

Thanks to all  
who have  
downloaded  
the mirror  
file. If you  
want to  
mirror,  
notify  
mirror@  
ken-  
welch.com

# KEN-WELCH.COM

**THIS IS NOT A DRILL**



## **RUSSIAN NUKE TRACED TO TEXAS**

*by Ken Welch in Houston*  
Posted March 25, 2006

**During the summer of 2005 it was decided go ahead with plans for America's second 9/11.**

**The decision was not made in a torch-lit cave in Afghanistan, nor in a nondescript safe-house in Iraq. Implementing the plan would involve the highest officials of the United States government. The centerpiece of the plan is a portable nuclear weapon manufactured in the former**

**Soviet Union. A tame “terrorist”, destined no doubt to be an eventual patsy, arranged for the bomb’s transport by sea, and the ship carrying it entered Galveston Bay on January 25, 2006. At this time the device remains hidden on board the ship that brought it, a freighter docked in or near the port of Texas City, about thirty minutes south of Houston, and only ten miles from the island city of Galveston.**

**Radiation monitoring teams from the Department of Homeland Security were on the beach at Galveston to make sure there was no radiation leakage from the ship that might register on monitors and give away the secret. After the vessel concluded it’s normal business and was tied up at its final position, a team of experts inspected the device, determined that it was fully functional, and installed the triggering mechanism. They pronounced it ready to go, and released it to the White House on February 16th.**

**The nuke has remained there up to the time of this posting, and will be detonated at some time during the Easter holiday, April 14-16, to maximize the illusion that the world is involved in religious conflict. This will be a spectacular media event involving the mass evacuation of three million people, already tested in the bizarre Rita stampede last November. Network cameras will be locked on Texas City, from a distance of course, to ensure the best possible pictures of the flash and rising mushroom cloud. Many Americans will die, and the once again the world will be changed forever.**

**This is ultimate reality, life in the raw, far removed from the fairytales taught in schools and portrayed in mass media. It simply doesn’t get more real than this. Within this report you will hear the voices of U.S. officials in reversed speech, a medium that reveals hidden thoughts, giving you the actual details about their little mushroom surprise for America and the world.**

**You will hear with your own ears WHAT it is, WHERE it is, HOW it got here, WHO is responsible, and WHEN they intend to set it off.**

**Perhaps, if you share this report with enough people, the plan will be changed. The nuke can be quietly recovered and they will take it somewhere else. However, events over the past decade clearly demonstrate that those who are calling the shots firmly believe that their control and corruption of the government and military is so complete that the American people are totally powerless. It simply**

doesn't matter how many people are aware of what is really happening. There is nothing they can do about it.

## I. January: Rumors Of A Nuke

On January 25th, 2006, the same day that we now know the nuke actually arrived, journalist Greg Szymanski posted on his ArcticBeacon.com a story titled, "All Signposts Lead To Imminent Nuclear Attack In America". The story was mirrored the following day on Rense.com, where my eye was naturally attracted to the subtitle, which read: "Intel Army Capt. Eric May Issues 'Red Alert' For Next 9 Days For Texas City-Houston Area".

That's where I live. Now, truth be told, Houston is a city that has virtually no detectable character and I doubt that the world would miss it. On the other hand there are a lot of people in Houston, some of whom I rather like.

Szymanski wrote:

The January air is again filled with rumblings about an imminent nuclear attack on American soil, as ominous signs are popping up all over the place indicating the "dropping of the real potato" is right around the corner. Sources inside the military and close to the action this week warned areas of "nuclear concern" within the next 10 to 90 days include Texas City, Tex., the Houston metro area, Charleston, S.C., Los Angeles, Ca., and Kansas City, Ka.

Szymanski's source, a Captain May, claims to have deciphered the secret code of special dates that determines when the bad guys stage their big stunts, and also to have friends in Army intelligence circles who were leaking information about a nuke. Websites related to May are basically incomprehensible. However, the references to "military sources" naming various cities had all the earmarks of a long series of internet Psyops designed to prepare the public for a nuclear event.

If you don't understand this, pause for a moment and think. Why would people leak this? If they are really looking for a nuke, then they will be out there looking. What would be the point of blabbing about it? Sure, leaks happen, and often for very courageous reasons.

**But with no way to narrow down the location there is really nothing to leak. On the other hand, if you are trying to build a psychological framework to support a future event, or to distract people from some other program or event, then leak away - it's just one more tool in the propagandist's toolbox.**

**Szymanski posted a follow up article a day later, this one also driven by information from Capt. May who claimed sources inside the Houston Police Department had confirmed that in recent weeks they had been taking part in nuclear disaster drills. I have no idea if this is true. The Arctic Beacon, however, listed this as one more piece of evidence that the city was being targeted by the Bush administration for a nuclear attack. A third article on January 28th and a fourth on February 3rd completed the coverage, noting at the end that there was in fact a training exercise for homeland security WMD teams in Galveston that week, with monitoring equipment set up on the beach. It was reported in a local newspaper.**

**On February 4th, the blog "Christian Partners For Peace" jumped in with an article titled, "Whose Nuke is Coming to the US?" This piece referenced "intelligence sources" who now were hinting that the mystery nuke had been smuggled through the newly discovered Mexican drug tunnel. The article pointed out how unlikely this theory was, and echoed my own conclusion: there really was a nuke and the anonymous "sources" were simply laying the psychological foundation. This is a very common tactic which serves two purposes.**

**First, when people are prepared for a big event over time, then when it actually happens their first thoughts are, "I just knew this was going to happen!" It's like being told for several years before 9/11 that terrorists would sooner or later come to America.**

**This ensures that people are much more likely to accept the official story of what happened, because key parts of it are already lodged in the back of their mind. The whole story seems much more reasonable than it would if it were sprung on them all at once. Second, the voices that are sounding the "warning" gain in credibility afterward, and their value as a propaganda tool is enhanced. People will pay much more attention next time.**

**One thing in the back of MY mind as I read each story in turn: Houston has already experienced a bizarre, pointless, and distinctly surreal evacuation of nearly three million people in connection with**

**hurricane Rita, only a few months before. If the mystery nuke turns into a media circus so the whole world gets to watch like they did on 9/11, then all the kinks and problems that will arise as the population panics have already been mapped out from an exceptionally convenient test. What a coincidence.**

## **II. Second week of February: The Hunt Begins**

**Although I was immediately suspicious of the nuke stories, it took four days of an increasing feeling of “wrongness” before I realized that I was going to have to do something. To find out quickly if there were grounds for my suspicions, I went to the website of the “World Economic Forum”, an organization that meets annually in Davos, Switzerland. While others have their favorite “illuminati” organizations, my money says these folks, and the multinational corporations they represent, are the guys that are deeply involved in making things happen. They have a great public image, but reversed speech has shown they know way too much about the really nasty stuff to be mere observers. They brief each other in private, and then babble about it unconsciously when the microphones are on.**

**On the 26th of January, U.S. Secretary of State Dr. Condoleezza Rice addressed the annual W.E.F. meeting via a video hook-up, presumably from Washington. The program was still available for viewing so I recorded the audio and went to work. I hit pay dirt almost immediately. Condi blabbed about the nuke.**

**Click on the sound button below to hear the results, with a brief narrative that describes the process and format being used.**



**CLICK  
HERE**



**TO  
LISTEN**

**SUBJECT: SEC. STATE DR. CONDOLEEZA  
RICE**

**DATE:  
26 JAN 2006**

**PLACE:  
World Economic Forum, Davos**

**TOPIC:  
Switz., via video**

**FOUND: Guiding Principles and Values for US Policies**

**"A NUKE, HID IN THE SHIP"  
"THE TERRORIST PAID THE  
TAB"  
"CALL ME 'BOUT THE NUKE"  
"ITS IN A FREIGHTER"**

**Well, as you heard for yourself, it is TRUE. Condi tells us there really is a NUKE and it's hidden on a freighter. Beyond that, however, I still don't know much. There is a "terrorist" involved, but in today's world of half real, half fabricated "disney-news" that means nothing. Few terrorists are who they claim to be.**

**Not too many years ago an expert on international terrorism pointed that all cross-border terrorism is state sponsored. It is extremely expensive, and terrorists need government help with paperwork, transportation, and to keep them from running afoul of law enforcement. Even the 9/11 hijackers, at least those that actually existed, had government agencies running interference for them on multiple occasions - just to keep them from being arrested and deported as a matter of routine. Simply stated, there are no international terrorists running around loose, doing their own thing. Never was, never will be. As to who is paying a particular "terrorist" - well that's for them to know and the rest of us to guess at.**

**Obviously, lives are at stake here, but the information so far is not enough. I will need to gather a lot more speech reversals, and get them from multiple sources if possible, before I can really say that I have any grasp at all of what is happening. I've learned enough over time to know that I wouldn't buy a used car from a government employee, whether it's Condi Rice or the principle at the local high school. I also know that the people who run things have been playing a gigantic game of "wag the terrorist" for years. If there's a nuke, my feeling is the odds are at least ten to one it's ours. If it is, it would certainly be an answer to a lot of fervent prayers from around the Beltway.**

### **III. Is A U.S. Sponsored Nuclear Incident Reasonable?**

**I know there are still people that believe that a bunch of barely civilized nut cases in Afghanistan orchestrated the 9/11 attacks, and that those buildings fell down all by themselves. Of course, if you get all your news from television and radio, then that's the only information you have. The rest of us know there is a much bigger, and vastly uglier story there, which will all come out eventually. If you just can't deal with the idea that evil people will naturally work very hard to occupy any position of power that we create for them, then I suggest that you go back to your television set and stay tuned. Micky mouse will tell you everything you need to know.**

**As for current events, consider the following.**

**1) A number of media outlets are beginning to say the Bush administration is in big trouble. There is open talk of impeachment. Iraq is a disaster, if viewed according to its stated purpose, a success if the purpose was to raise the price of oil and gas. In response to the nagging question, whether the Iraqi people will find their way to democracy, a lot of folks are realizing that they didn't care before, and they really don't care now. It's none of our business. People have the governments they deserve. This is just as true in Iraq as it is here. When they no longer deserve it, things change. Sometimes overnight.**

**2) People are waking up rapidly now to the fact that the German model of imperial government is the opposite of what America is all about. We didn't ask for it, we don't want it, and it is obviously being imposed by a small group of officials who seem to get their orders from somewhere else. The Motherland? The Fatherland? The Homeland? Give us a break! Have you ever met an American that uses any of these terms? Reminds me of an anti-war flyer I was handed one day at college. It referred to GI's in Vietnam as "yellow running dogs". Somehow you knew right off that the piece wasn't written on campus.**

**3) The myth of terrorism is becoming increasingly thin. The official story of 9/11 is falling apart, and the traditional gathering up and hiding of the evidence, ala Waco, Oklahoma City, etc., has an obvious and all too familiar odor. It is also beginning to dawn that "terrorist" events, even as bad as the one in New York, are necessarily isolated and localized. The world goes on. And of course we can't seem to find any more serious terrorists, anyway.**

4) For reasons that are known only to themselves, the Bush crowd have clearly set their sights on a “pre-emptive” strike on Iran, despite the fact that we don’t have the manpower to wage war on Iran using conventional means . On its face this makes no sense and the reasons given, that ten years from now Iran might be able to produce a nuke of its own, is obviously no emergency. The real puzzle is why the White House effort to concoct a cause for war seems so half hearted. On the other hand, a number of sources have indicated that, in another odd coincidence, U.S. war plans have been amended so that a nuclear strike on Iran is pre-authorized and pre-programmed -- if a nuclear device should explode in the United States. For some reason it doesn’t matter whose device it might be. This is so kooky that I have serious doubts about the story. Nonetheless...

If there is one thing Washington desperately needs, it is another 9/11. Naturally, it has to be bigger, louder, and kill a lot more people than the first one. Otherwise, let’s face it, we’ve been there and done that. The only kind of attack that meets all their needs would appear to be nuclear. At the very least it would let the administration say they’d finally found a “weapon of mass destruction”.

One interesting side note: The Bush administration’s story was that 9/11 caught them totally by surprise. In wonderful irony they were then badly burned for being asleep at the switch. They don’t want to be accused of incompetence once again. To prevent this, they’ll need a trail of clues indicating that they were hot on the trail of a loose nuke, searching high and low, 24/7. This takes us back to the leaked stories. Everyone who reads them is prepared in advance to hear that Big Brother was definitely on the job. Too bad they were just one step behind. Got it?

#### IV. Third Week of February: Getting Help

Speech reversals are really the only tool available for finding truth in the world as it is today. They are the reason the new millenium will be different from the old, despite the fact that a gigantic array of forces are fighting an intense battle to return the planet to universal feudalism. Luckily, there are now many people well versed in their use, and several I can draw on for quick help, as soon as I let them

know what I've found.

Speech reversals are a fascinating medium. They come from the right brain hemisphere which science previously assumed lacked the power of speech. In fact the ability is there, but because of the left-right cross-wiring of the human nervous system the speech is backwards. It is also buried within normal speech which makes it virtually undetectable without audio tools. To learn more about speech reversals, check out [ReverseSpeech.com](http://ReverseSpeech.com).

My approach to RS as an intelligence gathering tool is based on my observation that most people are actually thinking about more than one thing at once, particularly when giving a prepared presentation that is already boring. Many other thoughts will flicker through the mind and show up in speech reversals. By itself, a single speech reversal is problematic. You don't know for sure what triggered the thought or, in most cases, what it is referring to. However, if you already know that a certain situation exists, if there are multiple references that fit together, and particularly if a second source shows up with reversals on the same subject, then you've found something that has a concrete existence and a life of its own.

RS was used extensively to track the shamelessly deceptive activities of the Clinton administration, but by the time Bush Jr. took office there was a definite chill in the air that suggested RS investigators had best keep a low profile. Because of this, while there are some terrific RS practitioners out there, many prefer to remain below the radar, and only exchange audio files and the really eye-opening material among themselves.

I'm one of those who use speech reversals for other purposes, and over time I've gotten pretty good at it. I also know three other RS "experts" who have collaborated in the past when the need for action outweighed the need for personal safety (a different story). Fishing for speech reversals is incredibly time consuming. The only way I would be able to get the whole story on the mystery nuke would be to enlist the others in the search.

Strider, a true master at RS who several years ago told the U.S. Army he didn't want to see any more assassinations on film – and made it stick – signed on immediately. RichardK, my next choice, was reluctant at first. After he heard the Condi Rice reversals, though, he said a nuke was more important than anything else on his plate. A

**third member of the team readily agreed but asked not to be named. We quickly reminded each other to avoid key words in any telephone or e-mail conversations, and then tried to decide how to split up the work. There was a huge amount of audio available that was both current and pertinent.**

**No one wanted to do Bush himself. Junior is a tough subject for RS due to the physical effects of his years of drug and alcohol abuse. The effect on his speech centers is obvious (just slow down his normal speech and see how many words and syllables are left out) and reversals are usually short, fragmentary, and often juvenile. I end up with the recent “state of the union” speech because no one else will take it even though it has to be done. It will be a huge task, with little hope of reward.**

**Strider would tackle the Senate Intelligence hearings with the new director of national intelligence, Skull and Bones member John Negroponte. Based on an earlier look at Negroponte, Strider said he should be easy and most likely a goldmine of information. He appeared on the Hill to discuss “threats” for 2006, and then reused much of the same speech at Georgetown university.**

**RichardK, would take Donald Rumsfeld, who would surely know about a loose nuke, and is almost always worth an RS effort even if you are not looking for anything in particular. He’s fun because he views himself as a thespian and loves a good phrase. He may or may not be helpful because, in the past, he has seemed to be able to compartmentalize his thinking. Alternatively, things we would expect to carry a strong emotional charge may be to him only commonplace.**

**Our third person would back up anyone who got bogged down, and also take the President’s Saturday radio addresses and some older press conferences.**

**We have three main priorities. They are to determine: 1) where is the nuke now, 2) who is controlling it, and 3) when will it be used.**

**Strider came through first, with breathtaking material from Negroponte’s appearance before the Senate Intelligence Committee.**





CLICK  
HERE



TO  
LISTEN

**SUBJECT:**JOHN D. NEGROPONTE, "Dir.  
National Intelligence"

**DATE:**  
2 FEB 2006

**PLACE:**  
Senate Intel Committee Hearings

**TOPIC:**  
Global Threats for 2006

**FOUND:**  
Cue: Extremists  
**"THE NUKE'S HERE"**

Cue: **Al Quaida**  
**"DON'T EXIST"**

Cue: Terrorism a global problem  
**"WE'RE REGISTERED EVIL"**

Cue: (Known CIA ghost) Abu  
Musab al-Zarqawi  
**"THAT SHIP"**  
**"HOUSTON"**  
**"WILL BLOW UP"**

Cue: Undetermined reference  
**"LITTLE MUSHROOM"**

Cue: Helping Al Quaida with  
attacks  
**"THE NUKE - RIGHT NEAR  
LIFEBOAT"**

Cue: True Nature of world events  
**"THERES NO ACCIDENT"**

**Well, hell. It's Houston.**

**That answered our first question right off. Unfortunately, it didn't make me feel any better to be working near the next Ground Zero. However, I have already measured the distance to the closest place a real ship could be (the actual Port of Houston) and my family and**

myself are simply not close enough to be harmed by the actual detonation. Nonetheless, for the next few days I will periodically find myself listening for the blast, which could come at any moment. I make sure the cars are filled up, and obtain an iodine supplement known to be helpful in situations involving radioactive fallout.

The Negroponte reversals also provide a much better picture of what we are dealing with. First, the date of his presentation, February 2nd, follows the Rice appearance by only a few days and the statement that “the nuke’s here” identifies the arrival of the ship as the physical event that has taken place. So Condi’s phone call about the nuke was no doubt her notification of the ships arrival. This places the actual date of arrival January 26, or quite possibly the day before - the date the Zymanski article about mysteriously leaked nuke warnings appeared.

We also must accept the obvious. The White House knows which ship it is, where it is, and even the fact that the nuke is hidden on the upper level of the ship “right near (a) lifeboat.” Is this the intelligence coup of the century? It would appear that with this much information and a simple phone call, a couple of sherrif’s deputies could go out and pick the damn thing up. Is that happening? No. Weeks go by and it doesn’t happen.

Strider tells me that the phrase “there’s no accidents” is Negroponte’s personal mantra, repeated many times whenever he is recorded. It is reminiscent of FDR’s statement that nothing happens on the national or world stage by accident. That there is always extensive advance planning by those in power. The reversal, “We’re registered evil” is fascinating to. Not just ordinary evil. Registered. Like badge of excellence.

I take the statement that the bomb “will blow up” literally. This is not going to be a dog-and-pony show where they “discover” the nuke and then cart it off.

Two aspects are still clouded. First, who’s bomb is it? Is it really a foreign operation that the White House will simply allow to happen, or is it a completely in-house operation?

I also have serious doubts about Houston itself as the target, whether “terrorists” chose it or the administration picked the target. While there is nothing particularly special about Houston, the port itself is

an economic powerhouse. A nuke in the port would inflict incredible damage that would effect the whole nation. It would make no sense to allow that to happen. I'm particularly aware people who have not lived in an area normally think in a sort of geographic shorthand based on large cities. In other words, for an East Coast resident, "Houston" could typically describe a large area one or even two hundred miles in diameter. This is a big target but the water route narrows it down considerably. South from the actual port and ship channel is Galveston Bay. First up is La Porte. Essentially at the lower end of the Houston ship channel, there are multiple facilities along this stretch for handling container ships. La Porte itself has no significant docking facility that I know of, but I've only been there once. Very nice place.

Below La Porte is the NASA / Clear Lake area, and towns like Kemah and Seabrook on the bay itself (underneath the "League City" name the map below). As far as I can tell there are no facilities there that could dock an ocean going freighter. Further south is Texas City with an actual port facility, and it is the only small town named in the so-called leaked intelligence. Then, of course, there is Galveston - visible across eight or ten miles of water from the jetty at Texas City. Here's the layout. You can click on the map and then explore it in a new window.



[GO TO PAGE TWO](#)

