



# War Without End

The global war against terror from a British perspective

[FAQ](#) [Popular Topics](#) [Search](#) [Memberlist](#) [Usergroups](#) [Register](#)  
[Profile](#) [Log in to check your private messages](#) [Log in](#)  
[UK and World News](#)

## Cheney and Neo-Cons Plotting More Wars



[War Without End Forum Index](#) -> [Wake Up America! Your Government is Hijacked by Zionism](#)

[View previous topic](#) :: [View next topic](#)

### Author

**Alpha**

Joined: 06 Jan 2003  
Posts: 41098

### Message

Posted: Sat Nov 18, 2006 11:30 am Post subject: Cheney and Neo-Cons Plotting More Wars



----- Original Message -----

From: Israel Shamir  
To: readers  
Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2006 4:35 PM  
Subject: [shamireaders] new wars ahead

This very interesting and informative article by our Washington friend Jeff Steinberg fits well with our latest transmissions. It appears in the Nov. 17, 2006 issue of Executive Intelligence Review.

### **Cheney and Neo-Cons Plotting More Wars**

by Jeffrey Steinberg

On March 11, 2003, as final preparations were under way for the neo-cons' greatest triumph--the invasion of Iraq--New Yorker magazine investigative reporter Seymour Hersh exposed an extortion scheme by neo-con Richard Perle, to extract tens of millions of dollars out of the Saudi royal family, in league with the infamous Iran-Contra arms dealer Adnan Khashoggi. The scheme involved a Perle company, Trireme Partners LP, which wanted a piece of the billions of dollars in homeland security contracts soon to be issued by the Kingdom. The proposal, according to Prince Bandar bin Sultan, then the Saudi Ambassador in Washington, smacked of a quid pro quo: Perle, a leading critic of the Saudi regime, would drop the propaganda barrage and the calls for regime change, coming out of his Defense Policy Board, and the Saudis would cough up.

Several senior U.S. intelligence officials recently told EIR that some higher-ups in the Saudi royal family, perhaps including Prince Bandar, who is now the national security advisor to King Abdullah, regret that they didn't take the bait and pay off Perle and his partners back in January 2003. A few million dollars might have saved them several years of headaches, as the neo-con propaganda Wurlitzer waged a non-stop regime-change campaign against them. But even more to the point, suddenly, the Saudis, along with several other Sunni Arab regimes, find themselves as strange bedfellows with Washington's neo-cons and with the Ehud Olmert government in Israel--in common cause against Iran.

A Nov. 3, 2006 teaser from Vanity Fair magazine revealed that the neo-cons are going through a major retooling--wiping their hands of the entire Iraq fiasco, and placing the blame for the failure squarely on the shoulders of President George W. Bush and his team of national security incompetents and nincompoops. In a preview of a story to appear in the January 2007 Vanity Fair, author David Rose delivered excerpts from interviews he conducted in October 2006 with some of the cream of the neo-con crap--starting with the ``Prince of Darkness," Perle himself, and also including Kenneth Adelman, who gained infamy by proposing that the U.S. Lovers of Iraqi faker Ahmed Chalabi, and Iraq warhawks to a man, these neo-cons are now saying that if they had it to do over again, knowing that the Bush-Cheney-Rice team was the most incompetent national security management group in the post-World War II history of America, they would have opted for an alternative to war.

In a scene worthy of Shakespeare's Julius Caesar, these modern-day Brutuses have stabbed President Bush and Condi Rice in the back. As far as the neo-cons are concerned, according to a number of informed Washington sources, both Iraq and George W. Bush are yesterday's news. They are now preparing to survive the fall of the House of Bush, and are already making plans for the next confrontations: against Iran and Russia, to name the top two targets du jour.

While the Bush Presidency is flaming out in the aftermath of what has been dubbed the ``Nov. 7th Massacre," the neo-cons are still a formidable force inside the Republican Party, with some leading neo-con superstars, like John Podhoretz, already touting Sen. John McCain (R-Ariz.) as the elephant they intend to ride back into the Oval Office. Across the aisle, another crew of hardcore neo-cons is preparing to surface within the Democratic Party, should a donkey take the Presidency in 2008. It is reminiscent of the Jimmy Carter era, when scores of life-long ``Scoop Jackson Democrats," led by Perle, Stephen Bryen, Ledeen, Gaffney, and Elliott Abrams, slithered over to the GOP as ``Reagan Democrats," and, after Ronald Reagan's landslide victory in 1980 over Jimmy Carter, occupied top civilian posts at the Pentagon, and cushy consulting jobs with the Reagan National Security Council.

Whichever party lands in the White House come January 2009, the neo-cons hope to be there to share in the spoils. Either way, they are busily organizing a ``Get Iran" war alliance with frightened Sunni regimes in the Persian Gulf and Arab world and Israel--to make sure that the Bush-Cheney regime commits one more fatal atrocity--a military strike on Iran's purported secret nuclear weapons sites--before leaving office (see preceding article).

To make sure that this Iran war happens before Team Bush leaves office, the neo-cons are working through their one reliable partner remaining inside the regime--Vice President Dick Cheney. Cheney's office remains Neo-con Central, with David Wurmser and John Hannah still on staff. Elliott Abrams remains the Cheney ``mole" at the National Security Council.

According to Middle East and Washington sources, this crew was responsible, earlier this year, for trashing all efforts by the Syrian regime of Bashar Assad to reopen direct peace talks with Israel, talks that no Israeli regime prior to that of Ariel Sharon, would have flat-out rejected, no matter how much pressure came down from Washington.

More recently, they are reportedly pushing a covert weapons-smuggling operation into the West Bank, arming Fatah factions to launch what would rapidly become a full-scale civil war against Hamas. The noted retired Israeli general, Shlomo Brom, in a recent paper for the Jaffe Center at Tel Aviv University, warned that such an outbreak of communal violence among the Palestinians would be bad for Israel, provoking a likely split between a Fatah-controlled West Bank and a Hamas-run Gaza Strip. Both sides would be vying to prove that they are more effective in terrorizing Israel, through suicide bombings and rocket attacks, the general warned, and this would make life unbearable in the Jewish state.

Arab sources have added that in his recent trip to Washington, Lebanese Druze leader Walid Jumblatt was given a similar offer of "covert military aid" to take on Hezbollah inside that war-ruined nation, which still hasn't recovered from its 15-year civil war (1975-90). Such covert operations, aimed at provoking the neo-cons' beloved perpetual warfare throughout the Eastern Mediterranean region, do not depend on Pentagon muscle, so the departure of Donald Rumsfeld from the scene, and his replacement by former CIA Director Robert Gates, a Brent Scowcroft and James Baker III ally (and presumable a Dick Cheney enemy), does not necessarily interfere with the game.

In fact, as the Democrats prepare to take back control of the U.S. House and Senate in January 2007, they face a world map of hot-spots, all set to blow, with the slightest provocation (see accompanying map).

Several senior Washington intelligence veterans, and one regional vice president of a major U.S. defense firm, say that their greatest fear--between now and the New Year when the Democrats take the keys to Capitol Hill back--is a "Gulf of Tonkin" incident, a provocation covertly arranged originating from Washington, that would provide the pretext for war. The most likely target of such a scheme: Iran. The most likely architect of such an operation: Dick Cheney and his remaining coterie of neo-con troublemakers and whatever assets they have recruited from within the Special Forces community and the "black operations" side of the intelligence community. One burning question is whether President Bush has already signed a Presidential Intelligence Finding, authorizing these covert tricks.

On Oct. 10, 2006, the web-based magazine World Politics Watch ran a provocative story, echoing the new neo-con scheme, under the headline "Mideast Realignment: Could Iran Unite Arabs and Israelis?" Noting the rumors, officially denied by both sides, that Prince Bandar had secretly met in Jordan with a top Israeli government official, Frida Ghitis wrote that "a handful of Middle East observers were not surprised to hear of possible talks between Israel and the kingdom. That's because they political transformation is fear of Shi'ite Iran by Sunni Arabs. As a result, the traditional enemy of Arabs, Israel, could gradually begin developing a subtle but powerful alliance with Sunni Muslim regimes in the Arab world.... It is this natural convergence of interests that has the potential to recast the political landscape from the traditional one of Arabs versus Israelis, which has dominated the Middle East since the late 1940s, into a Sunni vs. Shi'a alignment, with Israel and Sunni governments on the same side."

This, Washington sources emphasize, is the latest neo-con wet dream. For years the neo-cons have been preaching their own brand of Leon Trotsky's "Permanent Revolution," masked in the cry for "democracy." From the start of the Bush-Cheney regime up to recent months, the number one target of these latter-day Jacobins had been what Middle Eastern historians referred to as the "Sunni Stability Belt," the ruling combination of hardline monarchies and dictatorships that kept the oil patch safe and stable from the time of Sykes-Picot (1916) on. Now that the neo-con "Shi'ite Card" has turned Iraq into Hell-on-Earth, the flexible neo-con fantasists have modified their rhetoric, to suddenly find common cause with the very Sunni Arab monarchs and dictators whom they targetted only months ago, with such schemes as their 1996 "A Clean Break."

The neo-cons are shameless sophists, who have no problem distorting the truth beyond recognition--if it suits their goal of perpetual power. Will the Sunni Arab lions and the Israelis be so stupid as to walk into this trap?

Stay tuned.

---

**Israel's influence of US policy & the Israeli lobby:**

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O125hGt9qt4&NR>

**AIPAC and the Neocon (War for Israel) agenda:**

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Rf16XjbOUs>

**Whose War?** (Israel's war):

[http://www.amconmag.com/03\\_24\\_03/cover.html](http://www.amconmag.com/03_24_03/cover.html)

**ISRAEL LOBBY SAYS PENTAGON 'INFILTRATED'**

<http://www.itszone.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=63388>

**JINSA Israel Firsters: IRAQ DOWN, IRAN LEFT TO GO:**

<http://www.itszone.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=32610>

**Jewish JINSA/PNAC Neocon Perle 'turns' against the Iraq war:**

<http://www.itszone.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=62676>

**Iran: The Next War** (for Israel):

<http://www.itszone.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=56761>

Scroll down to the **'Pro-Israel Lobby Under Attack'** UPI article at the following URL:

<http://www.itszone.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=58567>

**Bush Doesn't Fear Attack on Iran: Israeli Envoy:**

<http://www.warwithoutend.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=63301>

**Rahm Emanuel's Dirty Secret**

<http://www.itszone.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=63412>

Check out Professor Kevin MacDonald's **'Thinking about Neoconservatism'** article as well (scroll down to such at the following URL):

<http://www.itszone.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=32606>

**Why Are There Four Carriers In The Persian Gulf?:**

<http://www.warwithoutend.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=63382>

## Israel's reign of terror must be stopped

<http://www.warwithoutend.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=63434>

Last edited by Alpha on Sun Nov 19, 2006 4:17 pm; edited 4 times in total

[Back to top](#)



Alpha

Posted: Sat Nov 18, 2006 10:21 pm Post subject:



Joined: 06 Jan 2003  
Posts: 41098

Subject: **Re: PERFECT STORM BUILDING IN PERSIAN GULF**

Allen L Roland, Ph.D.

### PERFECT STORM BUILDING IN PERSIAN GULF

The Persian Gulf perfect storm: Israel preemptively attacks Iran's nuclear facilities, Iran retaliates directly against Israel, U.S. launches massive attack on Iran, Hezbollah and Syria attack Israel ~ WW III : Allen L Roland

The gale force winds of war are blowing in the Persian Gulf and all the signs are there ~ if you take the blinders off.

1. The United States has assembled the Eisenhower carrier attack strike force along with mine sweepers in the gulf supposedly for war games.
2. Visiting Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Olmert has returned to his country with reassurances from the Bush administration that it is not backing down from its view that Iran and its nuclear program are a world threat. <http://tinyurl.com/vvrpm> This same scenario happened this last summer with Cheney, Olmert and Netanyahu before Israel launched their devastating retaliatory invasion of Lebanon ~ with the intent of neutralizing Hezbollah before eventually attacking Iran.
3. Bibi Netanyahu ( Israel's Dick Cheney ) and Darth Vader himself ( Dick Cheney ) are eager to launch a preemptive strike on Iran. Cheney's rationale, of course, is Regime Change. Bibi is playing the fear card ~ " Ahmadinejad Is Preparing Another Holocaust For Israel " ~ Benjamin Netanyahu

[http://www.huffingtonpost.com/blake-fleetwood/ahmadinejad-is-preparing\\_b\\_34144.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/blake-fleetwood/ahmadinejad-is-preparing_b_34144.html)

4. Iran announces intent to move away from U.S. dollar: Iran may have signed a virtual "death warrant" by openly declaring a governmental decision to move away from the dollar in the country's foreign-exchange transactions, says WND columnist Jerome Corsi [http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE\\_ID=52977](http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52977)

Remember, this is exactly what Saddam did and we attacked shortly after he made that decision.

5. Ex-Iranian president warns U-S against invading : Iran's former president has a warning for the White House: don't even think about invading his country. Hashemi Rafsanjani (hah-SHEH'-mee rahf-sahn-JAHN'-ee) says what he calls the Bush administration's "iron fist policy" is a failure. And he adds it would be "dangerous" for the U-S to think it can do in Iran what it's done in Iraq and Afghanistan.

<http://www.wavy.com/Global/story.asp?S=5697223>

6. Israel does not want the United States to pull out of Iraq but needs a justification for their continued presence in the region, particularly with a Democratic Congress eager to disengage, so why not a preemptive war with Iran.

7. Russia is going ahead with a contract to deliver sophisticated air defence systems to Iran, a Russian defence ministry official said, despite appeals from Washington to reconsider <http://tinyurl.com/ssnn2> So Israel wants to strike now before the air defense systems are in place.

8. AIPAC and Jewish Groups Side With Bush on Bolton : In the first post-election battle between the Bush administration and the Democrats, the Jewish community is standing behind the president as he pushes the candidacy of John Bolton.

<http://www.forward.com/articles/groups-side-with-bush-on-bolton/>

The Israeli 's need Cheney / Bush stooge U.N. Ambassador John Bolton to provide cover for them after their preemptive strike ~ and Bolton's term is effectively over in January.

9. This is Cheney's ( ' the natural state of man is war ' ) last chance to implement his discredited neocon middle east design ~ before a new and unsympathetic Democratic Congress takes office in January.

10. Bush's only chance to avoid humiliating investigations and indictments is to go to war with Iran and here's the likely scenario ~ and aftermath.

The Persian Gulf perfect storm: Israel preemptively attacks Iran's nuclear facilities, Iran retaliates directly against Israel, U.S. launches massive attack on Iran, Hezbollah and Syria attack Israel ~ WW III, to which I add, Oil prices soar, stock market crumbles, Bush declares National Emergency and perhaps martial law ~ and Congress remains powerless.

As such, this lameduck period is extremely dangerous for the Bush/Cheney administration is still very much in power and is still very likely to use it.

Allen L Roland

<http://blogs.salon.com/0002255/2006/11/18.html>

Allen L Roland is a practicing psychotherapist, author and lecturer who also shares a daily political and social commentary on his weblog and website [allenroland.com](http://allenroland.com) He also guest hosts a monthly national radio show TRUTH TALK on Conscious talk radio [www.conscioustalk.net](http://www.conscioustalk.net)

Thanks to Tom Feeley / Information Clearing House for many links

-----

Allen Roland's weblog: <http://blogs.salon.com/0002255/>

Website: [www.allenroland.com](http://www.allenroland.com)

---

**Dr. Stephen Sniegoski (who wrote the 'Israeli Origins of Bush II's War' article via [http://www.thornwalker.com/ditch/snieg\\_isrorgs.htm](http://www.thornwalker.com/ditch/snieg_isrorgs.htm) ) responds below to what Dr. Allen Roland wrote above:**

Israel does seem to be pushing for war. However, the author still puts in the crazy "Iran announces intent to move away from U.S. dollar: Iran may have signed a virtual 'death warrant' by openly declaring a governmental decision to move away from the dollar in the country's foreign-exchange."

Now if this were considered to be so dangerous to the US why are the neocons and Israel leading the charge for war? The establishment--Brzezinski, Scowcroft, Baker, Gates--are for negotiating with Iran even about Iraq. This is just an unfounded argument--even from the economic standpoint since the amount of dollars involved in Iranian transactions is miniscule compared to the massive amounts of dollars held by China. And Iran is already, I believe, engaging in barter agreements that do not use the dollar.

And how would dropping bombs on Iran going to change the government there anyhow? There is no evidence that the US plans to occupy Iran.

The dollar argument is simply another effort to **avoid a focus on neocon/Israel involvement which concerns Israeli's security interests.**

---

### **US closely involved in Israel's attack on Lebanon**

<http://www.metimes.com/storyview.php?StoryID=20061118-110322-3073r>

Sherwood Ross  
Middle East Times  
November 18, 2006

WASHINGTON -- Even though it has strongly denied it, the Bush administration, notably Vice President Dick Cheney, was "closely involved" in the planning of Israel's invasion of Lebanon - planning that started well before Hezbollah captured two Israeli soldiers July 12.

In fact, the US told Israel it would be better to attack sooner than later so that the Pentagon has more time to learn from Israel's tactics to aid its own contemplated assault against Iran before Bush leaves office January, 2009.

According to several former and current US government officials, Elliott Abrams, a deputy national security advisor, and Cheney backed the Israeli plan, investigative reporter Seymour Hersh wrote in The New Yorker, an American magazine that closely tracks events in the Middle East. (A spokesman for Abrams denied his involvement.)

Both Bush and Cheney believed a successful Israeli aerial attack on Hezbollah's underground command-and-control centers in Lebanon "could ease Israel's security concerns and also serve as a prelude to a potential American preemptive attack to destroy Iran's nuclear

installations, some of which are also buried deep underground," Hersh wrote. Cheney reportedly was enthusiastic about an opportunity to learn from Israel's attack for future US military operations against Iran.

As far back as spring, "under pressure from the White House to develop a war plan for a decisive strike against Iran's nuclear facilities," ranking US Air Force officials met with their Israeli counterparts. What's more, early last summer, before Hezbollah crossed into Israel to capture the two soldiers, Hersh wrote, several Israeli officials made separate visits to Washington "to get a green light for the bombing operation and to find out how much the United States would bear."

A consultant to the US government stated, "Israel began with Cheney. It wanted to be sure that it had his support and the support of his office and the Middle East desk of the National Security Council," Hersh wrote. The Israelis outlined a major bombing campaign to start after the next Hezbollah provocation.

Israel believed that by targeting Lebanon's infrastructure, such as highways, fuel depots, bridges, and the Beirut airport, it could get Lebanon's Christian and Sunni populations to turn against Hezbollah, the magazine said. The plan was held to be "the mirror image of what the United States has been planning for Iran," a former senior intelligence official told Hersh.

US Army, Navy, and Marine Corps leaders are arguing a like plan against Iran carried out by the US Air Force will not work. They claim it will lead to sending in ground forces, just as the Israelis did in Lebanon.

Richard Armitage, deputy Secretary of State during Bush's first term, told Hersh: "If the most dominant military force in the region - the Israel Defense Forces - can't pacify a country like Lebanon, with a population of 4 million, you should think carefully about taking that template to Iran, with strategic depth and a population of 70 million." He added the only thing Israel's bombing accomplished was "to unite the population against the Israelis."

"The Israelis told us it would be a cheap war with many benefits," a US government consultant said to have close ties to Israel told Hersh. "Why oppose it? We'll be able to hunt down and bomb missiles, tunnels, and bunkers from the air. It would be a demo for Iran."

The New Yorker further reported that important intelligence being gathered by the US at White House insistence is being funneled "directly to the top" with little or no analysis by the National Security Agency in violation of its strictures. The source for this information, a Pentagon consultant, said Cheney "had a strong hand" in this development.

"The long-term administration goal was to help set up a Sunni Arab coalition - including countries like Saudi Arabia, Jordan, and Egypt - that would join the United States and Europe to pressure the ruling Shiite mullahs in Iran," Hersh wrote. "But the thought behind that plan was that Israel would defeat Hezbollah, not lose to it," a consultant with close ties to Israel said.

One Middle East expert is quoted as saying Hezbollah's military showing "is a massive setback for those in the White House who want to use force in Iran. And those who argue that the bombing will create internal dissent and revolt in Iran are also set back."

"Strategic bombing has been a failed military concept for 90 years, and yet air forces all over the world keep on doing it," observed John Arquilla, a defense analyst at the Naval Postgraduate School. He told Hersh, "The warfare of today is not mass on mass. You have to hunt like a network to defeat a network. Israel focused on bombing against Hezbollah, and, when that did not work, it became more aggressive on the ground. The definition of insanity

is continuing to do the same thing and expecting a different result."

The New Yorker article, "Watching Lebanon: Washington's interests in Israel's war," appeared in the August 21 issue.

Sherwood Ross is an American reporter and columnist. Reach him at [sherwoodr1@yahoo.com](mailto:sherwoodr1@yahoo.com)

-----  
Take a look at this fifth columnist (serving Israel first) Jewish neocon Elliott Abrams via the article in the left margin of the following URL:

<http://nowarforisrael.com/Rachel%20Corrie.htm>

Last edited by Alpha on Sun Nov 19, 2006 4:45 pm; edited 5 times in total



Posted: Sat Nov 18, 2006 11:27 pm Post subject:



### **Netanyahu and CNN trying to sell why AMERICANS must destroy Iran for Israel**

<http://youtube.com/watch?v=cm4zuKukUco>

Keep in mind that the 'A Clean Break'/war for Israel agenda was written for Netanyahu by Jewish JINSA/PNAC Israeli firsters Richard Perle, David Wurmser and Douglas Feith (scroll down to pages 261-269/321 of esteemed intelligence author/writer James Bamford's 'A Pretext for War' book at the following URL):

<http://www.warwithoutend.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=28769>



Posted: Sun Nov 19, 2006 12:42 am Post subject: Jewish Neocon Max Boot wants war for Israel in Iran next



### **Jewish Neocon Max Boot wants war for Israel in Iran next**

What is interesting about the following is look how Jewish neocon Max Boot (who is mentioned in Pat Buchanan's 'Whose War?' article via [http://www.amconmag.com/03\\_24\\_03/cover.html](http://www.amconmag.com/03_24_03/cover.html) as well) is fine with what is going on in Iraq and wants similar strife in Iran seemingly in accordance with Israeli Oded Yinon's 'divide and conquer' plan which is mentioned in the 'Israeli Origins of Bush II's War' via [http://www.thornwalker.com/ditch/snieg\\_isrorgs.htm](http://www.thornwalker.com/ditch/snieg_isrorgs.htm) ):

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article15608.htm>

### **Movers and Shakers of U.S. Foreign Policy**

By: Soraya Sepahpour-Ulrich

11/14/06 "ICH" --- - The Milken Institute is situated in the heart of Santa Monica, Southern California. This 'publicly supported independent economic think tank' which has received a

[Back to top](#)

**Alpha**

Joined: 06 Jan 2003  
Posts: 41098

[Back to top](#)

**Alpha**

Joined: 06 Jan 2003  
Posts: 41098

landmark multi-year grant from the Jewish Community Foundation of Los Angeles to help the 'independent' research of Milken realize its goal of establishing Israel as one of the top 10 countries in terms of quality of life and GDP per capita , was hosting author and Senior Fellow at the Council on Foreign Relations Max Boot on November 9th. He was launching his new book "War Made New: Technology, Warfare and the Course of History – 1500 to Today". My interest in attending was to hear his strategy on Iran.

As a person who is considered to be one of the top 500 most influential people in the making of US foreign policy, it came as a jolt to hear him redefine America's national interest and find myself having to re-learn the definition of 'enemy'. What was alarming was that with the exception of a couple of Iranian friends, the crowd did not find his remarks contentious.

The mesmerized audience was happy to accept that an 'enemy' was anyone who fought back when his/her country was invaded. Using 21st century terminology 'Jihadist', he was referring to the 1859 invasion of Sudan by the British and the ease with which the crazy 'jihadist Mahdi' and his followers were gunned down without any fear of repercussion that the enemy, the terrorist Sudanese, would follow the good guys who had gone to Sudan to invade the country, back to England. Of course, these days with open borders this posed a problem. The same theory applied to the Algiers, according to Max, it was so easy back then to kill the 'enemy' without fear of 'enemy Jihadists' retaliating. I was left with a clear picture of an enemy – one who resisted occupation of his/her country.

Max Boot was talking about Iraq, but I believe he had Israel at heart and he was moving on to Iran.

Obviously he was pleased with the sectarian violence and the civil war in Iraq. Having admitted that he was totally oblivious of the Iranian culture, he was endorsing using the terrorist group Mojahedeen-e-Khalg (MEK) currently being trained in Iraq to break up Iran in a similar fashion in order to weaken the central government. He proudly shared his knowledge that Iran was only 51% Persian and the remainder 49% despised being under the Persian dominance. A bold statement for a man who pleads ignorance about Iranian culture! Grinning ear to ear, he had come up with the magic solution to stop Iran's nuclear program and prevent it from giving weapons to the insurgents in Iraq.

Having sat through his talk and a host of irrelevant questions, finally the microphone found its way to me.

I told him that maybe the book had prevented him from keeping current, but according to BBC on line , the Office of the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction auditor has reported that KBR, a subsidiary of Halliburton is arming the insurgents and not Iran. More than 14,000 weapons supplied by Halliburton destined for the Iraqi government found their way to the insurgent groups after Pentagon lost track of them! The look of impatience and annoyance crept to his cheeky face; he was anticipating more insolence from my corner..

I reminded him that America had lost over 3000 lives fighting a 'war on terror', it had spent over \$3 trillion dollars (I did not even mention the poor Iraqis), and here he was suggesting that we co-opt a group listed on the State Department's foreign terrorist organization (FTO), when in fact we had been down that road in the past when we helped arm the Mujahedeen and train Osama ben Ladin. The consequences of that little venture came back to haunt us on 9/11. Why would he even contemplate putting America's credibility on line, and make the possibility of another 9/11 feasible.

I was also curious to know why he defined the 'jihadist, terrorist enemy' as someone who wanted to defend his country – I was confused on that one. I wandered if Islam was a factor - even in 1859.

I suppose I should not have asked such a dumb question from such a smart man. After all, he is in Washington and I am in Salt Lake City. His beautifully articulated response, as if rehearsed often times before, was that the fascist Stalin was an ally of the US during World War II, so even if 'we detest the MEK, we should use them to forward our national interest'.

I am not a history scholar, but I had read that the Nazi invasion of the Soviet Union in June 1941 prompted the United States to see the Soviet Union as an embattled country being overrun by fascist forces, and this attitude was further reinforced in the aftermath of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor in December 1941. They joined forces to fight their enemies in spite of difference in ideology. The MEK terrorist group who have killed Americans are the enemy, not only in the context of having taken American lives, but in the broader sense of America's 'war on terror'.

He also said the mistake we made in Afghanistan was to leave too quickly. Puzzled, I thought does he intend for the US to go into Iran after the MEK and stay there – colonize or what? I never got the microphone back to ask these disturbing questions, nor did he explain what he meant about 'jihadist, terrorist enemy' – I suppose if America sent the MEK to Iran and nationalist Iranians fought them, which every single Iranian would, man, woman, child, young and old, they would all be labeled 'jihadist, terrorists' – what an irony to call a nationalist a terrorist for fighting terrorists!

Max Boot confidently announced that 'it is in our national interest' to disintegrate Iran and start a war using the MEK. He is not without influence. Already the co-founder and President (Technology) of Google search engine, Sergey Brin, has complied with his wishes. Iran's map has been redrawn on the world's number one search engine. But as he admitted, he is ignorant of the Iranian culture. They are livid and will not let this rest.

In the forum at the Milken Institute, attended by rich folks ready to write checks in praise of his clever ideas and ideals, an audience of 99% Jewry, whose national interest was Max referring to?

He did speak of America's failure in the Iraq war, but did it serve Israel? With the disintegration of the threat from Iraq, over 650,000 dead Iraqis, the United States illegal invasion, its use of torture, the violation of the Geneva Conventions, and the world's focus on the war there, more than any other time Israel seems to have a carte blanche to massacre its neighbors and expand its illegal occupation of Gaza.

Having convinced America to attack and destroy Iraq, 'independent' institutions that serve Israel's interests, are now persuading the White House to destroy Iran, with no regard for the country that has stood staunchly behind Israel – America itself. America has repeatedly put its moral credibility and its national interest on line for Israel, thanks to Milken and similar think tanks, persuaded by influential people like Max Boot. And the people of Middle East pay the price.

Without a doubt, in the very near future, Americans will wake up with a jolt and realize that they have paid the heftiest price of all. Their White House can no longer make a decision without Israel's blessing. They will recognize that gone with the lives of their sons and daughters, is their reputation, and they have become a nation both morally and fiscally bankrupt only to enable the growth of an unstoppable fiend in the Middle East. Armed with nuclear weapons backed by American policy makers, the ugly face of this fiend may well turn on the US if the next veto is denied, or if peace in the Middle East is chosen over another bloody and senseless war. That will be the real Armageddon.

Soraya Sepahpour-Ulrich has lived and studied in Iran, the UK, France, Australia and the US. She obtained her Bachelors Degree in International Relations from the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, and she is currently pursuing a Masters Degree in Middle East Studies concentrating in Political Science. She has done extensive research on US foreign

policy towards Iran and Iran's nuclear program.

-----

## Terrorist (MEK) Group to Hold Convention in Washington, DC, Blocks from White House

<http://gorillaintheroom.blogspot.com/2005/04/terrorist-group-to-hold-convention-in.html>

Be sure to read the '**Thinking about Neoconservatism**' article by Professor Kevin MacDonald via the following URL for more about these serving Israel first fifth columnists like Max Boot, Richard Perle and others:

[http://www.vdare.com/macdonald/030918\\_neoconservatism.htm](http://www.vdare.com/macdonald/030918_neoconservatism.htm)

Last edited by Alpha on Sun Nov 19, 2006 5:16 pm; edited 4 times in total

[Back to top](#)



**Alpha**

Posted: Sun Nov 19, 2006 9:53 am Post subject:



Joined: 06 Jan 2003  
Posts: 41098

### Nancy Pelosi and Israel

"As for foreign policy, [Nancy] Pelosi is as Zionist friendly as any Straussian neocon, albeit in a fuzzy Democrat sort of way, and thus we can expect more murder and mayhem in the Middle Eastern neighborhood.

"Pelosi 'denies that the key issue is Israel's occupation of the West Bank and Gaza,' writes Mark Gaffney. 'The real issue, she states, is the survival of Israel' and 'the biggest danger to Israel today comes from Iran, whose nuclear ambitions, though still unproved, also threaten the US. Her perspective contains the seed of ominous things to come, because, after all, something will have to be done about Iran, right? Yes, and soon.... Meanwhile, Pelosi manages to overlook Israel's brutal treatment of the Palestinians,' a consistent crime, recently magnified to disgusting dimension by Israel's recent attack on Beit Hanoun in the Gaza, killing innocents in their beds.

"'The United States will stand with Israel now and forever. Now and forever,' Pelosi avowed last year at the AIPAC meeting, never mind the seeming inexhaustible crimes against humanity."

--Kurt Nimmo, "George and Nancy Make Nice" (Nov. 9, 2006)

<http://kurtnimmo.com/?p=646>

[Back to top](#)



Display posts from previous: All Posts  Oldest First



[War Without End Forum Index -> Wake Up America! Your Government is Hijacked by Zionism](#)

All times are GMT

Page 1 of 1

Jump to: [Wake Up America! Your Government is Hijacked by Zionism](#)

You **cannot** post new topics in this forum  
You **cannot** reply to topics in this forum

You **cannot** edit your posts in this forum  
You **cannot** delete your posts in this forum  
You **cannot** vote in polls in this forum