



- [Features](#)
- [latest news](#)
- [syndication](#)
- [commentary](#)
- [War in Iraq](#)
- [Health](#)
- [Education](#)
- [First Nations](#)
- [Environment](#)
- [Labour](#)

[printable version](#) - [email this article](#)

The following post has status *hidden*:

A FACTUAL APPRAISAL OF THE "HOLOCAUST" BY THE RED CROSS.

by NoEvidenceOfGenocide • *Friday January 28, 2005 at 07:09 AM*

No Evidence Of Genocide

The Jews And The Concentration Camps: A Factual Appraisal By The Red Cross.

There is one survey of the Jewish question in Europe during World War Two and the conditions of Germany's concentration camps which is almost unique in its honesty and objectivity, the three-volume Report of the International Committee of the Red Cross on its Activities during the Second World War, Geneva, 1948.

This comprehensive account from an entirely neutral source incorporated and expanded the findings of two previous works: Documents sur l'activité du CICR en faveur des civils détenus dans les camps de concentration en Allemagne 1939-1945 (Geneva, 1946), and Inter Arma Caritas: the Work of the ICRC during the Second World War (Geneva, 1947). The team of authors, headed by Frédéric Sjordet, explained in the opening pages of the Report that their object, in the tradition of the Red Cross, had been strict political neutrality, and herein lies its great value.

The ICRC successfully applied the 1929 Geneva military convention in order to gain access to civilian internees held in Central and Western Europe by the Germany authorities. By contrast, the ICRC was unable to gain any access to the Soviet Union, which had failed to ratify the Convention. The millions of civilian and military internees held in the USSR, whose conditions were known to be by far the worst, were completely cut off from any international contact or supervision.

The Red Cross Report is of value in that it first clarifies the legitimate circumstances under which Jews were detained in concentration camps, i.e. as enemy aliens. In describing the two categories of civilian internees, the Report distinguishes the second type as "Civilians deported on administrative grounds (in German, "Schutzhäftlinge"), who were arrested for political or racial motives because their presence was considered a danger to the State or the occupation forces" (Vol. 111, p. 73). These persons, it continues, "were placed on the same footing as persons arrested or imprisoned under common law for security reasons." (P.74).

The Report admits that the Germans were at first reluctant to permit supervision by the Red Cross of people detained on grounds relating to security, but by the latter part of 1942, the ICRC obtained important concessions from Germany. They were permitted to distribute food parcels to major concentration camps in Germany from August 1942, and "from February 1943 onwards this concession was extended to all other camps and prisons" (Vol. 111, p. 78). The ICRC soon established contact with camp commandants and launched a food relief programme which continued to function until the last months of 1945, letters of thanks for which came pouring in from Jewish internees.

Red Cross Recipients Were Jews

The Report states that "As many as 9,000 parcels were packed daily. From the autumn of 1943 until May 1945, about 1,112,000 parcels with a total weight of 4,500 tons were sent off to the concentration camps" (Vol. III, p. 80). In addition to food, these contained clothing and pharmaceutical supplies. "Parcels were sent to Dachau, Buchenwald, Sangerhausen, Sachsenhausen, Oranienburg, Flossenburg, Landsberg-am-Lech, Flöha, Ravensbrück, Hamburg-Neuengamme, Mauthausen, Theresienstadt, Auschwitz, Bergen-Belsen, to camps near Vienna and in Central and Southern Germany. The principal recipients were Belgians, Dutch, French, Greeks, Italians, Norwegians, Poles and stateless Jews" (Vol. III, p. 83).

In the course of the war, "The Committee was in a position to transfer and distribute in the form of relief supplies over twenty million Swiss francs collected by Jewish welfare organisations throughout the world, in particular by the American Joint Distribution Committee of New York" (Vol. I, p. 644). This latter organisation was permitted by the German Government to maintain offices in Berlin until the American entry into the war. The ICRC complained that obstruction of their vast relief operation for Jewish internees came not from the Germans but from the tight Allied blockade of Europe. Most of their purchases of relief food were made in Rumania, Hungary and Slovakia.

The ICRC had special praise for the liberal conditions which prevailed at Theresienstadt up to the time of their last visits there in April 1945. This camp, "where there were about 40,000 Jews deported from various countries was a relatively privileged ghetto" (Vol. III, p. 75). According to the Report, "The Committee's delegates were able to visit the camp at Theresienstadt (Terezin) which was used exclusively for Jews and was governed by special conditions. From information gathered by the Committee, this camp had been started as an experiment by certain leaders of the Reich ... These men wished to give the Jews the means of setting up a communal life in a town under their own administration and possessing almost complete autonomy. . . two delegates were able to visit the camp on April 6th, 1945. They confirmed the favourable impression gained on the first visit" (Vol. I, p. 642).

The ICRC also had praise for the regime of Ion Antonescu of Fascist Rumania where the Committee was able to extend special relief to 183,000 Rumanian Jews until the time of the Soviet occupation. The aid then ceased, and the ICRC complained bitterly that it never succeeded "in sending anything whatsoever to Russia" (Vol. II, p. 62). The same situation applied to many of the German camps after their "liberation" by the Russians. The ICRC received a voluminous flow of mail from Auschwitz until the period of the Soviet occupation, when many of the internees

[make media archives chat](#)

Media

español

- [links](#)
- [donate](#)
- [sf-active](#)
- [imc network](#)

were evacuated westward. But the efforts of the Red Cross to send relief to internees remaining at Auschwitz under Soviet control were futile. However, food parcels continued to be sent to former Auschwitz inmates transferred west to such camps as Buchenwald and Oranienburg.

No Evidence Of Genocide

One of the most important aspects of the Red Cross Report is that it clarifies the true cause of those deaths that undoubtedly occurred in the camps toward the end of the war. Says the Report: "In the chaotic condition of Germany after the invasion during the final months of the war, the camps received no food supplies at all and starvation claimed an increasing number of victims. Itself alarmed by this situation, the German Government at last informed the ICRC on February 1st, 1945 ... In March 1945, discussions between the President of the ICRC and General of the S.S. Kaltenbrunner gave even more decisive results. Relief could henceforth be distributed by the ICRC, and one delegate was authorised to stay in each camp ..." (Vol. III, p. 83).

Clearly, the German authorities were at pains to relieve the dire situation as far as they were able. The Red Cross are quite explicit in stating that food supplies ceased at this time due to the Allied bombing of German transportation, and in the interests of interned Jews they had protested on March 15th, 1944 against "the barbarous aerial warfare of the Allies" (Inter Arma Caritas, p. 78). By October 2nd, 1944, the ICRC warned the German Foreign Office of the impending collapse of the German transportation system, declaring that starvation conditions for people throughout Germany were becoming inevitable.

In dealing with this comprehensive, three-volume Report, it is important to stress that the delegates of the International Red Cross found no evidence whatever at the camps in Axis occupied Europe of a deliberate policy to exterminate the Jews. In all its 1,600 pages the Report does not even mention such a thing as a gas chamber. It admits that Jews, like many other wartime nationalities, suffered rigours and privations, but its complete silence on the subject of planned extermination is ample refutation of the Six Million legend. Like the Vatican representatives with whom they worked, the Red Cross found itself unable to indulge in the irresponsible charges of genocide which had become the order of the day. So far as the genuine mortality rate is concerned, the Report points out that most of the Jewish doctors from the camps were being used to combat typhus on the eastern front, so that they were unavailable when the typhus epidemics of 1945 broke out in the camps (Vol. I, p. 204 ff) - Incidentally, it is frequently claimed that mass executions were carried out in gas chambers cunningly disguised as shower facilities. Again the Report makes nonsense of this allegation. "Not only the washing places, but installations for baths, showers and laundry were inspected by the delegates. They had often to take action to have fixtures made less primitive, and to get them repaired or enlarged" (Vol. III, p. 594).

Not All Were Interned

Volume III of the Red Cross Report, Chapter 3 (I. Jewish Civilian Population) deals with the "aid given to the Jewish section of the free population," and this chapter makes it quite plain that by no means all of the European Jews were placed in internment camps, but remained, subject to certain restrictions, as part of the free civilian population. This conflicts directly with the "thoroughness" of the supposed "extermination programme", and with the claim in the forged Höss memoirs that Eichmann was obsessed with seizing "every single Jew he could lay his hands on."

In Slovakia, for example, where Eichmann's assistant Dieter Wisliceny was in charge, the Report states that "A large proportion of the Jewish minority had permission to stay in the country, and at certain periods Slovakia was looked upon as a comparative haven of refuge for Jews, especially for those coming from Poland. Those who remained in Slovakia seem to have been in comparative safety until the end of August 1944, when a rising against the German forces took place. While it is true that the law of May 15th, 1942 had brought about the internment of several thousand Jews, these people were held in camps where the conditions of food and lodging were tolerable, and where the internees were allowed to do paid work on terms almost equal to those of the free labour market" (Vol. I, p. 646).

Not only did large numbers of the three million or so European Jews avoid internment altogether, but the emigration of Jews continued throughout the war, generally by way of Hungary, Rumania and Turkey. Ironically, post-war Jewish emigration from German-occupied territories was also facilitated by the Reich, as in the case of the Polish Jews who had escaped to France before its occupation. "The Jews from Poland who, whilst in France, had obtained entrance permits to the United States were held to be American citizens by the German occupying authorities, who further agreed to recognize the validity of about three thousand passports issued to Jews by the consulates of South American countries" (Vol. I, p. 645).

As future U.S. citizens, these Jews were held at the Vittel camp in southern France for American aliens. The emigration of European Jews from Hungary in particular proceeded during the war unhindered by the German authorities. "Until March 1944," says the Red Cross Report, "Jews who had the privilege of visas for Palestine were free to leave Hungary" (Vol. I, p. 648). Even after the replacement of the Horthy Government in 1944 (following its attempted armistice with the Soviet Union) with a government more dependent on German authority, the emigration of Jews continued.

The Committee secured the pledges of both Britain and the United States "to give support by every means to the emigration of Jews from Hungary," and from the U.S. Government the ICRC received a message stating that "The Government of the United States ... now specifically repeats its assurance that arrangements will be made by it for the care of all Jews who in the present circumstances are allowed to leave" (Vol. I, p. 649).

Biedermann agreed that in the nineteen instances that "Did Six Million Really Die?" quoted from the Report of the International Committee of the Red Cross on its Activities during the Second World War and Inter Arma Caritas (this includes the above material), it did so accurately.

A quote from Charles Biedermann (a delegate of the International Committee of the Red Cross and Director of the Red Cross' International Tracing Service) under oath at the Zündel Trial (February 9, 10, 11 and 12, 1988).

The above is chapter nine from the book "Did Six Million Really Die?"

[For the entire book "Did Six Million Really Die?", click here.](#)

[add your comments](#)

Who committed Genocide then?

by interesting *Friday January 28, 2005 at 09:00 AM*

From the above account, it would appear that those in charge of the barbarous Allied civilian bombing of Germany, thus attacking the civilian infrastructure (which is a war crime), are primarily responsible for the murder of hundreds of thousands of gays, gypsies, political dissidents, Jews, etc. in the concentration camps of wartime Germany due to disease and starvation.

[add your comments](#)

A

by thunderbay.indymedia.org censors Red Cross *Friday January 28, 2005 at 11:18 AM*

thunderbay.indymedia.org censors Red Cross report.


Just what you would expect from Jews.


[add your comments](#)

Dew

by Dew *Friday January 28, 2005 at 02:23 PM*

Daily Record of Deaths at Auschwitz based on Camp Death Certificates





deaths-by-day.gif, image/gif, 1026x704

Daily Record of Deaths at Auschwitz based on Camp Death Certificates

[add your comments](#)

Mr

by P Tuesday February 01, 2005 at 02:06 AM

If you want to see Genocide look no further than modern day Isreal.

The Holocaust Legend is nothing more than Zionist propaganda

[add your comments](#)

Total Auschwitz Deaths

by Black Wednesday February 09, 2005 at 08:29 AM

Recent revisionist extrapolations (1944 Auschwitz records death numbers not yet found) indicate a maximum of 160,000 dead (Gentile & Jew). Pro-Holocaust sources still contend from "secret lists" not yet found that approx. 1 million Jews were exterminated entering the camp. Interesting that the original 4 million number of dead at the camp was removed in 1990 (in 1989 the death registers were revealed). In 1995 the new official number was 1.5 million. Interesting that Hoess's "confession" (Present in the Jewish Holocaust Musuem in Wash. D.C.) indicates that under his command which ended in the end of 1943 (1944 according to pro-Holocaust was supposed to be a very big kill year) that 2.5 million inmates were put to death and another 1/2 million died of disease. These numbers make no sense and appear to correspond to the development of the 4 million figure (good until 1990) which no one now agrees with. Propaganda stares you right in the face. It's right there in front of you at the Holocaust Museum as plain as black and white!

[add your comments](#)

President

by Bush Monday November 21, 2005 at 05:50 AM

Typical manipulating Jew PROPAGANDA!

[add your comments](#)

Holocaust

by Alan Spiggot Monday November 21, 2005 at 06:38 AM

First of all...I am not an anti-semite. I don't care what race/religion people belong to and I have no problems with any of that. However, I fail to understand why being a holocaust revisionist or denyer is illegal in certain countries except that it just has to be a conspiracy of some sort. Otherwise it makes no sense...What is it about the holocaust that makes it immune to any scrutiny

whatsoever? There is a ton of evidence which suggests that the number of Jewish dead is at the very least exaggerated and there are also independant studies which have been done on the alleged gas chambers which found no evidence(ie. gas residue) that the showers were used as such. Furthermore, the studies also show that there would have had to be a staggering number of killings each for the numbers to add up. Again, I am not anti jewish or anything like that, I just have an open mind. Remember...57 million Europeans died in WWII so even if the figure of 6 million jewish people were accurate...is it disproportional? How come the other 52 million people don't matter?

[add your comments](#)

KUDOS !

by Ben Monday November 21, 2005 at 07:42 AM

Kudos to the facts, and those that aquire and report the facts. If indeed the facts are being cited as accurately as possible from Red Cross reports, then the facts should be given considerable weight having been made at the time of the events. I don't see how the Red Cross would benefit from attempting to rewrite history without a motive. So it comes back to weight especially now without controverting facts, or those alleged facts without sources.

Propaganda or anything that looks like it is readily identifiable that involves motive, supersion, or evokes emotion. These are not controving facts.

[add your comments](#)

Rabbi

by Izzy Monday November 21, 2005 at 08:45 AM

It is well known that the Germans had some camps that were very good, for the red cross to examine (such as certain childrens camps), others that were "work camps", and yet others for working all sorts of people to death.

Many of the red cross packages only reached prisoners on occasions where they actulay visited, and other times a supplementary (and that all the red cross packages were) gift was the main ration for prsoners.

I base these assertions on coversations of actual prisoners I TALKED TO...not some agenda based "historian".

I don't hold dogmatic beleifs in the actual numbers of Jews killed, and I don't think the real number is possible to qauntify...simply because much of the killing was done at local levels not even directly tied to the SS.

Many masscres blamed on Germans were in fact perpetrated by Poles, Lithuanians , Croatians etc. For example one side of my fathers family simply disapered in 1938...we suspect locals embued with Natzi ideology , but not even the Germans themselves.

It is safe to say those who make an industry out of Jewish deaths will themselves be punnished by their own rod. For the non-jewish population has grown weary of the mantra, and soon the assimilated Jews will re-learn past lessons about just how much the Non-Jewish world really cares.

leudea Victora,

R. IZZY The Levite und der shochet

[add your comments](#)

Germans

by rAT Monday November 21, 2005 at 09:53 AM

Let's face it, the German population went along with the Nazi program like sheep to slaughter. They worshipped Hitler as Egypt worshipped Pharoah, and followed him right off the cliff into the abyss. Of course there are major distortions propagated in a post-war world. History has always been written by the winners. Even people who understand the exaggerations tend to brush it all aside in the name of Karma. "The Germans were so awful on every level everywhere they went, who cares if the numbers of dead are inflated? They deserve everything they get, especially reputation. They committed so many documented atrocities that people will believe anything tossed their way. But don't expect too many people to rally to the lost cause of misunderstood concentration camp commandants". This is a tough argument to battle. It's not that the truth hurts too much, it's that most folks just don't give a damn about the degrees of Nazi barbarism. Those perps are on the very bottom of the human totem pole, along with child molesters and cannibals. Did they only ruin one child or ten? Did they only eat one person or 30? Who cares? They all went over the perimeter of basic humanity, a ballistic leap into the chasm of no return. So sure, try and straighten out the historical details if you must, but don't feign outrage because violins aren't playing for Adolf Eichmann.

[add your comments](#)

The source

by M Virta *Monday November 21, 2005 at 04:04 PM*

If anyone has the URL to this alleged red cross raport, please give it (is it on the web?)

The specific pages describing the concentration camps would be helpful too. If all this is true this should be no problem.

[add your comments](#)

Zionism and the holocaust

by Anthrax cat *Monday November 21, 2005 at 04:21 PM*

I hate all this garbage about Zionist super-overlords from outer space and all that. Lets make no mistake people, blaming "Zionism" for the current political disorder is just scape goating. It's not the fault of Israel that the SUPPOSEDLY most powerful nation in the world decided to screw itself. Sure, maybe they helped that decision but this Zionist trash is just Americans who are too egotistical to admit their ignorance trying to blame the current Iraq fiasco on the Israelis. And I always took the holocaust as real, I have a German heritage and the villification bugs me but I never challenged the idea. Alone revionism wouldn't have convinced me, but after seeing people's reaction to it, and seeing how little evidence there is, I'm starting to wonder. If the holocaust happened exactly as they say how come no one has ever proved it?

[add your comments](#)

So I guess my relatives were killed by what ???

by Joe the liberal jew *Monday November 21, 2005 at 05:17 PM*

And here we go again, via Rnese dot nazi i read yet another artical running down the jews. When i was a kind my mom took me to meet 2 surviving relitives that had tatoos on there arms. I was all of 6 and asked them why they had number tatoood on there arms. They just looked at each other and did not answer me. Guess that was just a fake, to plant the seeds in my little Jewish brain. Of course there were all these missing relatives who stayed in Europe, they were just killed, guess that was a fake too.

Usually I am for the free flow of ideas, but I am not sure now. This revisionist history stuff could lead to another slaughter. I am not sure why the left has taken to embarrassing this nazi dribble but I know it has. I have had enough of my posts deleted from indy news to know that indynews is not so indy, but I can't just let this one go by.

[add your comments](#)

kudos

by R. Izzy *Tuesday November 22, 2005 at 07:08 PM*

uriel1960@yahoo.com 06 795 9566

Being born in Germany, a Jew, transported to America for adoption and radically transformed as to gender, I find the concept of anyone denying the death of someone, or a bunch of someones, a definite soft headed, feeble minded exercise. Going along with the political goodfeeling whitewash history makes people think they are doing good things in questioning. So here are a few facts no one has bothered to consider. Denying the Holocaust has led to further attempts at genocide. Africa nations, Moslem nations, Indigenous populations slaughtered for their lands or their mineral wealth. Some are slaughtered by governments exercising excuses of terrorism and mass killing possibilities. Now, I'm slightly curious. What's the difference? Death of six million in the war where fifty million were slain by weapons and the rest by starvation and trauma, and the killing now of millions for the sake of the american dollar or the international currency of oil? Someone should think about those things, and stop trying to justify or ignore or gloss over the fact that humans kill one another over nothing but threats and fears. What a shame that we do not learn from the past and deny that something that bad has even happened. Im sure that those who were being killed, even the one person, was busy trying to justify why he or she should die so it wouldn't hurt so bad. we humans are murderers one and all.

[add your comments](#)

response to R. Izzy

by Rev. Michael Collins *Tuesday November 22, 2005 at 07:10 PM*

uriel1960@yahoo.com 06 795 9566

Being born in Germany, a Jew, transported to America for adoption and radically transformed as to gender, I find the concept of anyone denying the death of someone, or a bunch of someones, a definite soft headed, feeble minded exercise. Going along with the political goodfeeling whitewash history makes people think they are doing good things in questioning. So here are a few facts no one has bothered to consider. Denying the Holocaust has led to further attempts at genocide. Africa nations, Moslem nations, Indigenous populations slaughtered for their lands or their mineral wealth. Some are slaughtered by governments exercising excuses of terrorism and mass killing possibilities. Now, I'm slightly curious. What's the difference? Death of six million in the

war where fifty million were slain by weapons and the rest by starvation and trauma, and the killing now of millions for the sake of the american dollar or the international currency of oil? Someone should think about those things, and stop trying to justify or ignore or gloss over the fact that humans kill one another over nothing but threats and fears. What a shame that we do not learn from the past and deny that something that bad has even happened. Im sure that those who were being killed, even the one person, was busy trying to justify why he or she should die so it wouldn't hurt so bad. we humans are murderers one and all.

[add your comments](#)

Drum beater

by Bill Day *Wednesday November 23, 2005 at 10:11 AM*

There's no business like shoah business. The more questions that are asked the more shrill the response gets from the Jews. Me thinks that he doeth protest too much...

[add your comments](#)

Google: "Arrest Bush 41"

by David Howard *Saturday November 26, 2005 at 10:00 AM*
fiat@sofnet.com 417-624-5326 2331 South Duquesne-Joplin, Missouri

The FBI uses polygraphs to eliminate suspects.

[add your comments](#)

Download Of "Judea Declares War On Germany" - here...

by Mr Jackboot Polisher *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 05:50 AM*

Go to this web page for a quick download of an excellent little doco using commonsense to dispell the rubbish that is the "Holofoax".

Yes, their was a "Holocaust". It was called WW2.

Now if you'll excuse me i'm off to polish my jackboots. After all, i'm questioning the validity of claims pertaining to a historical event so quite clearly i must be a skin head ultranationalist fascist.

The Zionist time is up. Ordinary middle class plebs are waking up. They'll start a war within a year to cover their tracks. Whatever happens, the Zionist extremists must have the high moral ground by presentiung themselves as victims.

I have my pop corn ready and a comfy seat for the next load of bullshit.

www.members.iinet.net.au/~falluga

[add your comments](#)

You have not seen nothing yet

by r walters *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 09:22 AM*

Most the world is completely blind to the truth,period. Madness and blindness rule the world and for those who fall in these categories,astonishment of heart is next.The unthinkable is at the doorstep and no one is to stop it.The degree of betrayal will be like never before."Then shall they deliver you up to be afflicted and shall kill you:and ye shall be hated of all nations for my name's sake."Matthew 24:9 If this causes hate towards me, for repeating the Lord's word,it only goes to prove the point.The Word is manifesting right before your blind eyes.

[add your comments](#)

The truth on Auschwitz

by Mark Twain Sunday November 27, 2005 at 09:40 AM

Auschwitz supplied labor for the Monowitz industrial complex



[Auschwitz was a 'Work Camp'](#)

judicial-inc.biz/Auschwitz.htm

[add your comments](#)

Holocaust questions

by m Sunday November 27, 2005 at 10:33 AM

justme@optonline.net

There are very serious historical and current questions about the Jewish holocaust. One of the most serious, is why is it a crime to ask questions about the events, or to research them without governmental approval in some countries?

If any government or organization insists that opinions about, questions of or research into historical occurrences is a crime, then it is axiomatic that the history less than completely truthful.

Another question that desperately needs an answer, is "Why is this genocide different from all other genocides?" It certainly isn't the largest, the first, the last, or even the most horrible genocide. Selecting the most horrible genocide is subjective, and is certainly open to debate. But, the Cambodians certainly are heavy contenders for using preadolescent children to select and execute large numbers of victims, because the children were "pure and untainted".

So, why does this genocide get all the air time, debate, laws preventing its historical research, movies, books, plays, tv shows, and newspaper space?

[add your comments](#)

Will knowing the truth lead to "another Holocaust"??

by mugwort Sunday November 27, 2005 at 11:17 AM

"Usually I am for the free flow of ideas, but I am not sure now. This revisionist history stuff could lead to another slaughter."

The idea expressed above is an important element of the self-silencing mechanism built into the "Holocaust" scam. Since, as the physical and documentary evidence shows, there was no first "Holocaust," of course it is impossible for "another" to occur. But that hypothetical repetition of a non-existent event is used to silence people, both putative victims and putative aggressors, because just talking about it might make it happen 'again.'

Well, it won't. Didn't and won't. Didn't then and won't now.

It is instructive to examine the attitudes of the Jewish population, for instance in the USA, before and after the invention of the WWII-genocide story. Before, Jews were deserting the flock in droves, many preferring to assimilate into the mainstream culture. Others were becoming reform Jews, keeping the religious component but favoring cultural assimilation and rejecting Zionism. The alleged WWII genocide of Jews totally reversed this trend, as Jews, most of whom believed the scam, made common cause against a perceived enemy:

From the Wikipedia:

Since the Holocaust and the establishment of the modern State of Israel, Reform Judaism has largely repudiated anti-Zionism, though the American Council for Judaism continues to support integration and oppose Jewish nationalism. Some Reform Jews who are not affiliated with the American Council for Judaism may hold anti-Zionist ideas privately, but virtually all factions and all official organs of Reform are now staunchly Zionist.

So on that level it worked like a charm, bringing the errant sheep back into the flock for fear of the big bad wolf— while the Gentiles too were cowed into submission, by the allegation that "they" had perpetrated such a horrendous crime.

They say the best censorship is self-censorship. The Holofoax was the perfect self-enforcing mind-trap, because western society was taught, through the controlled media, that the only ones who would "deny" the non-existent "Holocaust" were "neo-Nazis," i.e. people who would willingly commit a second "genocide" if given half a chance. Who wants to be labelled a mass murderer, actual or aspiring?

Never mind that the revisionists claim there was no "Holocaust" in the first place. That has become, in the view of the vast majority, code for "I want to gas 6 million Jews!" Isn't it time for this vicious circle to be broken?

[add your comments](#)

Did Allies kill inmates for "photo-ops?"

by mugwort *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 11:34 AM*

"From the above account, it would appear that those in charge of the barbarous Allied civilian bombing of Germany, thus attacking the civilian infrastructure (which is a war crime), are primarily responsible for the murder of hundreds of thousands of gays, gypsies, political dissidents, Jews, etc. in the concentration camps of wartime Germany due to disease and starvation."

And, I would suggest, deliberately. There is no way Allied command could have been ignorant of what the effects of bombing supply lines would be on prisoners dependent on those supplies for food, disinfection supplies, and medicines.

Those piles of dead bodies were mighty useful for selling the genocide claim and setting the stage for the Nuremberg witch trials and later "reparations" extortion (not to mention the creation of the criminal state of Israel).

. The worldwide newsreels, and the GIs who came back and swore there had been deliberate genocide, cause they saw the bodies themselves, would be enough to convince most doubters (who would no more suspect the "good guys" of such a murderous trick than they suspected them of pulling off Pearl Harbour or, more recently, the WTC bombings).

[add your comments](#)

Freeman

by Kalle *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 11:36 AM*

The ONLY solution is to enforce The Plan against the traitorous N.W.O. Zion-Nazi mass-murder, inside-job perpetrators of OKC, 911 and the phoney War on Terror:- <http://i.am/jah/plan.htm>

[add your comments](#)

I WISH 6MM DID DIE

by judgesmails *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 01:52 PM*

judgesmails11@gmail.com

I really do.....there is nothing redemptive in Judaism....it is a garbage philosophy.....the squatters in Isntreal aren't "jews".....they're a massive fucking problem here in the 'kwa and push iz coming to shove, and I do believe Americans are wake up to her "jewish problem".

[add your comments](#)

Human

by Human *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 03:05 PM*

human@reality.com

"NoEvidenceOfGenocide"....

The Holocaust is evidence of what happens when liars fill us with fear and hate.

<http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/Holocaust/36quest1.html>

Please read these words carefully and understand why it is important for us to remember the lessons of history.

There is no room in our little world for hate.

To entice fear or hate...

to disseminate it...

to justify it, by saying it is our "freedom of speech"

is wrong

When we read / hear it and say nothing, this validates the lies and empowers the liars.

Those who say there is "NoEvidenceOfGenocide"....are liars.

[add your comments](#)

human

by human *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 03:07 PM*

human@reality.com

"NoEvidenceOfGenocide"....

The Holocaust is evidence of what happens when liars fill us with fear and hate.

<http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/Holocaust/36quest1.html>

Please read these words carefully and understand why it is important for us to remember the lessons of history.

There is no room in our little world for hate.

To entice fear or hate...

to disseminate it...

to justify it, by saying it is our "freedom of speech"

is wrong

When we read / hear it and say nothing, this validates the lies and empowers the liars.

Those who say there is "NoEvidenceOfGenocide"....are liars.

[add your comments](#)

"JEWS".....READ ALL ABOUT THEM

by judgesmails *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 04:16 PM*

judgesmails11@gmail.com

http://judicial-inc.biz/1_master_supreme.htm

does anyone doubt every last one of them deserves death?.....I'm in favor of murdering all the women (because of their "laws") so that never again will a disgusting, lying, murderous khazar squeeze out from a foul jewess' cunt ever, ever again.....let the effeminate males live to reap what they've sewn.....we can live without ecstasy, porn, debt, racial strife, hollowhoax movies.....We can make our own corned beef sandwiches.

[add your comments](#)

Tattoos

by Iconoblaster *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 04:19 PM*

Tattoos prove that they were NOT subject to genocide. You don't go to the trouble to tattoo people your are going to kill. You i.d. valuable assets that you don't want wandering off from their factory jobs.

[add your comments](#)

Fake?

by me *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 04:24 PM*

email@your.house.com 1254879322

Is the 1949 Red Cross a fake too?
Are the professionals that swabbed the so called gas chambers and found NO RESIDUE of any gas, fake too?
It's time to wake up and admit the truth.

[add your comments](#)

MORE HERE

by judgesmails *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 04:35 PM*

judgesmails11@gmail.com

http://judicial-inc.biz/Bush_Mossad11.htm

[add your comments](#)

HMMM....EVER HEARD OF DOV ZAKHEIM?

by cuthean *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 05:20 PM*

philthejeau@auschwitz.gov

http://judicial-inc.biz/Dov_zakheim.htm

[add your comments](#)

Stop the Truth Deniers

by anomonis *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 06:01 PM*

Oya theres evidence here of mind control. What is the real importance of proving the "holocaust" happened? Who gains? Who loses? Does any of it even matter? OMG people die - wars happen - genocides are going on whether we believe the frickin thing happened or not! That makes me mad how stupid people are they cant even see beyond the no's. The danger is in not thinking for yourself. I'll tell you right up that the holocaust (whether it happened or not) is a stepping stone and the worst is yet to come... and while we're looking the other way ... whoops here comes another patriot act ... they (yup the them) are implementing the rest of their GREAT WORK!

[add your comments](#)

KILL THE "JEWS" AND

by cuthean *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 06:05 PM*

destroy IZRAHELL.....then we can get back to business.

[add your comments](#)

Mr.

by David Sadler *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 06:13 PM*

david@david-sadler.org

Any truth that can't withstand scrutiny is no truth at all. Any law that forbids questions, reexamination, discussion and opinions is anti-free speech, anti-education, anti-research --- anti-liberty. Any law-maker, nation or state that passes such a law elevates ignorance and propaganda over science and knowledge. Knowledge is based upon facts. In this regard, where is the URL to the source Red Cross document (Jews And The Concentration Camps?) upon which this tread is based.

Thanks,
David Sadler

<http://www.david-sadler.org>

www.david-sadler.org

[add your comments](#)

HISTORIANS ARRESTED

by cuthean *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 06:24 PM*

http://judicial-inc.biz/historians_arrested.htm

kike spielberg will be shitting out his kike movie "munich" soon.....I would advocate an absolute boycott, but I really think it would be hilarious if all kikophiles leaving those l.a., jew york, chicago, and miami theatres were torched with flame throwers....oh boy, would that be funny!!!!

[add your comments](#)

Arithmeic

by Dick Meyer *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 08:35 PM*

greatpapadick@webtv.net 310-475-3924 3137 Kelton Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90034

If the number of Jews dying at Auschwitz has been reduced from 4 million to less than one million and generally accepted as fact, why hasn't the same number been reduced from the six million still claimed?

[add your comments](#)

Mr

by Amadeus Romer *Sunday November 27, 2005 at 10:37 PM*

madeur@walla.com 03-5934-6958 Australia

The mother of all conspiracies.

The second world war was the mother of all conspiracies. For the first time in the anals of our planet, the Zionist Leaders of six leading nations conspired to create a bogus war designed to kill 50 million Gentiles.

The aim of the war was to create a Jewish stampede towards Palestine and ceate the Zionist State of Israel. The war was planned, coordinated and executed by wealthy Zionist Germans.

The reward for their efforts was the looting of tons of gold and silver from the occupied European Banks.

The estimated total of Jewish deaths is approximately 150,000. Most of those Jews died of Typhus, disentry, inmate violence, old age and a variety of diseases.

eternalbliss1.tripod.com/

[add your comments](#)

Silly

by Me Monday November 28, 2005 at 08:39 AM

There are so many holes in the "six million" story, that I don't care to enumerate them all here. The fact of the matter is, to an educated mind, that number is just plain silly.

That said, I'm a firm believer of the old saying, "where there's smoke, there's probably fire." It's quite likely that executions of "undesirables" took place, as they have during every war in history. No one, I think, can deny that in good faith.... what is in question is not whether atrocities took place, but the extent to which this behaviour was practised.

In the end, exaggerating historical data does not lend credence to your argument. Supporting the six million figure simply makes you look like a fool to those who can grasp that number, and all the details surrounding the claim. Sure, it's a big number, and it will make the mindless masses cringe when paired with the shocking newsreels from the "liberation" of Germany, but the much smaller, educated population, will laugh at you, in spite of the seriousness of the situation.

Do you understand what I'm saying? Supporting such ludicrous claims devalues your argument on a whole -- and, by association, minimizes the historical impact of the Holocaust. Such a thing shouldn't be allowed to happen.

Exaggerating historical data, in modern society, will only call into question the entire factual basis upon which your argument rests. Continuing to support foolish notions such as the "six million" figure will only bring you eye-rolling, a groan, and one more person who doesn't care any more. I don't know about you, but I'd prefer an accurate historical account versus boring rhetoric any day of the week -- especially since fact tends to endure longer than rhetoric. The last thing you should wish for is that people forget...

I'm well aware that nobody of any importance will read this, and that it likely won't change anyone's stance on either side of this old debate... but, hell... it made me feel better... and that's what discussion is all about.

[add your comments](#)

Repetition is reputation

by Eric Monday November 28, 2005 at 12:27 PM

Spoken long and loud enough, a lie becomes a truth.

For those like me, born after WWII, we only know what our elders tell us and what literature records as factual.

It is regrettable that, like a evangelical preacher who picks-and-chooses portions of the Old Testament to suit his sermon yet never fully adheres to the tenants of the Tanach, many of you make a la carte choices on what really happened to the Jews during the 1930's & 40's in Europe.

If the article "the Jews And The Concentration Camps: A Factual Appraisal By The Red Cross" is purported to be "factual", then perhaps additional reading would help expand the knowledge of those who choose to believe that the Holocaust never happened.

But that depends on the ability of the reader to maintain an open mind.

For those who are undecided, here are several links that counter the above-mentioned article:

From the ICRC -

ICRC in WW II: the Holocaust

http://www.icrc.org/Web/Eng/siteeng0.nsf/htmlall/57JNWP?OpenDocument&View=defaultBody&style=custo_print

Commemorating the liberation of Auschwitz

<http://www.icrc.org/Web/Eng/siteeng0.nsf/iwplList74/4F3D43546DF3AEB5C1256F95003AA42E>

Holocaust and War Victims Tracing Center

<http://www.redcross.org/services/intl/holotracer/questions.html>

Other sources:

The Nizkor Project (comments on the so-called "factual" report)

<http://www.nizkor.org/ftp.cgi/people/l/lipstadt.deborah/ftp.py?people/l/lipstadt.deborah/citations/red-cross.report>

Jewish News Weekly (Red Cross knew of death camps)

http://www.jewishsf.com/content/2-0/module/displaystory/story_id/5214/edition_id/96/format/html/displaystory.html

[add your comments](#)

Mr

by Zalman *Monday November 28, 2005 at 10:48 PM*

The jews have killed tens of millions of Christians and they consider that OK. They are exterminating the Palestainies and think thats OK. Their Talmud is the most hate filled anti-semitic works ever written and they think thats OK. The Mossad motto is "By Way of Deception, Thou Shalt Make War, and they think thats OK. They lied and deceived Bush into the war with Iraq
(Kerry would have been no better, they owned him too)
and think thats OK. Skaron has made the remark, "We jews control America". Like it or not "Welcome to the United States of Israel."

[add your comments](#)

Why are they silencing revisionists

by Ian McKenzie *Tuesday November 29, 2005 at 04:10 AM*

I was not round at the time of the holocaust, and so believed what I was taught at school. They taught how the Germans murdered 6,000,000 Jews in the gas chambers , at all the camps who's names we know so well. According to a recent kids TV show, all British kids will soon get the chance to visit Auschwitz. . Does that not tell you something. I do not deny that many people died in the camps through disease, starvation and ill treatment. I do not deny that this was a massive crime against humanity. What I now do not believe is that these were extermination camps. I have read this Red Cross report, and convincing proof that Ernst Zundel, David Irving and others wrote about, convincing me that the gas chambers were and are a fraud. The two men Zundel and Irving await trial in Germany charged with Holocaust Denial. Rediculous that they cannot defend these charges by proving they speak the truth, a rediculous Orwellian nightmare.

[add your comments](#)

Scary

by Rob Jacob *Tuesday November 29, 2005 at 10:43 AM*

boltst@hotmail.com

This to me is so scary! How can it be a crime to examine or report facts or to have a different opinion?. This is just like the old days of the inquisitions. But worse. It also shows who is really in power. (again) It really frightens me, because we all (all people with an ounce of integrity) should be in jail then right? Anybody who has enough guts to speak the truth. And I don't want to go in their jails where torture and cruelty and the sickest forms of human depravity are going on. To be locked in a cage and be at the mercy of these people? Are they people? Or are they not the sickest satanists alive on this planet? It confirms my fear that the jails are full of maybe the most decent people, and the worst and real criminals are the prosecutors and jail keepers. I'd rather starve to death than be in their custody.

[add your comments](#)

Professor

by B. Israel *Thursday December 01, 2005 at 12:12 PM*

Gdknos@yahoo.com

It is so sad to read about the professor, who was asked to give a lecture in Vienna and then pursued and jailed. Remember the old church reformers who were persecuted in like manner in old Europe? For diverse church doctrine? I'm afraid this is just beginning and the worse is yet to come...

The early rapture is a false doctrine, and if they don't know what to do, you know their end...

Eze 7:16 But they that escape of them shall escape, and shall be on the mountains like doves of the valleys, all of them mourning, every one for his iniquity.

lets-watch.com

[add your comments](#)

The truth is suppressed with every Anne Frank TV movie...

by Matthew Hoffman *Thursday December 01, 2005 at 08:01 PM*
onassisnine@hotmail.com

I found this piece of information in a school teachers guide and it read as follows;

"The primary purpose of these camps was the methodical killing of millions of innocent people. The first, Chelmno, began operating in late 1941. The others began their operations in 1942"

OK so lets be a bit generous on the Holocaust myth (late 1941 to April 1945 with camps liberated earlier than April) and give them a full round four years of gassin time that's 1,500,000 a year, 1,25,000 a month, 31,250 a week and finally 4,464 per day.

There must have been some SS men pulling some serious overtime in those three and a half years, perhaps the German government ran out of money paying the SS men's overtime and that's why they lost the war!

Seems a bit unrealistic don't it...

And yes it is important the thruth does matter, imagine if someone does jail time for someone elses crime is that something that we just let slide?

I think not.

www.matthewhoffman.blogspot.com

[add your comments](#)

Sorry suppressed...

by Matthew Hoffman *Thursday December 01, 2005 at 08:16 PM*
onassisnine@hotmail.com www.matthewhoffman.blogspot.com

hate to make mistakes...

[add your comments](#)

'Curiouser and curiouser!' cried Alice

by kborgman *Saturday December 03, 2005 at 08:30 AM*

Everyday I am more and more amazed at what passes for truth and lies. It is incredible that this strange group of Khazarian –Zionist, atheist Jews have managed to not only brainwash the world but to have also managed to silence and persecute honest, decent religious Jews. Although I am not a particularly religious person, the organized manipulation and evil being perpetuated on the world by this strange group of Zionist thugs has given me pause to believe in the devil

[add your comments](#)

what?

by ug *Monday December 05, 2005 at 09:15 AM*

test.

How the hell is it possible to comment on hidden stories?

[add your comments](#)

Interesting Article

by Alan Spiggot *Sunday December 11, 2005 at 06:47 AM*

Mistrial Declared In
Case Of Ernst Zundel

By Jon Rappoport
NoMoreFakeNews.comn
12-10-5

In what appears to be something out of a surreal dream, the German trial of Ernst Zundel has been temporarily ended because all his lawyers could not get one of their many motions accepted by the court judge.

I guess there is a German rule that says: defense lawyers have to produce a semblance of competence by making at least one motion stand up. I don't really know. Anyway, Zundel has a new lawyer, and the trial may re-open in February.

The charge? Denial of the Holocaust. Or something like that. In Canada, during his three trials, Zundel was charged with threatening national security. Threatening how? By denying the accepted story, in certain respects, of the Holocaust.

After perusing a number of articles about Zundel on the rene.com site, I presume that Zundel is a very unpopular man because he is stating that the Nazi extermination of Jews during WW2 has been grossly exaggerated, in terms of actual numbers of Jews killed.

So far, I find no evidence that Zundel has committed a crime against any person or piece of property, in the usual sense of crime. Nor do I find any direct inciting to violence on the part of Zundel.

In other words, he is being held in prison (as he was in Canada) because he expresses certain thoughts.

Of course, in several European countries, Holocaust denial is itself a crime.

There are a couple of issues here. One is, can your words be taken by other people as reason for THEM to commit a violent crime? As far as I'm concerned, there are nutcases and morons running around from the Arctic Circle to Tierra Del Fuego who will, on the slightest provocation, steal property and commit assault.

One only has to look at the laws in the US to see that indirect participation in a "crime" is a growing trend. For example, a person can be found innocent of robbery but found guilty of conspiracy to commit robbery.

"We talked about it, we planned it, but then we got cold feet."

"Who cares? Guilty of conspiracy. This court is adjourned."

Note that Zundel is not being charged with conspiracy. I'm merely pointing out that INDIRECT labels can be extended in all sorts of directions.

In fact, as political correctness spreads like ink on a blotter all over the planet, people are warned that the slightest off-center remark might damage another person within hearing distance for life.

Then comes the issue of Zundel's accuracy in his written and spoken comments about the Holocaust. Is he right? Is he wrong? Is he really trying to deceive? Is he saying what he says because, in his heart, he is a racist or an anti-Semite?

The circular argument goes this way: since Zundel obviously knows what he is saying is false, he must have another strategy; he must be trying to float a lie for an ulterior motive.

Well, if it is now the law to make an examination of someone's heart and soul in judging criminal innocence or guilt, we can hang it up and move to another planet.

By any rational standard, who the hell cares what Zundel is saying, in so far as his innocence or guilt is concerned? He's saying it. He has the right to say it. He can say it from now until the cows come home.

In my experience, it is the incredibly shallow and inexperienced and desperate people who try to divine other citizens' ulterior motives at the drop of a hat and pin all sorts of labels on them, over and over.

I'm reminded of the many painstaking domeheads, back in the day, who would take the work of a famous artist and apply their own version of psychoanalytic theory to his work and, in the process, try to reduce that artist to ashes.

Now, it may be that Zundel has actually done things I don't know about. So far, I haven't found anything that really surprises me. I'm willing to be shown---but as far as I can tell, the man is being prosecuted for stating what he believes to be facts.

It also appears that his defense team in Germany is not permitted to offer evidence that Zundel's version of the Holocaust is accurate.

"You're being prosecuted for saying X. And we will not allow proof that X is true. The crime is saying X. Shut up."

Here I'm reminded of US trials in which federal prosecutors try to ramrod a defendant who has sold medicines not approved by the FDA. In court, when the defendant's lawyers move to introduce evidence that the medicine in question actually cures disease, the judge refuses to allow such presentation.

"We're not here to determine whether the defendant is a hero in healing people. We only want to know whether he sold a substance to treat a disease, and whether the FDA has approved this substance. If the FDA has not certified it as safe and effective, the defendant is guilty as hell."

It also reminds me of US Supreme Court Justice Scalia's famous remark: the revelation of new exculpatory evidence is not sufficient to warrant a re-trial for a person who is currently serving time in prison for having committed a crime. New trials are only granted when it's shown that the previous trial was, procedurally speaking, deeply flawed. In other words, who cares whether the convicted person is really guilty?

Do Zundel's statements about the Holocaust offend many people? Of course. Is that a crime? No. Does the principle of free speech exceed the fact that people are offended? Yes.

What about 9/11? What about the justification for waging war in Vietnam and Iraq? What about claiming that AIDS is not a contagious germ-driven disease? What about people who claim that FDR knew the Japanese were going to attack Pearl Harbor and let it happen? What about people who say Bill Clinton sold out America by letting military-tech secrets flow from here to China, in exchange for a few dollars placed in his re-election campaign fund? What about people who say we never went to the moon? What about people who say that George Bush has the intellect of a chimp?

In these and hundreds of other situations, it is quite possible to make statements that will offend others deeply. Shall we put a censor to work scrubbing all these statements out of existence? Shall we hold show trials and put people in jail?

On the road to freedom, we say that potential victims of others' speech are going to have to suck it up and get past all that. It may not be nice, but that's the way things work. On the road to tyranny, we say that anything you might say that will cause a person emotional distress is illegal and you will be punished severely for it, by the legal system, backed up by official guns and official prison bars.

I know which way I'm going. If Zundel has done nothing other than revise, downward, accepted estimates of the Holocaust, if he has done nothing other than claim he knows who is protecting the official scenario, then let him out of jail. Let him go and let him live his life. Stop trying to put him on trial.

What about people who claim there was tremendous black African participation in selling fellow Africans to the American slavemasters, who then brought those slaves to this country? That picture contradicts the official scenario. Why aren't those Holocaust deniers being arrested and tried and placed in prisons?

And by the way, wasn't there a US court case about a year ago in which---to the consternation of many---it was ruled that a media news outlet (FOX) could lie with impunity? Could escape even a judgment in a civil suit?

So even if Zundel is intentionally lying through his teeth, so what? Does he have fewer rights than FOX or CNN?

See, at the end of the day, accuracy and truth don't matter at all, when it comes to speech. Now if you tell a number of lies aimed at a particular and specific person or group, with the idea of injuring their reputations, then that is actionable in a suit. But Zundel is not being sued. If he were, he could introduce evidence to support his statements as being true. He is being tried on criminal charges by the German State, and if he is found guilty, he can be sentenced to a jail term. It's a whole different animal.

<http://www.rense.com>

[add your comments](#)

Why?

by Xanadu Monday December 12, 2005 at 06:33 PM

After many years of sitting quietly and listening to all manner and types there are obviously those who know and strongly believe there is an honest to God conspiracy and yet still, on the other side there is the mainstream which says there is no conspiracy and tags those that have such beliefs as lunatics, or crazy fools.

Well, all of this seems pre-arraigned and a well planned act that is being played out before my eyes.

It's almost as if all of this IS supposed to happened.

This confirms that the world is on the right track and all that is and is yet to come is as one before some ominous, invisible being who is in control.

This is good. I say this because man in his infinite wisdom and his godless intelligence is fooling himself in moe ways then one. We are delusional, from head to toe and everyday, we pay someone to study and confirm our supremacy in the universe based on our delusion. This is good.

So please continue as I sit back, relax, and enjoy the good show of man running around in circles, thinking he is master of his universe.

The dark ones they say are strange in their ways of reasoning and logic and we have been warned to stay away from them. The word that is light, they cannot stop because darkness cannot comprehend light.

If you are doing good. Do good. good. Be a force of light for there is a source of light.

[add your comments](#)

What?

by Alan Spigot *Tuesday December 13, 2005 at 08:56 AM*

You just posted eight paragraphs and said absolutely nothing....

[add your comments](#)

Mr

by Zalman *Wednesday December 14, 2005 at 09:04 PM*

Remember The Mossad motto----
By Way of Deception, Thou Shalt Make War

And they have....

[add your comments](#)

The camps

by JL Posey *Tuesday December 27, 2005 at 09:48 AM*

When I was a 18 and a senior in school, we had a small group of jews that survived the camps do a tour to various highschoools around the country. The films I saw were not doctored and some of them were taken by the nazis themselves. They were pretty horrible to see. Man's inhumanity to man..war always seems to bring out the worst and it always seems to get rewritten. Very Sad that lessons cant be learned from past history.

[add your comments](#)

No forensic evidence

by Jill Henry *Thursday December 29, 2005 at 08:37 AM*

Once you understand that the holocaust industry itself says that there is no forensic evidence that a holocaust occured, (that the Nazis hid all the incriminating evidence), that the Nazis who testified at the Nuremberg trials were tortured into signing confessions, and that Jewish "eye-witnesses" have consistantly been shown to have been liers -- it is not hard to understand why the holocaust industry murders revisionists, throws acid in their face, assaults them, attempts to ruin their careers, and promotes their imprisonment.

Then when you see that Jews have reaped unmeasurable wealth from the West's belief in these stories -- you see the true face of Jewish supremacism.

www.nationalvanguard.org

[add your comments](#)

did six million really die?

by richard harwood *Tuesday January 10, 2006 at 02:10 PM*

libertarian1962@myway.com

leave it to iddiots to print nothing but missinformation, I have friends who have relatives that were there and can tell the truth about how they (the ones that died)went into the showers and didn't come out

[add your comments](#)

Mr.

by Magistre *Wednesday January 18, 2006 at 11:24 AM*

On another board there is evidence that shows that (at least at the beginning) Hitler may have been an "employee" of a group of pre-WW2 millionaires. Their orders and funding was funneled through the German Union Bank. The Bank's U.S. officers were Harriman (name sound familiar) and (surprise, surprise!) Prescott Bush (W's grandfather). Maybe Adolph & Co. were supposed to execute the "final solution" and maybe they got cut-off from their bosses. And maybe "the bosses" had to fabricate the Holocaust Story to cover their tracks?

[add your comments](#)

Dr

by Andy Folkstone *Wednesday February 01, 2006 at 01:58 AM*

This is not evidence. It is well known that the IRC was treated to a "Show Visit" by the Nazis at Auchwitz and Theresenstadt. Obviously the Nazis were going to hide the evidence. The fact that they sent food parcels doesn't mean that the inmates received them. And as for the number of registered deaths in the graph- this too is nonsense. At Auschwitz they exterminated new arrivals immediately on their arrival without even registering them as having arrived.

Your evidence against the veracity of the holocaust, or its magnitude is poor on the evidence of this page. At Yad Vashem in Israel they have around 2 million names of actual victims and hundreds of thousands of personal recollections. The other 4 million names are not known at present as they are not here to give their names and all their friends, family, and neighbours were also slaughtered and cannot bear witness.

[add your comments](#)

You can BS the bull, but not the.....

by John Wilson *Tuesday February 14, 2006 at 01:13 AM*

jdw1956@gmail.com 5305273126 Red Bluff, California

Well, I was not raised to hate anybody, nor was I superior to anyone. I am not a follower, I think for myself very well. I do not lead, that is for fanatics.

My well-read drop on this is simply. People of Arabic decent like to finagle a business deal. That is a sign of strength in their culture. To have forged a profitable exchange of goods or monies. But with the Zionist's, they feel they have a "God's chosen people's" right to steal, lie and whine there way. I feel their whole story back to the beginning of man is fraught with lies, deceit, cruelty and propaganda.

I thought year's ago the "holocaust" fable had to many holes in it to be the truth, and I received much criticism for those beliefs. My opinion after 50 year's on this planet on this matter is, "The only thing Hitler did wrong was, not finish the job", if there was ever a job in the first place. Now let's permit the Zionist's to call some more Billion dollar properties "Holy Land" this year, so the Jew's can sell them at a hefty profit next year.

[add your comments](#)

Mr

by leonard *Sunday February 19, 2006 at 10:57 PM*

aussieau@bigpond.net.au

Even if you gased 1,000 persons each day for 3 years the total number of victims would have to be 1,095,000. Now they didn't receive a 1,000 each day. I still think placing the Jewish people in these camps and making them work was to get even with them for the depression and the suffering the German people suffered. The Jewish people were supposed to have robbed the German people so the Germans removed the Jewish people and robbed them and sent them to various camps to work..

[add your comments](#)

Auschwitz Jews on their knees

by Auschwitz Jews on their knees *Monday February 20, 2006 at 04:34 AM*

What If The Cartoons Were Mocking JEWS?

Auschwitz Jews on their knees begging the Nazis to take them with them,.....

I want to see a cartoon of Auschwitz Jews on their knees begging the Nazis to take them with them, rather than leave them to the Soviets,...

with the following quotes from Elie Wiesel and Primo Levi (concerning the German evacuation of Auschwitz on January 17, 1945) printed below:

"The choice was in our hands. For once we could decide our fate for ourselves. We could both stay in the (Auschwitz) hospital, where I could, thanks to my doctor, get him (Elie's father) entered as a patient or nurse. Or else we could follow the others. 'Well, what shall we do, father?' He was silent. 'Let's be evacuated (WITH the "mass-murdering" Nazis and with the others,' I told him."

Quote from "Night" by Elie Wiesel.

"It was not a question of reasoning: I would probably also have followed the instinct of the flock if I had not felt so weak: fear (of the Soviets) is supremely contagious, and its immediate reaction is to make one try to run away (WITH the evacuees and the "mass murdering" Nazis)."

Quote from "Survival in Auschwitz" by Primo Levi.

Comment in parenthesis added.

In his book, Primo Levi estimates that 800 choose to wait for the Soviets at Auschwitz, while 20,000 choose to go (with the "mass-murdering" Nazis).

So, why exactly did Elie Wiesel, Primo Levi and at least 20,000 others, most of whom were Jews, CHOOSE to go with the "mass-murdering" Nazis rather than wait for liberation by the Soviets?

THE HILARIOUS AUSCHWITZ STORY.

On January 17, 1945, when the Germans evacuated Auschwitz, 67,012 prisoners remained (plus those in the camp hospital).

Now instead of **killing all 67,012+ prisoners**, the Germans left about 7,650 prisoners at Auschwitz to be liberated by the Soviets, and marched the rest to other concentration camps (errrr,.. that should be, death camps).

The great majority of these people survived, becoming "holocaust survivors."

Amazing isn't it,... that the evil Nazis who had been "mass-killing millions of Jews" didn't bother to finish off this last bunch.

Don't you think that this is **a rather strange thing** for the Nazis to do, leaving about **70,000 witnesses** to "genocide".

Even stranger, is that the Jewish prisoner, **Elie Wiesel** states in his book "Night" that when the Germans evacuated Auschwitz, he was given the option of staying at the hospital, with his father registered as a patient, to await the Soviets, or join the evacuation with the "mass-murdering" Nazis.

Guess what? He **chose to leave with the "mass-murdering" Nazis**, taking his father with him.

Isn't it funny,... that the Jew Elie Wiesel (whose book describes horrendous Nazi "mass-killings" of Jews at Auschwitz) should choose to go with the very same Nazis that had been "mass-killing" Jews, rather than wait for the Soviets.

"The choice was in our hands. For once we could decide our fate for ourselves. We could both stay in the hospital, where I could, thanks to my doctor, get him (his father) entered as a patient or nurse. Or else we could follow the others. 'Well, what shall we do, father?' He was silent. 'Let's be evacuated with the others,' I told him."

Quote from "Night" by Elie Wiesel.

Another strange thing about Elie Wiesel's account of life at Auschwitz is that **he never, not even once, mentions gas chambers**.

In his version of the fiction, the Jews were **burnt alive in huge pits**, with the fat from the bodies scooped up to make soap.

Now, since **human bodies don't burn**, we know that this is a lie, unless you believe that the Germans carved down all the forests of Poland to provide wood for the fires that roasted the 4 million (errrr,... that's right it is now 1.5 million).

And even then there is the little problem regarding fires in pits,... they tend to go out due to a lack of oxygen,... **but why let a few facts get in the way of a good story.**

There is another account of happenings at Auschwitz on January 17th, 1945, from the book "**Survival in Auschwitz**," by Primo Levi.

Primo Levi said "*It was not a question of reasoning: I would probably also have followed the instinct of the flock (the evacuees) if I had not felt so weak: fear (of the unknown/Soviets) is supremely contagious, and its immediate reaction is to make one try to run away (WITH the evacuees and the "mass murdering" Nazis).*" Comment in parenthesis added.

So Primo Levi was also given the choice of staying or leaving. His "weakness" caused him to await the Soviets, even though he was fearful of the outcome.

Levi states that 800 others choose to remain in Auschwitz, and 20,000 choose to go (with the "mass-murdering Nazis").

His numbers do not add up, but clearly, as a prisoner he could only roughly estimate these, and he could only estimate numbers from his particular sub-camp (among many).

[add your comments](#)

american holocaust

by nappy *Monday February 20, 2006 at 05:47 AM*

Its the same as in the first gulf war , the u.s. bombed water filtration plants and power infrastructure, and thousands died no wonder we're loved and embraced by the Iraqi people

[add your comments](#)

Why are we digging graves?

by susan *Monday February 20, 2006 at 07:53 AM*

Isn't it time to stop the body count. There are no justifications for suffering of the innocent throughout the history of mans homocidal aggression. We are still feeding from the same trough with those who wish to incite more violence. If one child suffered, we have proven our insulance to humanities capabilities.

[add your comments](#)

Mr

by William Bunter *Monday February 20, 2006 at 12:11 PM*

How the German authorities must have rubbed their grubby hands with glee when the International Red Cross offered, and in effect supplied life saving sustanance to hundreds of thousands of slave workers of the German Reich:

This humanitarian gesture in effect saved the German Reich Government millions of Reichmarks which then went to the munitions factories to further their cause for Lebestraum at the expense of other sovereign nations:

Dont try to gloss over what the German Nazi Regime did in WW11: It, and it alone was resonsible for the deaths of untold millions whether they were Jews, Gypsies, Gentiles, soldiers, civililians, regardless, the resposibility has to be laid at the feet of the then German Nazi Government who were placed, and kept in power by the German people themselves:

[add your comments](#)

I know some other genocides

by Osiris *Tuesday February 21, 2006 at 09:22 AM*

1. The Spanish Inquisition (500 yrs).
2. The Crusades 8 of them, not including the current one.
3. Salem, European withches.
4. The Dark Ages
5. Native American heathen, savages.

6. Incas, Myans, Aztecs, S. America

Who else has been Christianized?

[add your comments](#)

The Worst Thing About The Holocaust

by Doug *Tuesday February 21, 2006 at 09:33 AM*

doug@usa.com yeah, right uh huh

The worst thing about the Holocaust is that it never happened.

[doh](#)

[add your comments](#)

holyoax

by philip vitale *Tuesday February 21, 2006 at 10:37 AM*

pv1956@yahoo.com

where are all the ashes and bones of these 6 million? why tatoo them and then kill them? how come so many survivors? why holyhoax museums in almost every u s a city when none of it ever happened here?. how come many survivors survived more than one camp if they were supposed to be all be gassed? why is it a crime questioning this anyway? if i said there were less than 56,000 americans killed in vietnam no one would care. if you have nothing to hide then debate! the truth shall set you free!

[add your comments](#)

It would take 15 years to burn 6 million

by Geo IsraOil *Tuesday February 21, 2006 at 01:21 PM*

gada.2004@3web.net 905 564 7865

Upto 1952 the Jewish media was drilling into our heads--53 million had died. Just as the little kid blurted out to the King with no clothes--But the most Jews in all of Europe had been no-more than 5.6 million. The Jews went back to the drawing room and came up with a figure of ^million. Holly smoke--they pulled this figure out of their biblical crap--that the romans killed 6 million thousand s years ago. What lieing BastUrds. Irving got 3 years jail because he said most had been sent into Palestine--to butcher them and take over. Auh! the UN , was formed back in 1947--first goal--create Israel by USA bribing all nations with monety. The FKN USA Jews would not allow the European Jews to still here. Oh! they must settle in Palestine as vermone and kill the host Palistine.

Before you obtuse Americans start callin me all kinds of cxrap and informing the zionist death squads--hear me out---eat Sh!t Why was Woodward Wilson blackmailed over a sex act and by whom and for what reason---Jews-for a homeland in 1915. Our \$--\$325 million bribe to Russia not to sign a peace treaty with Germany until USA got into the killings. Assholes--over 100 million died in WWI WWII--thanks to the Greedy Jews. I wait until Americans wake up that 9/11 WTC was made in America by Jewish Americans. Aftermath Jews ain't going to like it!

[add your comments](#)

Holocaust Now

by Donald Way *Tuesday February 21, 2006 at 01:24 PM*

2006-02-20

David Irving goes to prison

VIENNA, Austria (AP) -- Right-wing British historian David Irving pleaded guilty Monday to denying the Holocaust and was sentenced to three years in prison, even after conceding he wrongly said there were no Nazi gas chambers at the Auschwitz concentration camp.

It goes without saying that this is an utterly reprehensible action, one I might expect to have seen a victorious Nazi Germany commit — a Nazi Germany as portrayed by the Jewish-dominated media at least — rather than the supposedly freedom-loving nations who've come to prosper as a result of Nazi Germany's defeat.

Let's be clear as to what is being said here: There is no evidence that Jews were systematically or deliberately targeted for destruction. There can't be, because if there was, we wouldn't need to throw people in prison for saying otherwise. We would be able to disprove these allegations in open debate. We would be able to do to David Irving what we routinely do to other people who advance unpopular or unsound theories or ideas, advance competing theories and ideas that make more sense or that conform more generally to the facts at hand and let each person decide for themselves which is true and which is nonsense.

Prior to all these arrests of so-called Holocaust deniers, I had no problem with the official version of events in WWII. Now I do. We are obviously being lied to. Moreover, the lie must be a whopper, something of such import that were the truth revealed something of great worth to those in power would be lost as a result. History is written by the victors, we are reminded time and again. So the question now before us is, what lies are present in today's version of events for that time period and whose interests are being served? Why is it so damned important that we be made to see history in exactly this one way and not any other?

It certainly isn't to prevent the same mistakes from happening all over again, because they are! Even accepting conventional WWII history as all being true, it is still plain to the naked eye that today's governments are every bit as brutal as the ones that came before. It is easy to portray Nazi Germany as the epitome of all evil when only one side of the story gets presented, as easy as it is convenient to try to hide from view all manner of evil as performed by our government today.

If it is the lessons one particular version of history teaches that causes us to demand obedience to it, then must it not be demonstrated that we've learned from that history, and that those events repugnant to us are not being repeated?

We need to stop killing each other. I think banning what is called "hate speech" has the purpose of stopping or reducing violence, so I can endorse the intent if not the actual mechanism that is being employed here. I note that even with the prohibition against "hate speech" in place, violence is just as prevalent today as it was before the

prohibition was put in place; of particular note is the behavior of governments today, esp. that of Israel, the United States and Great Britain. These governments are of note not only because they claim to uphold democratic principles but because you and I likely give these governments support, even if only indirectly, and so we become complicit in the atrocities they commit as a result.

If we are serious about banning speech that can promote violence, that is, if we are willing to abandon those principles which we've heretofore held out as what separates western civilization from the rest of the world, then I recommend we look at banning not just one version of history or another but banning history outright.

I say this because when I enumerate the many and varied acts of violence that are inspired by hatred, I find that eachrest at:

<http://holocaustnow.blogspot.com/>

holocaustnow.blogspot.com/

[add your comments](#)

IMC Network: www.indymedia.org **africa:** [ambazonia](#) [canarias](#) [estrecho / madiag](#) [nigeria](#) [south africa](#) **canada:** [hamilton](#) [maritimes](#) [montreal](#) [ontario](#) [ottawa](#) [quebec](#) [thunder bay](#) [vancouver](#) [victoria](#) [windsor](#) [winnipeg](#) **east asia:** [burma](#) [jakarta](#) [japan](#) [manila](#) **gc** **europe:** [alacant](#) [andorra](#) [antwerpen](#) [armenia](#) [athens](#) [austria](#) [barcelona](#) [belarus](#) [belgium](#) [belgrade](#) [bristol](#) [bulgaria](#) [croatia](#) [cyprus](#) [estrecho / madiag](#) [euskal herria](#) [galiza](#) [germany](#) [grenoble](#) [hungary](#) [ireland](#) [istanbul](#) [italy](#) [la plana](#) [liege](#) [lille](#) [madrid](#) [malta](#) [marseille](#) [nantes](#) [netherlands](#) [nice](#) [norway](#) [oost-vlaanderen](#) [paris/ile-de-france](#) [poland](#) [portugal](#) [romania](#) [russia](#) [scotland](#) [sverige](#) [switzerland](#) [thessaloniki](#) [toulouse](#) [ukraine](#) [united kingdom](#) [valencia](#) [west vlaanderen](#) **latin america:** [argentina](#) [bolivia](#) [brasil](#) [chiapas](#) [chile](#) [chile sur](#) [colombia](#) [ecuador](#) [mexico](#) [peru](#) [puerto rico](#) [qollasuyu](#) [rosario](#) [santiago](#) [tijuana](#) [uruguay](#) [valparaiso](#) **oceania:** [adelaide](#) [aotearoa](#) [brisbane](#) [burma](#) [darwin](#) [jakarta](#) [manila](#) [melbourne](#) [perth](#) **gc** [sydney](#) **south asia:** [india](#) [mumbai](#) **united states:** [arizona](#) [arkansas](#) [atlanta](#) [austin](#) [baltimore](#) [big muddy](#) [binghamton](#) [boston](#) [buffalo](#) [charlottesville](#) [chicago](#) [cleveland](#) [colorado](#) [danbury, ct](#) [dc](#) [hawaii](#) [houston](#) [hudson](#) [mohawk](#) [idaho](#) [ithaca](#) [kansas city](#) [la madison](#) [maine](#) [miami](#) [michigan](#) [milwaukee](#) [minneapolis/st. paul](#) [new hampshire](#) [new jersey](#) [new mexico](#) [new orleans](#) [north carolina](#) [north texas](#) [nyc](#) [oklahoma](#) [omaha](#) [philadelphia](#) [pittsburgh](#) [portland](#) [richmond](#) [rochester](#) [roque valley](#) [saint louis](#) [san diego](#) [san francisco](#) [san francisco bay area](#) [santa barbara](#) [santa cruz, ca](#) [seattle](#) [tallahassee-red hills](#) [tampa bay](#) [tennessee](#) [urbana-champaign](#) [utah](#) [vermont](#) [virginia beach](#) [western mass](#) [worcester](#) **west asia:** [armenia](#) [beirut](#) [israel](#) [palestine](#) [ukraine](#) **process:** [discussion](#) [fbi/legal updates](#) [indymedia faq](#) [mailing lists](#) [process & imc docs](#) [tech](#) [volunteer](#) **projects:** [print](#) [radio](#) [satellite](#) [tv](#) [video](#) **regions:** [oceania](#) [united states](#) **topics:** [biotech](#)

© 2000-2003 Thunderbay IMC. Unless otherwise stated by the author, all content is free for non-commercial reuse, reprint, and rebroadcast, on the net and elsewhere. Opinions are those of the contributors and are not necessarily endorsed by the Thunderbay IMC. Running sf-active v0.9 [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)