

POLITICALLY CORRECT APOSTATE

MONDAY, JULY 17, 2006

There's the Big Lie, and then there's the Really Big Lie

Curt Maynard

There's the "Big Lie," everyone has heard of it, you know the one, the one where Hitler allegedly advocated propagandizing a lie so huge, so preposterous, that nobody would believe it to be a lie - rather they would assume it to be true, based on the premise that nobody could possibly develop a lie so unlikely and ridiculously evident and expect anyone to believe it, therefore such a lie must be true.

Then there's the really big lie, and that is that Hitler ever advocated foisting such an enormous and outrageous lie on anyone - he never did - what he did do however, was point out in his political autobiography Mein Kampf that Jews had utilized the "Big Lie," over the centuries and that they had mastered its use on the unsuspecting. Yes reader, that's right, the big H never advocated utilizing the "Big Lie," all he did was state that Jews were the originators of the "Big Lie," and that they had utilized it over the years in often successful efforts to deceive large numbers of people.

It is interesting that the very people the big H accused of frequently utilizing the "Big Lie," in order to deceive the public are the same ones that have done more than anyone else to lie about the fact that Hitler supported and actively campaigned for its use. Abraham Foxman, the Director of the Anti-Defamation League, utilized the term in his book Never Again, numerous times, and each time he applied it in such a way as to direct the reader's attention to the idea that only an anti-Semite could be capable of lying to such a degree.

What are the facts? Read on dear reader. Below are the actual words of Adolf Hitler, please note that when he details the "Big

ABOUT ME

CHRIS WOMAK

[VIEW MY COMPLETE PROFILE](#)

LINKS

[The Birdman](#)

[ziopedia.org](#)

[rebel media](#)

>Previous Posts

[Foxman says "Never Again," but it's the same old story](#)

[It's the Jews Stupid](#)

[David Horowitz and The Mechanics of the Zionist Exploitation of Blacks](#)

[Larry Darby: Another Perspective](#)

[NEWTHINK: Progressive Digression](#)

[Jewish Congressman Powerfully proves Jews Have no Power](#)

[Multiculturalism and Ebonics](#)

[Machiavelli at work in the Mainstream Media](#)

[The Fallacy of Multiculturalism Revealed](#)

[Oh the outrage...](#)



Lie,” he does so not to advocate its use, but instead to direct attention to the fact that Jews are the ones to have utilized the Big Lie and to have mastered its point and purpose.

"All this was inspired by the principle - which is quite true in itself - that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie, since they themselves often tell small lies in little matters but would be ashamed to resort to large-scale falsehoods. It would never come into their heads to fabricate colossal untruths, and they would not believe that others could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously. Even though the facts which prove this to be so may be brought clearly to their minds, they will still doubt and waver and will continue to think that there may be some other explanation. For the grossly impudent lie always leaves traces behind it, even after it has been nailed down, a fact which is known to all expert liars in this world and to all who conspire together in the art of lying. These people know only too well how to use falsehood for the basest purposes. From time immemorial, however, the Jews have known better than any others how falsehood and calumny can be exploited. Is not their very existence founded on one great lie, namely, that they are a religious community, where as in reality they are a race? And what a race! One of the greatest thinkers that mankind has produced has branded the Jews for all time with a statement which is profoundly and exactly true. Schopenhauer called the Jew "The Great Master of Lies". Those who do not realize the truth of that statement, or do not wish to believe it, will never be able to lend a hand in helping Truth to prevail." [1]

So as you can see, Hitler wasn't advocating lying to one's people, he was pointing out the fact that Jews know better than anyone else how to initiate the big lie for their own purposes. Note too please, that Hitler mentioned the equally true fact that the "grossly impudent lie always leaves traces behind it," just as did 9-11 - yes dear reader, 9-11 was not an operation carried out by 19 Arabs trained in Afghanistan, that is part of the "Big Lie," I suggest you start looking at the same people Hitler spoke of more than seventy years ago.

One recent example of the "Big Lie," in action and foisted by the same people the big H accused of being so proficient with its use, is this canard that the two "kidnapped," Israeli soldiers are to be

transferred in some manner from Lebanon to Iran. There is without any doubt only one beneficiary of a war with Iran, and that's Israel. Israel and its Jewish American Fifth Column in the United States have been banging the drums of war against Iran for many years now, and they will do anything within their power to persuade moronic Americans that Iran represents a serious threat to the security of the United States. This is a lie; Israel is the problem, not Iran. Take a moment, relax, and consider this. In the early 1980s various Lebanese factions, Christians, Muslims, and Druze mostly were frequently kidnapping one other and occasionally an American, Syrian or Israeli, in an effort to further whatever agenda it was they were trying to draw attention to. Not once did any of them ever find it necessary to take their hostages outside Lebanon; in some cases they held on to them for years.[2] Why now then does Hezbollah suddenly find it advantageous to do so? The reason of course is that Israel and its Fifth Column in the United States [Read Mainstream Media] finds it advantageous to their cause - to draw the United States into a war against both Syria and Iran - leaving Israel safe to impose its will in the Middle East. In this writer's opinion, there may not even be any Israeli soldiers that were kidnapped, it could be part of the "Big Lie," after all; we are forced to accept the word of a pathological liar, the state of Israel. The truth is, Americans have absolutely no reason whatsoever to believe the government of Israel, it has lied to us in the past, it has been caught red handed in the past engaging in the "Big Lie," it attacked an American ship in 1967 in an effort to make it look like the Egyptians did it, but were unsuccessful at sinking the U.S.S. Liberty, thus leaving witnesses around to expose them and their nefarious actions later.[3] In the 1950s the Israeli's attempted to bomb American assets in Cairo, once again in an effort to frame Egyptians, but one of the bombs exploded prematurely blowing the lid off Israel's covert operation.[4]

In the end dear reader, the intelligent man disregards anything the mainstream media says - literally - the media as it is today is nothing more than the mouthpiece of Jewish Supremacism and its salient or access into your thoughts and those of your neighbors. If you are truly interested in the truth, start by turning off the television.

Curt Maynard

[1] Source: Mein Kampf, p. 134 as cited in Wikipedia

[2] Terry Anderson being one example.

[3] This didn't stop Israel from pressuring the Johnson administration to stop the subsequent investigation - in 2003 the

head of the investigative team, Captain Ward Boston, signed an affidavit stating that he was forced to wrap up the investigation concluding that the attempt to sink the USS Liberty was an accident, not an intentional act, despite the fact that he felt the Israeli's intentionally attacked the Liberty, knowing it was an American ship.

[4] This incident is known as Operation Suzannah or the Lavon Affair, it isn't a state secret, Israel has acknowledged its role and the Israeli Minister of Defense, Pinhas Lavon resigned as a result of the mission's failure, which is just another way of saying he failed because he and Israel had been caught.

POSTED BY CHRIS WOMAK AT 9:03 AM

10 COMMENTS:

Tubulcain420 said...

The father of Propaganda, Edward Bernays defined the profession of "counsel on public relations" as a "practicing social scientist" whose "competence is like that of the industrial engineer, the management engineer, or the investment counselor in their respective fields."

Bernays' celebration of propaganda helped define public relations, but it did not win the industry many friends. In a letter to President Franklin D. Roosevelt, Supreme Court Justice Felix Frankfurter described Bernays and Ivy Lee as "professional poisoners of the public mind, exploiters of foolishness, fanaticism and self-interest." And history showed the flaw in Bernays' identification of the "manipulation of the masses" as a natural and necessary feature of a democratic society. The fascist rise to power in Germany demonstrated that propaganda could be used to subvert democracy as easily as it could be used to "resolve conflict."

In his autobiography, titled Biography of an Idea, Bernays recalls a dinner at his home in 1933 where

Karl von Weigand, foreign correspondent of the Hearst newspapers, an old hand at interpreting Europe and just returned from Germany, was telling us about Goebbels and his propaganda plans to consolidate Nazi power. Goebbels had shown Weigand his propaganda library, the best Weigand had ever seen. Goebbels, said Weigand, was using my book Crystallizing Public Opinion as a basis for his destructive campaign against the Jews of Germany. This shocked me. ... Obviously the attack on the Jews of Germany was no emotional outburst of the Nazis, but a deliberate, planned

campaign.

1:26 PM

theblackbay.com said...

for a clear and simple understanding of what Tubulcain420 is trying to say here :

<http://100777.com/node/1485>

Go here and listen to this amazing Jewish man B.Freedman explain these things clearly he was present at the Treaty of Versailles (1919) [that is not a joke] [he was there].

3:04 PM

kdaves said...

Quite sadly, once the msm is turned off, you will not find a lot of Israel critique in the marginal media either.

Today Amy Goodman of Democracy Now referred to Israel "entering" southern Lebanon. Not invade, which is accurate.

She also quoted Israel's dead before the much greater number of dead in Lebanon. Subtle, but there you have it.

And robert fisk? Syria is evil and Israel must defend itself is his tack.

Truly, all media are controlled by jews.

I worked with a movie studio and you couldn't walk without stepping on a jew's toes.

4:54 PM

Ken Gourd said...

If I may, I beg to differ on what I understand to be the central point of Curt's "Big Lie" post, namely, that Hitler never advocated foisting enormous and outrageous lies on anyone.

Obviously, while banging the podium, Hitler would not have been given to publicly crying out for more and bigger lying in the Nazi party, any more than he would have addressed his fellow Volksdeutsche with "My dear easily corrupted Broad Masses...." Instead, he would have banged away with much tearful and pious outrage at the abhorrent and shameful lies of Jews, communists, and, especially, of Jewish communists. Did Abraham Foxman, in all earnest, claim otherwise? All things are possible.

In any event, my contention with the misleading assertion above is that Hitler, when discussing Reich policy amongst his confidantes must have, at least at times, strongly advocated foisting enormous and outrageous lies on the German people. We know this because he and his deputies did rather a lot of hardcore lie-foisting in their twelve years of glory.

It is true that Goebbels liked the official Reichs-version of current events to adhere to fact as much as possible (the rationale being proverbial: It's easier to peddle a few minor fabrications within a more-or-less accurate report, than it is to make up a fantasy world—with no supporting witnesses—from scratch, and then have to defend its inconsistencies with more and greater lies). But this did not mean that top-level Nazis did not tell whale-like whoppers with straight faces where public relations strategy so demanded. Did Hitler advocate lying to the German public about the nature and course of the war he had started? Did he, at any point, make earnest public assurances that he would respect Polish sovereignty? Would it, around the time of William Randolph Hearst's visit, in 1934—by which time violence against Jews was a common occurrence entirely coherent with the Nazi party line—constitute a big lie or a small lie for Hitler to claim for the benefit of concerned Americans that “all discrimination is disappearing... that is the policy of my government?” All throughout the holocaust, Nazi officials repeatedly claimed that the rumors about mistreatment of Jews were communist smear campaigns without one shred of substantiation. Such lies were simply the adopted state policy. And they were big lies.

Finally, since you reference Mein Kampf, what do you make of Hitler's gushing admiration for the effectiveness of Allied war propaganda? He even cites Germany's unsophistication in this regard as a major reason for her defeat. Now, let's be serious here: it wasn't the Allies' small lies Hitler found to be the secret of winning wars of European domination. The Allied propaganda, which consisted of a great many lies, had been some of the most outrageous ever put forward—even Americans refused to believe some of it! At the same time there would have been many political consultants who thought such exaggeration counter-productive and potentially too embarrassing to go through with. But guess what? Hitler wasn't among them.

To my mind it looks a lot like the big H advocated the big L as a salient feature in his concept of statesmanship, and that he furthermore expected his more politically savvy readers would catch his drift if they only read between the lies, err, lines.

5:41 PM

ksdrover2 said...

I have devoted a great deal of time to examining and investigating the events that surround not only WW1&2 but the European periods between the 15th and 20th centuries and every word about the conclusions that Hitler came to were true. This is a perfect example of a 'big lie' in its infancy:

<http://harpers.org/StabbedInTheBack.html>

This article starts off being truthful about the use of 'backstabbing' as implied in Wagner's "Die Götterdämmerung". It even goes so far as to mention Hitler's encounter with "the 'Jewish' slackers dominating the war-production bureaucracy", but after that it goes into its 'reconstruction' phase of facts and history. The article ends saying Bush has 'stabbed America in the back' while completely ignoring the controversial truth of what has actually taken place not only in our society but to the foundation of our country.

This might also be dismissed if Hitler's observations in the days of the first world war were not glaringly apparent in our nation today. I am of course referring to the Halliburton scandal of which KBR is merely a subsidiary. Who then in fact runs Halliburton?

My money would be on a reasonable facsimile of what Hitler observed all those years ago because they have indeed been doing these very actions for CENTURIES and have perfected it into an artform. I am not a person that admires Hitler, I am not an 'anti-Semite' but a student of history and someone who seeks the truth.

They have in the same way been war profiteers as well as undermining the economies of the nations of the world by its institution of 'central banks' and the 'Federal Reserve'.

I am familiar with the works of Bernays and how they have been applied to the consumerist ideals of capitalism and America's public. The sad thing is that it may be hopelessly late to expect that Americans or we as a global community could put a stop to what we see unravelling before our eyes.

ksdrover

6:13 PM

theblackbay.com said...

"around the time of William Randolph Hearst's visit, in 1934—by which time violence against Jews was a common occurrence entirely coherent with the Nazi party line—constitute a big lie or a small lie for Hitler to claim for the benefit of concerned Americans

that "all discrimination is disappearing... that is the policy of my government?" All throughout the holocaust, Nazi officials repeatedly claimed that the rumors about mistreatment of Jews were communist smear campaigns without one shred of substantiation. "

said Ken Gourd..

well Sir no, that was essentially not a lie according to B H Freedman, and also according to an independent American Observer.

go and listen to the audio, so is B H freedman lying? if so in 196x where would this 80+ year olds' motive be.

Amazingly and prophetically i will give you the last lines.

"..and, we are going to suffer the same fate that Germany suffered and for the same reasons."

B H Freedman.

9:50 PM

ISRAOIL said...

Here is a real whopper of a lie.

Woodrot Wilson Presidunce of USi in 1915,collected over \$21 million USA . Under a threat from the zionists, he bribed the Russian s not to sign a peace treaty with Germany--unknown to the USA public. To win election he promised no USA troops to Europe. After winning the 2nd term--in 3 months he declared war on Germany. Like prior 1942 and 1915 Germany was our buddy----only to be used as an attack dog. As always--when the dog is limping --America killed it. Both World wars I II ,were meant to destory Russia. Cause the Jews hated the Russians.

Try beating that Jewish whopper ?

11:27 AM

Don Robertson said...

Curt Maynard & Chris Womak-

This is all very interesting, even revealing. It's clear not only by the article, but by the comments here, focus is being put on the foreign and military policies of Israel, a secessionist colonial state that has been at war for fifty years constantly trying to assert both its sovereignty and some further rights to ever greater lands to which

it has no intrinsic right, especially in setting up a "Jewish" state upon lands that 650,000 Palestinians fled at the moment Israel declared war in an attempt to establish its initial false claim.

That you have so brazenly chosen the word, "lie" is a credit to your article.

There are too many lies in this world, and many much larger than the lie you have uncovered.

After having lived more than a half century, I discovered science itself is one great lie.

In our culture we afford the mantle of truth to scientific inquiry, but it is a fraud no less than witchcraft. The lie involves the notion that science can solve all the problems of the world, most of which are problems science itself has created.

Science theory before and since the Enlightenment has been a long series of ever better liars about the nature of the Universe, whether about gravity, the elements, the rights of man, or psychology, it has all been made of a succession of ever greater liars. These theories barely scratch the surface of the complexity that is the Universe, and the scientific theories expounded demonstrate this by their continually revealed wrong-headedness.

I recently wrote to Richard Dawkins, and gave him the moral imperative of life, The moral imperative of life is to live a life that detracts not at all from the lives available to those who will follow us into this world.

Dawkins wrote back suggesting I submit my joke to The Onion. The Oxford man is one of the consummate scientific liars of our century. And, he's not about to defer to the needs of the future as long as he thinks it is possible to derive from the present more for himself regardless of the expense to the future, even if it means destroying the world to do it.

No. The moral imperative of life is a huge discovery. Were Kant to have given it to us, our world would not be made of lies perpetuated to benefit any of various groups of competing liars, and we would doubtlessly be living its benefits today.

Again, The moral imperative of life is to live a life that detracts not at all from the lives available to those who will follow us into this world.

The moral imperative implies we can make a better world, and I believe that.

The moral imperative implies the only way to make such a world is to focus upon the future, and, to stop lying to ourselves about how much better we can make the world today, if we only do so at the expense of the future.

The fact that Israel is a nuclear power is immoral, and it is just as immoral that we are too. I mean, where will it stop? Borneo? And, I mean not to slant against the people Borneo, for we are all that primitive.

Until within the last year, when I discovered the moral imperative of life, no one even knew what morality is.

Don Robertson, The American Philosopher
Limestone, Maine

11:34 AM

Anonymous said...

The first paragraph of this article contains a Big Lie.

Hitler didn't advocate the use of the "Big Lie", he was trying to inform people of how governments and Jews work - by telling a lie so preposterous that no one could believe anyone could be THAT dishonest and thus people tend to believe the Big Lie.

Examples of the Big Lie include The Holocaust, 9/11, Madrid Train Bombing, 7/7 and blaming Hitler for every crime or blunder committed by the Nazi regime.

1:17 PM

Anonymous said...

Ken Gourd wrote, among other things:

"Did Hitler advocate lying to the German public about the nature and course of the war he had started?"

No, he did not, in fact, "advocate lying to the German public about the nature and course of the war he had started." First of all, it was not Hitler who started the war. It was Jewish Supremacists who were guilty of starting the war, via their pawns in various governments (supported, of course, by the already Zionist-controlled media).

Do you really think the Zionists were the innocent victims in WWII, and somehow afterwards immediately transformed into terroristic, mendacious, psychopathic land-grabbers and murderers when they arrived in Palestine?

Hitler was honest in saying he did not want war; his speeches, in fact, are in line with the true history of the beginning of the war. On the part of the Germans it was, as Hitler made clear, a defensive war.

Ken writes:

"Did he, at any point, make earnest public assurances that he would respect Polish sovereignty?"

Hitler did, in fact, respect Poland's sovereignty. Unfortunately, Poland did not respect Germany's. Relying on a "blank check" pact of military support that England and France had conned them into signing, the Poles thought they could invade Germany and come out scot-free because England and France would save their butts if necessary. It was after the Poles had already mobilized—which is tantamount to a declaration of war—and had even made armed incursions into Germany (such as the "Gleiwitz incident," which Zionists lie about and say it was a German "false flag") that Hitler's troops invaded Poland.

They had every right to do so, under the Rules of War—and moreover, they had a moral imperative, because the Poles had been pursuing a mounting campaign of atrocities against ethnic Germans in Poland.

Ken, I recommend reading some Revisionist history about WWII and the so-called "Holocaust." There are a lot of sound, well-researched materials on the web.

2:43 PM

[POST A COMMENT](#)

[<< Home](#)