



Login

user:

pass:

Remember me

[register](#)

RU EN ES

- User Menu**
- Home Page
 - «Mirror of the World»
 - Support the Site
 - Contacts
 - Iraq-War board rules
 - Articles
 - Iraq News
 - Around the World
 - Historical analysis & facts
 - Current analysis
 - Economics
 - Hot News
 - Rankings
 - Forums

RSS feeds

RSS feed for articles and news

Weather

Baghdad
 TU, 05/09
 +40..+42 °C
 W, 6 m/s

Basrah
 TU, 05/09
 +41..+43 °C
 NNW, 6 m/s

Calendar-Filter

< Sep >			< 2006 >			
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Today						

CHECKMATE: Resistance Able to Annihilate US 'Permanent' Bases in Iraq
 By: The UNITED STATES of MONSTERS on: 08.09.2006 [12:44] (724 reads)
 Special Report 8.9.06

(8157 bytes) [c]

"I want to emphasize to the people that there is no military threat in Iraq that can drive us out. We have the best-equipped, best-trained army in the world positioned in the most difficult areas we have to deal with ... They are confident, they are capable, they know what they are doing."
 – Gen. John Abizaid, the commander of US CentCom, 2003

The US Marines Beaten In the Battle For Al-Anbar

There have been some changes in the program since general Abizaid's assertion in late 2003. In 2006 the US Marines are withdrawing from Al-Anbar province, which is soon to be controlled by the Iraqi Resistance: and this time, according to the Pentagon report they are "potent" and "viable". By unity and purpose a determined US counterinsurgency operation to divide them has been defeated.

US, UK Armed Forces Digging In

What will the US do next? All indications (such as this) show that the US Armed Forces are "transfer power to Iraqi", i.e. withdrawing from battlefields and digging in. Currently, the US Army is to:

1. Redeploy the US troops in the permanent military bases around Iraq and puppet the "Iraqi Civil War" from there.
2. Take a defensive stance throughout Iraq and minimize US casualties.
3. Provide training, logistic support and military protection for the Iraqi Army, Security and Police forces.

No other are the intentions of the British, who plan to leave "behind" 4,000 troops for an indefinite period, to "protect the investment" "made by US and British forces."

The US, UK "Embassies" in Iraq

That the meaning of "withdrawing the US-UK troops" is "gradually decreasing, but staying for ever" is especially clear for the Iraqis, for which it means that the true problem of Iraq, occupation keeps plaguing Iraq.

The cornerstones of occupation are the "permanent military bases", huge fortified bunker complexes with barracks for marines and houses for officials, hospitals and and internal transport system protected by US artillery, Air Force, SAMs, concrete blast walls, mercenaries and defense positions.

The emergence of the US superbases next to strategic points in Iraq such as those near Iraqi oil fields and especially the US "Embassy" in Baghdad Green Zone, known as "George W's palace" with five-metre-thick perimeter walls on a 25-hectare site: the only US "reconstruction" project in Iraq that is on track.

On the other hand, the US trains and funds Iraqi Shi'ite "security" forces as admitted by a senior figure in Sadr's Mahdi Army told The Age: "We can get anything we need. We are a professional force."

The US plan, then, is a combination of

1. an updated "Navarre" Plan" (from French Indochina): each US permanent base being an autonomous Dien Bien Phu. The US occupation strategy in Iraq leans to a military and technological advantage of the US Armed Forces: The Resistance does not have enough fire power, troops nor supply structure to overrun a major US base, these being backed by giant US air bases in Qatar and Kuwait.
2. the "counterinsurgency (read: "civil" war)", puppeting the Sunnis (the "Insurgents") and Shias against each other (and so relieving the US troops under pressure.

The US Army has taken the Iraq war through a heavy and serious "Vietnamization". There are already signs that this will not work any better than in Viet Nam: rather than as suggested by Bush at the time: "When they Iraqis stand up, we will stand down", the present procedure is more on the opposite: "When we stand down, the Iraqis (read: "Shias") will stand up."

In such a situation it will be evident to the Shias that the US did not come to fight for them, but to make the Shias protect the US.

No doubt such a realization will give birth to a real Iraqi Shia resistance against the US occupation strong enough to cause serious concern to the US Armed Forces in Iraq.

Behind the US "Vietnamization" plan: flaws in method

The immediate trigger for the US occupation was the fact well-known to the US Generals (including Abizaid), that there was "no military threat i.e. WMDs in Iraq that can drive us out." At the time it was thought that even if the things go wrong (as they went), they can be fixed by puppeting the Iraqi Civil war from the US permanent bases in Iraq (as is now done).

The US Dien Bien Phu strategy is flawed, however. Iraq is contaminated with piles of depleted uranium (DU) oxide in tiny pieces from US-brought DU munitions, which – once shot and hit the target – turn into DU Oxide dust. It has a distinctive, recognizable colour, smell and taste (it's kind of black powder), consisting of pure uranium (U-238), with tiny portions of other deadly ingredients (such as U-234, U-236,...). As this weapon cannot be "disarmed", it kills forever (with a half-life of 4,5 billion years).

Technically, it is the US "strategic holocauster" of Iraq war (i.e. the "Agent Orange" update), no doubt the Weapon of Mass Destruction of the war in Iraq. Practically every US weapon from pistols to tomahawks and "bunker busters" in Iraq is loaded with DU – and so is Iraq.

Due to this, the Iraqi Resistance, like any resistance targeted with DU possesses an unlimited supply of DU Oxide "donated" them by the occupier using DU. In such circumstances any resistance is able gather and recycle DU dust and, say, fill mortar cartridges or "salt" IEDs with it.

By stockpiling mortar rounds enough, a DU Oxide saturation bombardment of an occupier base can be performed at will. With these "permanent" bases can be turned into a "no-go zones", if it goes to that. Facing such an attack the occupier, unable to response otherwise, is forced to withdraw.

Occupation Using DU Is Self-Refuting

It is premature to speculate e.g. whether the Iraqi Resistance already possesses home-made DU Oxide munitions or whether acquiring these has been discussed (and accepted or rejected) in the Resistance HQ as means of neutralization of the US bases.

More relevant than that is the principle: soon after new means of massacring with military technology are adopted, this provides substantially the same armament to those the aggressor planned to annihilate (in comparison, the Viet Cong was often armed with US weapons and ammo in Viet Nam).

In this case, if the technical difficulties (such as assembling "recycled DU ammo") can be – or already are – solved, the old colonial military base strategy (e.g. as Britain ran Egypt and the Suez Canal) becomes out-dated: when the occupier has been forced to withdraw back to its bases, it can be checkmated to choose between a destruction or exit.

Starting a nuclear DU war will end up in confronting DU-armed "nuclear guerrillas", creating a far more dangerous situation ever anticipated the US generals (such as Abizaid): if producing DU ammunitions is given up (as unpractical or unacceptable), the resistances involved will capture – or bribe their way to purchase – large quantities of occupier's DU munitions. After that resistance bullet, mortar rounds and IEDs are DU, and occupier's casualties skyrocket. With that the "good old days" of the occupation are over and done with.

Articles:

US Marines request halt of attacks in Iraqi province

LINK: http://news.monstersandcritics.com/middleeast/article_1196164.php/US_Marines_request_halt_of_attacks_in_Iraqi_province

U.S. Losing Control Fast

LINK: <http://www.uruknet.info/?p=26413>

EXCLUSIVE: BILLION DOLLAR BUNKER

LINK:

http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/tm_objectid=16541084&method=full&siteid=94762&headline=exclusive--billion-dollar-bunker--name_page.html

The U.S. digging in for a long stay in Iraq

LINK: http://www.aljazeera.com/me.asp?service_ID=10349

Bush 'palace' shielded from Iraqi storm

LINK: <http://www.theage.com.au/articles/2006/08/25/1156012740594.html>

UK troops to stay in Iraq 'to protect investment'

LINK: <http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article14671.htm>

LINK: http://theunitedstatesofmonsters.blogspot.com/2006/09/united-states-of-monsters-special_08.html

SENT: by jouna (note active links in the original not included in the text here)

3 comments

<input type="radio"/> Only comments	Comments per page 50 <input type="text"/>
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Article and comments	<input type="button" value="Send"/>

It would be sufficient
by Iranian-Shi'ite on 08.09.2006 [18:27]

It would be sufficient to lay siege to the US bases such that very little gets in or out.

Make the resupply a dangerous task.

Meanwhile, lob in heavy mortars and rockets every day an night at random intervals making sleep impossible.

Also toss in some stink-bombs to make them constantly fear a gas attack.

This will wear them down and exhaust them.

@iranian shiite
by picard-fortune-500 on 08.09.2006 [19:12]

and if the sleeping quarters are several hundred feet underground?

U-238 Munition
by ZAM on 08.09.2006 [20:39]

I think that, those attacks on 'Permanent' Bases begin 15 days ago.

Read the attacks on Camp Anaconda. The problem with the IR attacks is that they can't saturate. To gather 100 mortar or 800 Katyusha or Grad rockets is very difficult and dangerous for its men. The US vigilant system would detect them, easy and quickly

Need best munitions, centuple force, to attack the bases and the logistical chain, both land and air, and to defend the towns of air assaults. The ZUSUK army will go in vengeance against the civil residents in the cities if they get serious attacks. The tragedy is that those attacks are neccessaries.

Barometric shells and rockets are ideal to break all fortress and for difficult cases: Green Zone, hefniium.

The idea to recycle DU and make shells and missiles U-238 is a great "gift" for US, and the lackeys in Qatar and Kuwait and to stop the criminals Iran surrogates.

Page: 1/1

Posting comments:

You should be registered AND logged in user to post comments here.