason why no structural engineers and no explosives experts agree with your conspiracy theories: not only because they don't make sense logically, but because we can compare the collapses we saw to what we know we can achieve with explosives, and can draw conclusions on what caused the collapse. Whereas all YOU can do is make silly statements like "the buildings fell faster than gravity!".

And anyway, all of that is, once again, irrelevant. You can't prove a government complicity by spinning 500 whacky theories and hoping that sheer volume of nonsense will burry your opposition. You also don't do it by alying yourself with holocaust deniers, no-moon-landers, and illuminati's-taking-over-the-world'ers. What you need to do is pick one single aspect of that complicity and then prove it without a shadow of a doubt. And the 9/11 denial movement has yet to come even close to achieving that. If you could find JUST ONE person who was involved in this plot (shouldn't be hard, if you're right there should be thousands) and get him to testify. Or get a panel of structural engineers and explosives experts to write a formal paper explaining why explosives MUST have been used to cause one of the collapses. Or, since a lot of you seem to think the hijackers are still alive, go find them, interview them, and post the interview on the net! But PICK ONE and then PROVE it! Don't say you're "just asking questions". Don't say "the government is hiding evidence!". Don't blame the jews, or the illuminati, or the space aliens, or "the oil interests". Just focus on FACTS! Gather the facts, gather expert opinions, write a formal report which can be reviewed by other experts, and then publicize it! Only then will the rest of us look at you as anything more than a collection of mostly clueless lunatics.

At [9:15 PM](#), Anonymous said...

"write a formal report which can be reviewed by other experts"

-----

It has already been done.

Physics Professor Steven E. Jones has prepared a PEER-REVIEWED paper on this issue:

<http://www.physics.byu.edu/research/energy/htm7.html>

<http://www.journalof911studies.com/JonesAnswersQuestionsWorldTradeCenter.pdf>

Release ALL the 9/11 evidence!!!

<http://www.freedomspheonix.com/Feature-Article.htm?InfoNo=009309>

At [9:56 PM](#), [Alex](#) said...

I'm sorry, but a paper written by a guy whose field of study is "cold fusion", and reviewed by a collection of "scholars" whose fields of study are mainly arts, religion, and languages, isn't likely to convince anyone. His paper isn't scientific in the slightest, nor are the reviews legitimate. If, a physicist tried that sort of nonsense within his own field he'd be laughed out of town by his peers. Anyone who can say, with a straight face, that Jones' paper comes even close to a proper study of the matter must never have read any sort of scientific journal.

Ofcourse, the fact that Jones is a running joke amongst those who know of him doesn't help much either. But frankly, if he had been able to put together a properly researched and substantiated report, I wouldn't hold his past failures against him. Unfortunately, this "peer reviewed paper" of his is just another piece of crap to toss on the pile of failures that is his life.

At [10:53 PM](#), Anonymous said...

Alex, you can loop an unusually fixed cadenced flow of words, but your technique (or training) leaves some to assume your place is among a sulking, agitated government. Perhaps your trying to inspire a more effective and chivalrous spirit in which participants will practice a fierce and inferior sharp response to dry mockery and turn the tables.

Whatever your social role may be, I'm pretty sure aliens had nothing to do or have any type of relation with 911. You can't defeat the truth. America is in the war biz. Kennedy didn't want war. That problem was removed with a special, "magic bullet." His brother soon followed. Would you tell me there's a corner shot of E.T. winking at the camera while they loaded Bobby's body into the ambulance?

Building 7 must have been made of really poor material in the basement. For it to drop in such a uniform manner would mean every inch of the lower section had an eutectic alloy modification. Polls have showed most people around the globe believe 911 was an inside job, so do I. Don't think of the few billion who do, as simple minded fools who want to believe. The end result makes it pretty obvious to even the most neutral of observers.

But, the only thing people around the world are buying from America these days are lots of weapons. And sales will drop if too

At [10:56 PM](#), Anonymous said...

By the way Alex, I might ad you never know how many billions of those people may decide America is not only it's own worst enemy, but an enemy of life.

Billy G

At [11:05 PM](#), Anonymous said...

Building 7 must have been made of really poor material in the basement. For it to drop in such a uniform manner would mean every inch of the lower section had an eutectic alloy modification.

\*\*\*\*\*

YEAH, REALLY POOR...

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LD06SAf0p9A&eurl=>

At [11:05 PM](#), [Alex](#) said...

**Kennedy didn't want war. That problem was removed with a special, "magic bullet." His brother soon followed.**

See guys, this is exactly what I'm talking about. You GOTTA stay away from these lunatics. It's pretty much impossible to have a discussion about 9/11 without someone bringing up one or more of the following:

- 1) WW2 was started by Jews.
- 2) Hitler was a Jew.
- 3) Pearl Harbour was allowed to happen.
- 4) The US never landed on the moon.
- 5) JFK was assasinated by the government.
- 6) JFK's brother was assasinated by the government.
- 7) TWA Flight 800 was shot down.
- 8) Serbia was bombed for mineral deposits.
- 9) Afghanistan was invaded for natural gas.
- 10) Iraq was invaded for oil (both times I guess).
- 11) Haiti's government was overthrown by "western nations".
- 12) We have troops in Haiti "to ensure cheap manufacturing".

I could go on for hours about the whacky theories coming out of most 9/11 deniers, but I think you (those of you who actually ARE rational) get the point. If you REALLY want to be taken seriously you need to distance yourselves from these lunatics. Otherwise people just say to themselves "hey, these retards beleive every conspiracy under the sun, so their theories about 9/11 must be just as insane".

Granted, distancing yourselves from the lunatics, paranoids, and racists will probably cut your movement down to about 15% of it's present strength, but having a small number of people who are taken seriously is always better than having a giant mob which gets belittled and ignored.

At [11:12 PM](#), [Daithí](#) said...

*"A straw man argument is a logical fallacy based on misrepresentation of an opponent's position. To 'set up a straw man' or 'set up a straw-man argument' is to create a position that is easy to refute, then attribute that position to the opponent. A straw-man argument can be a successful rhetorical technique (that is, it may succeed in persuading people) but it is in fact misleading, because the opponent's actual argument has not been refuted."*

At [2:42 AM](#), Anonymous said...

Alex is a plant.

Bipolar Rovers, like Mr. Alex , are very easy to ignore. He works the same way Jeff Gannon did for Bush and Rove. By pretending to reach with one hand and write for the truth and jerking off those who are too blinded to care what honest government means anymore. A weak attempt to try and build enough doubt to try and crush those who are just too smart to see the truth for what it really is. Something must be brewing if agitated plants like Alex are becoming so much more aggressive. The jokes on you Alex. America is waiting to see just how far it goes before they take back what belongs to them. No, you are the joke.

At [8:53 AM](#), [Alex](#) said...

**A weak attempt to try and build enough doubt to try and crush those who are just too smart to see the truth for what it really is.**

Hehe. Somehow I doubt that sentence came out the way you intended it. :p

Yes, you're all DEFFINITELY "too smart" to see the truth for what it really is. That must be the reason you hide behind so many batshit crazy conspiracy theories.

Oh, and Mr. Straw-Man? I haven't made a strawman argument here. What I did in my previous post is point out that when you associate with lunatics, people will assume that you also are a lunatic. And most of the CT movement ARE lunatics. So don't use that logical fallacy crap on me, or at least make sure you're using it appropriately. Otherwise you just end up looking ignorant.

*"I haven't made a strawman argument here."*

No, indeed.

And you believe in a theory that nineteen men conspired with Osama bin Laden to commit the crimes of 9/11, but that's *not* a conspiracy theory.

At [1:15 PM](#), [Alex](#) said...

Exactly. Now you're starting to think! Good job, I'm really proud of you. Most CT-ers NEVER understand the difference between conspiring and a conspiracy theory. It only took you a day or so. Maybe there's some hope for the CT movement after all.

At [1:21 PM](#), [Daithí](#) said...

Your aspirations in teaching me to speak fluent Newspeak are vain hopes, Alex.

[Post a Comment](#)

[<< Home](#)