

# HOLOCAUST NOW

OUR DRUG POLICY EFFECTIVELY PROMOTES THE USE OF THE MOST DEADLY AND ADDICTIVE DRUGS, ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO, WHILE USING VIOLENCE TO DETER PEOPLE FROM USING FAR SAFER AND LESS ADDICTIVE DRUGS, LIKE MARIJUANA AND ECSTASY. MILLIONS OF PEOPLE ARE DEAD AS A RESULT OF THIS POLICY. THIS MAKES ME ANGRY. SHOULDN'T YOU BE ANGRY TOO?

2006-02-20

## David Irving goes to prison

VIENNA, Austria (AP) -- Right-wing British historian David Irving pleaded guilty Monday to denying the Holocaust and was sentenced to three years in prison, even after conceding he wrongly said there were no Nazi gas chambers at the Auschwitz concentration camp.

*AP*

It goes without saying that this is an utterly reprehensible action, one I might expect to have seen a victorious Nazi Germany commit — a Nazi Germany as portrayed by the Jewish-dominated media at least — rather than the supposedly freedom-loving nations who've come to prosper as a result of Nazi Germany's defeat.

Let's be clear as to what is being said here: There is no evidence that Jews were systematically or deliberately targeted for destruction. There can't be, because if

BUSH ON MEDICAL  
MARIJUANA



"I believe each state can choose that decision as they so choose."

---

FEATURED POSTS

[The London Bombings](#)

[The Butcher of Baghdad?](#)

[One notch less despicable than the](#)

there was, we wouldn't need to throw people in prison for saying otherwise. We would be able to disprove these allegations in open debate. We would be able to do to David Irving what we routinely do to other people who advance unpopular or unsound theories or ideas, advance competing theories and ideas that make more sense or that conform more generally to the facts at hand and let each person decide for themselves which is true and which is nonsense.

Prior to all these arrests of so-called Holocaust deniers, I had no problem with the official version of events in WWII. Now I do. We are obviously being lied to. Moreover, the lie must be a whopper, something of such import that were the truth revealed something of great worth to those in power would be lost as a result. History is written by the victors, we are reminded time and again. So the question now before us is, what lies are present in today's version of events for that time period and whose interests are being served? Why is it so damned important that we be made to see history in exactly this one way and not any other?

It certainly isn't to prevent the same mistakes from happening all over again, because they are! Even accepting conventional WWII history as all being true, it is still plain to the naked eye that today's governments are every bit as brutal as the ones that came before. It is easy to portray Nazi Germany as the epitome of all evil when only one side of the story gets presented, as easy as it is convenient to try to hide from view all manner of evil as performed by our government today.

[terrorists](#)

[Why didn't you know?](#)

[Understanding Katrina](#)

---

PREVIOUS POSTS

["I had a beer at lunch."  
From "very stable" to  
"mild heart attack"  
to ...](#)

[The Gorilla in the Room  
More...](#)

[Marvel at the power of  
language](#)

[Documents Show Army  
Seized Wives As  
Tactic](#)

[We're doing it ~~to~~ for  
the children](#)

[Just another in a long  
series of lies...](#)

[Gonzales v. Oregon  
Holocaust Now](#)

---

MY NAME IS  
DONALD WAY.



EMAIL ME

If it is the lessons one particular version of history teaches that causes us to demand obedience to it, then must it not be demonstrated that we've learned from that history, and that those events repugnant to us are not being repeated?

We need to stop killing each other. I think banning what is called "hate speech" has the purpose of stopping or reducing violence, so I can endorse the intent if not the actual mechanism that is being employed here. I note that even with the prohibition against "hate speech" in place, violence is just as prevalent today as it was before the prohibition was put in place; of particular note is the behavior of governments today, esp. that of Israel, the United States and Great Britain. These governments are of note not only because they claim to uphold democratic principles but because you and I likely give these governments support, even if only indirectly, and so we become complicit in the atrocities they commit as a result.

If we are serious about banning speech that can promote violence, that is, if we are willing to abandon those principles which we've heretofore held out as what separates western civilization from the rest of the world, then I recommend we look at banning not just one version of history or another but banning history outright.

I say this because when I enumerate the many and varied acts of violence that are inspired by hatred, I

find that each example of hatred can lay claim as its root some other example of hatred that had been committed before it. That is, there does not appear to be an original act of hatred from which all other hatred stems. And so it follows that every act of violence is seen as retaliation for some act of violence committed before.

It is the fact of history that allows for this. If we continue recording present-day atrocity as fact, we furnish the fuel through which future atrocity will be justified. And this is greatly complicated by those who seek to use history as a weapon, as appears to be the case today where jewish supremacists seek to impose a version of events that allows them to rationalize, if not outright excuse, the actions of the state of Israel, or drug warriors here in the United States who create out of whole cloth a history of the consequences of drug abuse that allows for the promotion of the idea that the best way to keep drugs out of the hands of our children is actually by letting criminals decide who gets to use drugs.

The wars on drugs and terror are both based on such lies, on such invented history, and the damage inflicted on mankind is simply incalculable. Indeed, it may be irreversible.

So I say, if we are to ban some history, let us ban all of it. More than a few grave injustices will be buried in the process but if it succeeds in preventing future injustice then it is certainly something worth pursuing, isn't it? If we are going to abandon the principles upon

which our society has prospered for all these many years, then let's at least get something positive in return.

Let's at least put an end to the violence.

It would be nice if we could apply this line of thinking to religion too. Wars fought over religion are really nothing more than wars fought over competing versions of history. I don't dispute the existence of God. I just think that God is in front of us, not behind us. And that if we are to ever reach God, it will only be because we've finally managed to stop killing each other.

And if we do manage to ban all of history and we do succeed in putting an end to the cycle of violence, let's do remember to acknowledge the sacrifice of men like Ernst Zundel and David Irving in making it all possible.

POSTED BY DONALD WAY AT 11:45 AM

---

10 COMMENTS:

Anonymous said...

It's the Jews stupid

9:44 AM

Anonymous said...

I appaead when i opened my local paper (The Times Herald-Port Huron, MI)today and read about the guy who is going to prison for d3 years. He questioned he count of Jews slayed? Is that a crime? I hope not. 6 million seems to me to be a pretty rough estimate at

best. Like "6 million, give or take a couple hundred thousand." What if roughly six million bodies were found? I'm sure there were bodies not found. How many people TOTAL were killed during Hitler's Holocaust(aka genocide)? If roughly 6 million men, women and children of Jewish descent were killed, Then I believe it.

So here's my thesis statment:

Six million Jews were slain in Hitler's genocide dubbed "the Holocaust" by history.

Now, if I wanted to seriously research my hypothesis and write a paper proving my thesis, I MUST consider any debate on the matter. I HAVE to question my thesis in order to properly research the topic. This would make me a criminal in any country that outlaws the questioning of written history. God I hope it's still safe in America.

10:39 AM

Anonymous said...

if its a crime to even discuss the holocaust,as barbaric as it was,then i say make it a crime to deny that christ existed and to deny who really crucified him after all we are living in a country that is supposed to be built on christian values.not to do so just shows we are a nation of hypocrites and what is going on in the world is just slavery and we are being forced to submit to our masters.what the hell is a thought crime?am i the only one that sees that as very stupid and ignorant

10:44 AM

Tom said...

Perception is everything. Reality is a perception some of us agree on. Others agree on other realities.

Tom Dennen (otherpath.co.za)

10:58 AM

ISRAOIL said...

The author does not dispute that there is God.

Please, it is all bull sh!t. If there was a god-he'd put the jews in their place--bottom of the dead sea1 wipe history clean?, only from closet and whorish Heb authors, that would fix it.

Irving stated that most of the 6 million killed had really been sent alive into Palestine.

Does anyone know where a list of Hollywoodcaust victims can be found? Odd, that only 5.7 million jews existed in all of europe in the 30's. to burn 6 million bodies it would take 15 years. Something-I'm missing? Just emagine what would happen if--the 9/11 WTC was to come to lite--that Closet Jew Bush and Chenny-planned it. There be no place for those Ugly Jew americans to hide.

Cheers

10:58 AM

Anonymous said...

To the poster that said--God I hope it's still safe in America.

In canada we have a law passed a few years ago---just try in public to state no less than 6 million--a criminal offence. You are allowed to say more and all germans

are shit but not Jews. I read last month that your elected officials have adopted the Canadian version and await adoption.

Just try--these for a measure--get an american flag and paint over the stars with Stars of David and parade it at the white Whorish House and see how fast your ass is burned.

11:08 AM

Anonymous said...

Ultimately, what does this imply?

-That the people in charge of making and enforcing the laws, the news, the media, the economy, the wars, the poverty, the inequality, the suffering, are the Zionists.

Anybody who wants to see a great example of how the Zionists portray themselves in an absolutely positive light needs only to look up Zionism at Wikipedia. There you will see the censorship of anything that does not flatter the Zionists in full bloom.

To the extent that Hitler identified these people as the problem he was right in what he said and right in what he did. I have read all of Hitler's speeches. I will tell you that he was not crazy and he was not out to turn the world into a Nazi-controlled empire. He was using the power of the German Republic and the German People to break the stranglehold that the Zionists were creating. In 1933 the Germans who were Zionists declared war on their own countrymen. What else was Hitler supposed to do to protect the rights of the Germans who were not Zionists? It was his obligation as

the head of the German nation to resist the tyranny of the Zionists. He almost succeeded.

11:44 AM

crusader bunnypants said...

Yesterday a letter in my college paper accused me of denying the holocaust, of being anti semitic, and being an ally of Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad.

I never denied it happened, I just asked why we shouldn't question history? I'm not anti semitic.

Ain't no shame in being pro jewish anti zionist for a free Israel and a free Palestine, No Occupation, No Terror, No Walls, 67 borders.

Letter: Denying Holocaust is a pretext for mass murder

[http://www.dailylobo.com/media/paper344/news/2006/02/20/Opinion/Letter.Denying-1620095.shtml?](http://www.dailylobo.com/media/paper344/news/2006/02/20/Opinion/Letter.Denying-1620095.shtml?norewrite&sourcedomain=www.dailylobo.com)

[norewrite&sourcedomain=www.dailylobo.com](http://www.dailylobo.com/media/paper344/news/2006/02/20/Opinion/Letter.Denying-1620095.shtml?norewrite&sourcedomain=www.dailylobo.com)

Letter: American forces, media shouldn't defend Israel

[http://www.dailylobo.com/media/paper344/news/2006/02/08/Opinion/Letter.America-1603981.shtml?](http://www.dailylobo.com/media/paper344/news/2006/02/08/Opinion/Letter.America-1603981.shtml?norewrite&sourcedomain=www.dailylobo.com)

[norewrite&sourcedomain=www.dailylobo.com](http://www.dailylobo.com/media/paper344/news/2006/02/08/Opinion/Letter.America-1603981.shtml?norewrite&sourcedomain=www.dailylobo.com)

I find the Abu Ghraib War Porn and the innocent GITMO hostages much more offensive than the Mohammed Cartoons, or Fred (FAG) Phelps at a military funeral, or holocaust deniers. How many holocausts have there been? 2 Million Iraq deaths during the 16 year territorial pissings in Iraq. The Indians.

Quit beating it over my head, I was born in 1974! I hate

naazis, and I don't understand why there isn't a bigger stink over Prescott Bush's assets being seized for trading with the enemy! USA! USA!

Guantanamo actors held at airport!

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/entertainment/4736404.stm>

Danish Paper won't run Holocaust cartoons

<http://www.jpost.com/servlet/Satellite?cid=1139395370665&pagename=JPost%2FJPArticle%2FShowFull>

This ends the claim that this is a free-speech issue.

Danish paper rejected Jesus cartoons

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/international/story/0,,1703501,00.html>

This ends the claim that this is a free-speech issue.

11:51 AM

The End said...

I've been reading Dharma Lion: A Biography of Allen Ginsberg about the famous Beat poet, himself of Jewish ancestry.

Quoting Ginsberg from a letter: "The trouble with the Israelis is that they are \*Jewish\*, they were hypnotized by the Nazis and all other racist magic hynotists of previous eras. Astonishing mirror image resemblance between Nazi theory of racial superiority and Jewish hang-up as chosen race... Any fixed static categorized image of the Self is a big goof."

Enlightened Jews realize that Zionism is a sham, just like enlightened Americans realize that Bush neo-con

war-mongering is a sham.

12:01 PM

Anonymous said...

It is important to understand exactly what is meant by the term "Denying the Holocaust". Irving is being punished, not because he says "no Jews were murdered by the Nazis" but because he has said:

1. Irving said that "more people died in the back seat of Ted Kennedy's car than at the gas chamber shown to tourists at Auschwitz". This is a fact, because the Polish government now admits that they built that gas chamber after the war as a "recreation" to show tourists.

2. Irving has said that Hitler did not order the extermination of the Jews, that in fact, Hitler ordered them to be left alone, to hold as hostages in case the war went poorly. In fact, there is no documentary evidence of Hitler ordering extermination and there are documents containing his orders to keep them alive.

3. Irving has deduced that the total number of Jews killed is less than 6 million by a considerable margin. Anyone with basic math and logic skills can deduce the same thing. 6 million was the original figure at a time when it was claimed that Auschwitz accounted for 4 million of that number and when it was claimed that Belsen and other German camps were death camps. It is now claimed there were no death camps on German soil and that Auschwitz accounted for 1.1 million of the

total. How can the total still be 6 when 4 is changed to 1.1?

There are more, but the point is that one must believe the entire story - hook, line and sinker - or one is a "Denier". A good parallel would be saying that anyone who doesn't believe the official Warren Commission report on the JFK killing believes that JFK wasn't murdered.

The very fact that any questioning of the official account of the Holocaust is considered a crime is prima facie proof that the official Holocaust account is a sham - a lie used to extort cash and political considerations from the dumb goyim.

The real fact is that, rather than risk their own lives, the Jews of Europe chose to collaborate with the Nazis and work in their armaments factories making more weapons and shells. This allowed the Germans to keep on killing more and more Allied soldiers and civilians.

They expect us to come to their aid and sacrifice our lives to save them, yet they are unwilling to take any personal risk to preserve their own lives or property. They even have the audacity to blame us for not coming to save them sooner.

12:11 PM

[POST A COMMENT](#)

[<< Home](#)