

Get Your Free Subscription

Submit Content

Site Map

Table of Contents

Rob's Blog

Donate to OpEdNews

 Add RSS Headlines

FREE News Box On Your Website

Writers Archives

Article Archives

## Blogads

Sign The Petition to Stop a War

**Dont Attack Iran .org**

Join Gold Star Families for Peace, CODE PINK, Progressive Democrats of America, Democrats.com, Traprock Peace Center, Global Exchange, Velvet Revolution, Democracy Rising, Truthout, OpEdNews and a rapidly growing coalition. Sign this petition to Bush and Cheney. Help us prevent an attack on Iran.

[Read More...](#)

[Reach Passionate Liberals. Your Ad Here](#)

*Reach Passionate Liberals with your Ad*

**Fight Presidential Puppetry;**

Subject(s): [Bush, G.W.](#), [Bush: Reasons to Dump](#), [Cover-ups](#), [Crime](#), [Executive Power](#), [Impeachment](#), [Lies Bush](#), [Plamegate Investigation](#), [Polls](#), [Public Opinion](#), [Presidency](#)  [Printer-Friendly](#)

Local Area(s): [California](#), [Illinois](#), [Vermont](#)

April 22, 2006

## Bush Impeachment - The Illinois State Legislature is Preparing to Drop a Bombshell

### Utilizing a little known rule of the US House to bring Impeachment charges

by [Steven Leser](#)

<http://www.opednews.com>



Tell a friend about this page. [click here](#)



*Reach Passionate Liberals. Your Ad Here*

StuffTalk.com's Featured Stuff



Fun and unique gadgets, gifts and gizmos. Find stuff for entertainment, home, garden comfort, health, outdoors, kitchen and other stuff that defies categorization. Simply, we're an online magazine about stuff you can call your own.

[Read More...](#)

[Advertise here](#)

## Steven Leser

Ads by Goooooogle



### Contribute by Credit Card to OpEdNews

Have you wanted to Support OpEdNews, but you're an international reader or didn't want to use PayPal or hassle mailing a check?

Now you can use your credit card to securely support our efforts to keep the truth alive.

[Read More...](#)

### [caught on tape]



Some men still haven't learned *their lesson.*

[To Catch A Predator](#)  
NBC Wednesday 9/8c

[Read More...](#)

[Advertise here](#)

**Support  
OpEdNews with  
Your Ad**

[Reach Passionate Liberals.  
Your Ad Here](#)

The Illinois General Assembly is about to rock the nation. Members of state legislatures are normally not considered as having the ability to decide issues with a massive impact to the nation as a whole. Representative Karen A. Yarbrough of Illinois' 7th District is about to shatter that perception forever. Representative Yarbrough stumbled on a little known and never utilized rule of the US House of Representatives, Section 603 of Jefferson's Manual of the Rules of the United States House of Representatives, which allows federal impeachment proceedings to be initiated by joint resolution of a state legislature. From there, Illinois House Joint Resolution 125 (hereafter to be referred to as HJR0125) was born.

Detailing five specific charges against President Bush including one that is specified to be a felony, the complete text of HJR0125 is copied below at the end of this article. One of the interesting points is that one of the items, the one specified as a felony, that the NSA was directed by the President to spy on American citizens without warrant, is not in dispute. That fact should prove an interesting dilemma for a Republican controlled US House that clearly is not only loathe to initiate impeachment proceedings, but does not even want to thoroughly investigate any of the five items brought up by the Illinois Assembly as high crimes and/or misdemeanors. Should HJR0125 be passed by the Illinois General Assembly, the US House will be forced by House Rules to take up the issue of impeachment as a privileged bill, meaning it will take precedence over other House business.

The Illinois General Assembly joins a growing chorus of voices calling for censure or impeachment of President Bush including Democratic state committees in Vermont, Wisconsin, New Mexico, Nevada and North

### Film & TV

#### [Producers Rep](#)

Voted Top Rep by Hollywood Reporter Accepting Submissions 310 276-5007

[www.producersrepresent.com](http://www.producersrepresent.com)

### Chance to Win

#### [\\$1500 Cash](#)

Aussies - Have Your Say While Earning Rewards with Lightspeed [au.lightspeedpanel.com](http://au.lightspeedpanel.com)

### Aussie opinion of Music

Search our archive, submit a poll & earn points to bid for rewards!

[www.WhatDoYouThink.com](http://www.WhatDoYouThink.com)

### Hillary Clinton Store

T shirts, Buttons, Bumper Stickers Hats, Jewelry & More

[www.demstore.com/Hillar](http://www.demstore.com/Hillar)

Advertise on this site

### Blogads

[Reach Passionate Liberals.  
Your Ad Here](#)



[Classics of Liberal Thinking](#)

[Progressive Radio Links](#)

**OpEdNews.com**

**TOP EDITORIALS:**

[Have a Koch and a Smile:](#)

by Jason Miller  
[Response to Osama bin Laden](#)

by Daniel Geery  
[Debate versus Division - The Polarization of America](#)

by Mark Lloyd  
[Ain't Gonna Study War: World Peace Forum 2006](#)

by Joel Wendland  
[Transforming the World into a War-zone](#)

Carolina as well as the residents themselves of seven towns in Vermont, seventy Vermont state legislators and Congressman John Conyers. The call for impeachment is starting to grow well beyond what could be considered a fringe movement. An ABC News/Washington Post Poll Conducted April 6-9 showed that 33% of Americans currently support Impeaching President Bush, coincidentally, only a similar amount supported impeaching Nixon at the start of the Watergate investigation. If and when Illinois HJR0125 hits the capitol and the individual charges are publicly investigated, that number is likely to grow rapidly. Combined with the very real likelihood that Rove is about to be indicted in the LeakGate investigation, and Bush is in real trouble beyond his plummeting poll numbers. His cronies in the Republican dominated congress will probably save him from the embarrassment of an impeachment conviction, for now, but his Presidency will be all but finished.

[Developing News; California Becomes Second State to Introduce Bush Impeachment](#)

[Developing: Vermont lawmakers to call for Bush impeachment](#)

-----  
 HJ0125 LRB094 20306 RLC 58347 r

## Blast the Right

The show that uses facts & logic to kick right-wing butt. Tune in!

[www.therationalradical.co](http://www.therationalradical.co)

m

Advertise on this site

**OpEdNews.com**

by [Mike Whitney](#)  
[Take Heed](#)  
[Americans: Some](#)  
[Words By Bin](#)  
[Laden Should Not](#)  
[Be Ignored](#)  
by [Linda Milazzo](#)  
[Bushism as Greek](#)  
[Drama: "Hubris" &](#)  
["Tragic Flaws"](#)  
by [Bernard Weiner,](#)  
[The Crisis Papers](#)  
[Who has time for](#)  
[such naivete?](#)  
by [Mark A.](#)  
[Goldman](#)  
[Lost: Why I will](#)  
[NOT abandon](#)  
[America](#)  
by [Jane Stillwater](#)  
[Genocide](#)  
by [Norma Sherry](#)  
[THE WAKE-UP CALL](#)  
[ON ENERGY HAS](#)  
[ARRIVED](#)  
by [Randolph T.](#)  
[Holhut](#)  
[Medicines and](#)  
[Healthcare](#)  
[products](#)  
[Regulatory Agency](#)  
by [Robert](#)  
[Fiddaman](#)  
[Dip.Couns MOC &](#)  
[MSFTR](#)  
[BirdBrain](#)

## 1 HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION

2 WHEREAS, Section 603 of Jefferson's Manual of the Rules of  
3 the United States House of Representatives allows federal  
4 impeachment proceedings to be initiated by joint resolution of  
5 a state legislature; and

6 WHEREAS, President Bush has publicly admitted to ordering  
7 the National Security Agency to violate provisions of the 1978  
8 Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, a felony, specifically  
9 authorizing the Agency to spy on American citizens without  
10 warrant; and

11 WHEREAS, Evidence suggests that President Bush authorized  
12 violation of the Torture Convention of the Geneva Conventions,  
13 a treaty regarded a supreme law by the United States  
14 Constitution; and

15 WHEREAS, The Bush Administration has held American  
16 citizens and citizens of other nations as prisoners of war  
17 without charge or trial; and

18 WHEREAS, Evidence suggests that the Bush Administration  
19 has manipulated intelligence for the purpose of initiating a  
20 war against the sovereign nation of Iraq, resulting in the  
21 deaths of large numbers of Iraqi civilians and causing the  
22 United States to incur loss of life, diminished security and  
23 billions of dollars in unnecessary expenses; and

**TOP LIFE NEWS**  
[Joke: Gas Prices](#)  
by [web,](#) the  
[Timestorm](#)  
by [Mark S. Tucke](#)  
[Joke: Through a](#)  
[Child's Eyes](#)  
by [The Web](#)  
[Rock Out Bush:](#)  
[Nonprofit](#)  
[launches music](#)  
[video contest to](#)  
[ignite anti-war](#)  
[sentiment](#)  
by [Ilene Proctor](#)  
[Divine Strake—](#)  
[Find another](#)  
[name . . . or Give](#)  
[It Up](#)  
by [Fritz Rudi](#)  
[Easy Nutrition -](#)  
[Part 5](#)  
by [Mark S. Tucke](#)  
[Joke: Just Like](#)  
[Sheldon](#)  
by [Kall, Rob](#)  
[Joke: Jay Leno or](#)  
[Scott McClellan](#)  
[Leaving](#)  
by [web,](#) the  
[Weekly Music](#)  
[Review III for](#)  
[April 17 - 23](#)  
by [Mark S. Tucke](#)  
[EARTH DAY](#)  
[ANNUAL CHECK](#)

[Watching; Echoes in Empty \(ditto\) Heads:](#)  
by Kall, Rob  
[The Voting Game](#)  
by Becky Burgwin  
[Poor America, Dumb America: It's Time for Solutions](#)  
by Katherine Brengle  
[Handicapping New York's 19th Congressional District Primary Race](#)  
by Larry Sakin  
[The Cutting Edge of Chaos](#)  
by Len Hart  
[War on Terra](#)  
by Robert Wolff  
[There's Only One Scandal: A Message for the Opposition Party to Deliver](#)  
by Andrew Bard Schmookler  
[Push to cut Benefits for Vets who get VA and Social Security Compensation](#)  
by Larry Scott  
[HOSTILE](#)

24 WHEREAS, The Bush Administration leaked classified  
25 national secrets to further a political agenda, exposing an  
26 unknown number of covert U. S. intelligence agents to potential  
27 harm and retribution while simultaneously refusing to  
28 investigate the matter; and

29 WHEREAS, The Republican-controlled Congress has declined

HJ0125 - 2 - LRB094 20306 RLC 58347 r

1 to fully investigate these charges to date; therefore, be it

2 RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE  
3 NINETY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE

4 SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that the General Assembly of the  
5 State of Illinois has good cause to submit charges to the U. S.  
6 House of Representatives under Section 603 that the President  
7 of the United States has willfully violated his Oath of Office  
8 to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United  
9 States; and be it further

10 RESOLVED, That George W. Bush, if found guilty of the  
11 charges contained herein, should be removed from office and  
12 disqualified to hold any other office in the United States.

[UP](#)  
by Allen L Roland  
[From A Mother's Heart](#)  
by Jim Bush  
[Bush and the Queen: Another joke](#)  
by Eslyn Brown, author unknown  
[Weekly Music Review II for April 17 - 23](#)  
by Mark S. Tucke  
[The Suicide Bomber](#)  
by Jim Bush  
[Progressive Rock Progressive Thought - Part 10](#)  
by Mark S. Tucke  
[Joke: The Price of Manhood](#)  
by the web  
[The Support Of Loved Ones](#)  
by Jim Bush  
[Lo and Behold!](#)  
by Jim Bush  
[Generation Gap: Another joke](#)  
by I. Lippitz, author unknown  
[Weekly Music Reviews: April 17 23](#)

[TAKEOVER - THE CORPORATE CONTROL OF SOCIETY AND HUMAN LIFE](#)

by Stephen Lendman  
[Oil Price Gouging and Republican Responsibility](#)  
by Stephen Crockett

Take action -- click here to contact your local newspaper or congress people:

[Support the Impeachment of President Bush](#)

[Click here to see the most recent messages sent to congressional reps and local newspapers](#)

Steven Leser is a freelance journalist specializing in Politics, Science & Health, and Entertainment topics. He has held positions within the Democratic Party including District Chair and Public Relations Chair within county organizations. His coverage of the Ohio Presidential Recount in 2004 was distinguished by actual interviews with Carlo Loparo, spokesperson for the Ohio Secretary of State, along with Supervisors of Elections of several Ohio counties. Similar efforts on other topics to get first hand information from sources separate Mr. Leser from many of his contemporaries.

[Contact Author](#)

[Contact Editor](#)

[View Other Articles by Author](#)

35 comments | [Post A Comment](#)

Comments:  Expand  Shrink  Hide

---

Impeachment

by Mark S. Tucke  
[Easy Nutrition - Part 4](#)

by Mark S. Tucke  
[The Dreams of Youth, The Snakes of Age - / Poem](#)

by Mark S. Tucke

This article describes the latest thought process in a Democratic party totally devoid of any sense of reality. The DNC and its fellow travellers relentlessly rehash baseless allegations and repeat their attacks in their effort to undermine America and its ability to defend democracy and the U.S. in particular. Their aim is a castrated America reduced to the lowest common denominator and embracing the socialist nightmare.

The democrats are "our insurgents" making war on our country and its people. Patriots? They are traitors who will be crushed under the heels of real patriotic Americans.

by **MrT** (0 articles, 1 comments) on Sunday, April 23, 2006 at 7:35:57 AM

---

### **Mr T. or Mr. F?**

I am always amused by people who hide behind fake names, who make remarks like this. Sounds like right out of one of the toxic right wing talk radio idiots mouths-- Michael Savage, Glen Beck, Rush Limbaugh... They are the real traitors.

Rob Kall, editor

by **admin** (146 articles, 23 comments) on Sunday, April 23, 2006 at 7:35:30 PM

---

### **Were Mr T & BushCo classmates?**

At Human Rights Watch, in their Rwanda paper, I took note of the following. Could this Mr T and BushCo have studied under this Mucchielli? I ask, cos it sure sounds like they all learned fearmongering at the same school, as expressed here, in part:

"Genocide: Ideology and Organization--Among the false ideas drawn on by political leaders and propagandists backing Habyarimana were the following:

-Despite the 1959 revolution, Tutsi continued to enjoy higher status and greater wealth than Hutu and were in some way responsible for continuing Hutu poverty.

-Tutsi posed a danger to Hutu, who were always the victims, whether of Tutsi military power or of Tutsi cunning, and so Hutu had a right and a duty to defend themselves.

-From 1990 through the 1994 genocide, propagandists used newspapers and radio to disseminate ideas hostile to the Tutsi. It was particularly the last idea — that Hutu were threatened and had to defend themselves — that proved most successful in mobilizing attacks on Tutsi from 1990 through the 1994 genocide. This idea may have been influenced by a study of propaganda methods.

Among documents found by Human Rights Watch in a government office after the genocide was a set of mimeographs summarizing methods of propaganda as analyzed by French professor, Roger Mucchielli, in a book, "Psychologie de la publicité et de la propagande".

One of the methods described is persuading people that the opponent intends to use terror against them; if this is done successfully, 'honest people' will take whatever measures they think necessary for legitimate self-defense."

<http://hrw.org/backgrounder/africa/rwanda0406/4.htm>

by [Sigrid](#) (0 articles, 1 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 10:53:18 AM

---

### **Gee, wonder if this response full of lies, and Demagoguery**

...could be from a Republican? Has to be since every sentence is a lie or wrong information:

-This article describes the latest thought process in a Democratic party totally devoid of any sense of reality.

It doesn't describe a thought process at all. It describes what is happening in Illinois. A thought process description or position paper is completely different.

- The DNC and its fellow travellers relentlessly rehash baseless allegations and repeat their attacks in their effort to undermine America and its ability to defend democracy and the U.S. in particular.

I'm not a member of the DNC. Most Democrats were completely unaware of the Illinois legislature initiating this action two days ago. It happened completely under the radar screen. I would be interested to hear which allegations you believe are baseless. To be baseless means that they have no truth to them. Most of them are not in dispute. You can argue that they do not constitute a crime, but that is not what you are saying. As with most Republicans, you have little real understanding of most of the words you speak or type. Also, perhaps you could direct me to how any of the allegations pertain to anything that would defend America? You realize that there are secret courts that would legally provide the President, the FBI or other agencies a secret wiretap against those who might be a threat to the country. Doing so without even that formality is a felony and raises questions about whether mere political opponents and not just threats are being monitored.

- Their aim is a castrated America reduced to the lowest common denominator and embracing the socialist nightmare.

Lowest common denominators like torturing people? Lowest common denominators like holding people without a trial? By the way, Democrats are not socialists or communists or any other term of Demagoguery you Republicans like to throw out there. There is a Socialist and Communist party in the US. If that is what I or any other Democrat believed in, that is the party I would join. In fact, the largest socialist institution in the US is the American Military.

- The democrats are "our insurgents" making war on our country and its people.

Examples? Citations? None? Didn't think so.

- Patriots? They are traitors who will be crushed under the heels of real patriotic Americans.

Ah, yes, threats of violence. Who needs elections? Who needs due process? The real question is, do people Republicans like you prefer to be in power prefer to be called Fuhrer or Il Duce?

by [sleser001](#) (23 articles, 30 comments) on Sunday, April 23, 2006 at 8:02:43 AM

---

**hmmm**

I think it is this attitude that only spawns arguments and simply gets NOWHERE:

"...could be from a Republican? Has to be since every sentence is a lie or wrong information"

Yes, because all Republicans seed wrong information and lie. Absolutes like this make me sick. Shut up until you have something realistic to say. This is exactly why so many people hate politics.

by [BruceH](#) (0 articles, 8 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 11:36:44 AM

---

**again**

And, not only is that false, but it doesn't reflect very well on you and your opinions. That you would stoop to saying 'it has to be a republican because he's lying' really speaks volumes about your opinions. Grow up.

by [BruceH](#) (0 articles, 8 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 11:38:30 AM

---

**No, I wont shut up or do anything that you ask.**

If you dont like my opinions or what I write, don't read it. You are free to do that, and you are free to move somewhere where the government censors things you dont like. You are also free to read only websites like Free Republic, which it would seem would be more to your liking.

by [sleser001](#) (23 articles, 30 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 12:32:41 PM

---

**You fell for the bait and switch.**

sleser001,

This is where your train derailed by accepting his re-framing of the argument. I agree with your post, but you allowed yourself to be misdirected right here. It doesn't really matter who wins this argument of logic.

Rather than argue, acquiesce the small point. This ends the debate and your posted comment stands without further obfuscation.

But I can tell you this, there are a million people in Illinois ecstatic to see their State Legislature undertake House Rule 603 legislation.

by [wolverine06](#) (0 articles, 5 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 2:47:05 AM

---

**Thanks Wolverine and you are right of course...**

No Comment Entered

by [sleser001](#) (23 articles, 30 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 3:13:54 PM

---

**More:**

If you re-read my response, it doesn't say "All Republicans" lie and provide false information. Obviously, you never took a class in mathematical logic or philosophy or if you did, you didn't pass it. My assertion is that if you see a post full of lies, deception, demagoguery and devoid of facts and citations it will almost ALWAYS be from a Republican. Democrats, Liberals and Progressives, as a rule, are much more into reading multiple sources and providing links and facts to back up their assertions.

Sure, there are Republicans who don't lie, but you don't see that type of screed like that defecated forth from Mr T from Democrats/Liberals/Progressives. It is routine from the other side however. If you doubt me, I invite you to browse Free Republic, the most popular Republican site on the web, <http://www.freerepublic.com>. After you have read a few threads over there, then come back and tell me I'm wrong.

by [sleser001](#) (23 articles, 30 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 6:38:40 PM

---

### No Subject Entered

Your explanation:

My assertion is that if you see a post full of lies, deception, demagoguery and devoid of facts and citations it will almost ALWAYS be from a Republican.

Original:

...could be from a Republican? Has to be since every sentence is a lie or wrong information:

"HAS TO BE" implies that if it has lies, it must be from a republican. That is very clear. Besides, if you write your articles only for people who "took a class in mathematical logic or philosophy" that is a pretty narrow minded approach. And if it is obvious to you that you can interpret my intelligence from an internet post, you better rethink your views.

I'm sorry if you are embarrassed on your own blog.

by [BruceH](#) (0 articles, 8 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 7:49:06 PM

---

### No apology necessary, I'm not embarrassed...

you didn't understand what I wrote, and now you are justifying your ignorance by suggesting my writing is flawed because I do not cater to the lowest common denominator reader who, like you, does not understand basic logic. And, like a typical troll, you are attempting to hijack the discussion from the actual thrust of the article.

By the way, in case you were about to dispute that this is about basic logic, most high school introduction to logic math classes and some of the easier portions of standardized tests like the SAT contain items like the below:

A statement is a lie. If the statement was a lie then it must have been uttered by a Republican. Assuming this is correct then which of the following is the best answer

- A. All statements uttered by Republicans are always lies
- B. If a statement was the truth, then it could never have been uttered by a Republican
- C. Non Republicans always tell the truth

D. None of the above can be inferred from the passage

The answer is D

Anyone who has taken an IQ test or the SAT, GRE, GMAT, LSAT or other typical standardized tests will recognize this question AND the correct answer. And you think I should be embarrassed? That is funny. Sorry, I'm just not aiming at your kind of reader.

by [sleser001](#) (23 articles, 30 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 11:03:05 PM

---

### **You should be embarrassed.**

You got your own "logic problem" wrong. Look up what a contrapositive is and you'll see that answer C is actually correct. So by your own reasoning you must have never taken any sort of standardized test, because everyone who has should have gotten that right. Riiiiight. I'm always a fan of the liberal "logic".

by [Patrick\\_W](#) (0 articles, 2 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 12:09:46 AM

---

### **No, I didnt get it wrong...**

Yes, C is the contrapositive, but the contrapositive is not proven by what we are given as the statement.

I'll give you points for being a rare Republican that actually looks something up in the attempt to win an argument, but you didnt really understand what you read or you would know that a contrapositive has to be proven in logic in order for it to be "true". A contrapositive is not automatically a true statement.

by [sleser001](#) (23 articles, 30 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 3:12:46 PM

---

### **Contrapositive.**

I don't know what you are talking about, but a contrapositive is always true (assuming that the original statement is true). "Recreations in the Theory of Numbers" by Albert Beiler, on page 45 of the second edition reads:

"In logic, there is a term called an 'implication': 'if p, then q.' ... Finally there is the 'contrapositive': 'if not q, then not p.' This is ALWAYS true."

If you do not have this book, perhaps

<http://regentsprep.org/regents/math/relcond/Lcontrap.htm>, the first link on contrapositive via Google will change your mind:

"An important fact to remember about the contrapositive, is that it always has the SAME truth value as the original conditional statement."

Or <http://planetmath.org/encyclopedia/Contrapostive.html>:

"An implication and its contrapositive are equivalent statements. When proving a theorem, it is often more convenient or more intuitive to prove the contrapositive instead."

Now that we have that settled, lets look at your logic argument:

"If the statement was a lie then it must have been uttered by a Republican."

Refining this to a raw implication of the form "if p then q", we obtain "if 'lie' then 'uttered by a Republican'". The the contrapositive is "if 'not uttered by a Republican' then 'not lie'". Thus "non-Republicans always tell the truth" is the contrapositive and the correct answer.

So yes, you did get it wrong. And yes I do know how to look things up to "win an argument". But no I didn't understand things wrong. It'd be pretty tough to get a degree in discrete mathematics without knowing what a contrapositive is.

by [Patrick\\_W](#) (0 articles, 2 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 10:56:09 PM

---

### Proof

Since the inference is a "some" it is as an (I)proposition as shown in this explanation in wikipedia---> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Contrapositive>

by [sleser001](#) (23 articles, 30 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 5:00:52 PM

---

### Exactly my point.

Exactly my point to begin with.

by [BruceH](#) (0 articles, 8 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 12:24:30 AM

---

## Bush Impeachment

Mr. T:

Have you read the Bill of Rights lately? Better go over it again. We have not only a Right, but a Responsibility to hold our elected officials accountable for their actions. We, The People. We are America. The Bush Administration is not America, and it has misrepresented us. It's time we do something about it!

by [fahreuder](#) (0 articles, 1 comments) on Sunday, April 23, 2006 at 5:17:47 PM

---

### Misrepresented?

You say 'we' have been misrepresented. Last time I checked, elections were based on the majority. Majority rules. Sure, protect minorities, but ultimately the reason our country is headed in this direction is because WE the CITIZENS chose Bush as a leader. Nobody is perfect. Sure, maybe he made a few mistakes, and that is where I agree with you. But to say we have been misrepresented is not correct. Bush doesn't seem to represent the Democratic party interests very well, duh. He's a Republican. What did you expect, honestly?

by [BruceH](#) (0 articles, 8 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 12:06:28 AM

---

### I expected...

...as Al Gore said during his campaign, that the President of the United States is the one politician with the responsibility to represent ALL of the people.

As Clinton did when he vastly reduced the amount of welfare recipients, and put NAFTA in place and said abortion should be Safe, Legal and RARE. Note the emphasis on RARE, which he didnt have to say, had nothing to do with support from the Democratic Base and was an obvious bone to the conservative electorate. Given time, I am sure I could come up with additional examples, those were just off of the top of my head.

by [sleser001](#) (23 articles, 30 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 12:28:37 PM

---

### and

and al gore is expected (of course) to be the expert on the presidency. I'm not saying bush is, i'm just saying your basis is from al gore. not too stable, if you ask me. but thats just me.

by [BruceH](#) (0 articles, 8 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 7:42:04 PM

---

### Expert as opposed to whom?

Bush? That's riotously funny. You? Who argues about the meaning of what I write yet cannot follow basic logic? No wonder you are throwing such a fuss over this article. Brainless

Bush is your kind of guy.

by [sleser001](#) (23 articles, 30 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 11:06:00 PM

---

**again**

i specifically said not bush. read it again.

by [BruceH](#) (0 articles, 8 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 12:26:13 AM

---

**BruceH: Please use facts.**

You sound like a child. Did too! Did not! Did too! Did not!

As for misrepresented, **sorry but 60% say yes**, your 32% is not majority, and 8% are brain dead.

*In the telephone poll of 1,012 adult Americans carried out Friday through Sunday by Opinion Research Corporation for CNN, **32 percent of respondents said they approve of Bush's performance, 60 percent said they disapprove and 8 percent said they do not know.***

As for your highly touted election majority, here are the **facts** about your election majority. **The immaculate election** On page 2, take note the major "Red Shift" in every state. Especially New Hampshire, where exit poll data was off by an impossible 9.5%. You would have a better chance of getting Monica Lewinski to...

Also note that Kerry never tallied over 52% in any state. Hmmm, that's funny since **Clint Curtis** has **signed an affidavit** testifying to creating a 51%-49% vote flip software program. (See page 2, part 7, last two paragraphs) In addition to the affidavit, Clint has **testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee** and also passed a **lie detector test**. You probably didn't hear about these facts either.

**St. Petersburg Times**

*They have seized on an affidavit Curtis wrote Dec. 6 about his allegations of vote fraud. The affidavit was initially published the same day on bradblog.com. On March 3, Curtis passed a lie detector test given by Tim Robinson, retired chief*

*polygraph operator for the Florida Department of Law Enforcement.*

**US CERT Report August 31, 2004** Looks like the Dept of Homeland Security noticed the problem with Diebold Election software prior to the 2004 election too. I guess the news media was just too busy to track this story.

See how much more effective that is BruceH. You should try the same thing with your point of view. I hope you can convince me to see it your way.

by [wolverine06](#) (0 articles, 5 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 2:27:18 AM

---

### More Background

Because of numerous factors, it is highly unlikely that this motion will go anywhere. Still, the idea is a creative one and now, some of the verbiage has been created. Maybe another state legislature will pick it up too. Just having the legislation introduced in multiple states would be a step forward.

Rob Kall, editor, OpEdNews

by [admin](#) (146 articles, 23 comments) on Sunday, April 23, 2006 at 10:30:12 PM

---

### Comments and musings

Hmmm... finally, politicians with great big brass ones willing to do the right thing. Makes me want to move to Illinois...

I did read MrT's comments with great amusement! Classic hallmark of COIntelPro job of "If you can't kill it, divert everyones attention into meaningless diatribes". Thanks for the laugh MrT!

I read an interesting article about a book entitled "Political Pathocracy: A science on the nature of evil adjusted for political purposes". Very well researched and a fascinating read. It is from the same website that created the Pentagon Video. Have a look, [http://www.cassiopaea.org/cass/political\\_ponerology\\_lobaczewski.htm](http://www.cassiopaea.org/cass/political_ponerology_lobaczewski.htm). It certainly describes what is going on in Washington today.

by [stevef](#) (0 articles, 1 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 9:38:35 AM

---

### republican backlash

I'm of the firm opinion that the republicans are just as justified in their indignant anger over this, as I was when they came from both parties after Clinton when he lied about a blow job. Although, I think I was probably a little more furious...nay, livid, to learn that bush admitted to wrong doing on national television, and no one from either party stepped up. We are all entitled to our opinions, but people such as MrT here, really should form an objective opinion before defending someone. I wouldn't want to

defend a guilty man. Please before ranting and raving about what you think is going on, do a little research into it, and form an objective opinion, not a subjective one. You have the right to your opinions, and the right to state them, that's never in question. It's all summed up nicely in: "It is better to keep your mouth shut and be thought of as a fool, than to open it and remove all doubt.". So please, to all americans, lets not get defensive, lets not get hostile, it's pointless. Let us instead come together as one nation united and review the information presented and each of us form a well-rounded, well-informed objective opinion based on fact, and not fantasy.

by [fuzzyoni](#) (0 articles, 1 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 11:35:22 AM

---

**well said**

agreed

by [BruceH](#) (0 articles, 8 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 11:40:46 AM

---

**No Subject Entered**

You guys are nuts, do you really want Cheney to be in charge when Bush is gone?

by [NDace](#) (0 articles, 1 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 3:12:15 PM

---

**Yes**

I want Cheney right where I can see him.

Don't pay no attention to that man behind the curtain.

Toto look what you have done. You have pulled back the curtain and removed the puppetmaster's pet goat.

Now he is in the spotlight and everyone can see his "true character."

by [wolverine06](#) (0 articles, 5 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 1:21:32 AM

---

**Good point, that is why in California's version, they...**

include Cheney in their request for impeachment.

by [sleser001](#) (23 articles, 30 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 6:42:59 PM

---

**No Subject Entered**

MrT is yet another garden-variety fascist who has betrayed the conservative heritage of the Republican party in favor of the fuhrerprinzip. I wonder what his fellow Republican blackshirts and brownshirts will say when their "great leaders" are standing in the dock facing criminal charges?

by [BTP](#) (0 articles, 1 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 8:32:06 PM

---

### Impeachment is a scam

"How quickly the public forget". That is the most truthful statement you will ever read.

Who out there in la-la land can tell me how Bill Clinton escaped his Impeachment?

Answer: Nobody!

Reason: You were not there...George Bush was, and he knows the secret why no U.S. President will ever be Impeached ever again, so he can do what he likes.

So too can the police, and they now know it as well!

However, when you learn what George Bush learned at Clinton's Congressional Hearing, you too will be free.

Bush can in no way be Impeached by anybody, so get over it unless you're prepared to personally punch his lights out!

by [judgeblue](#) (0 articles, 1 comments) on Monday, April 24, 2006 at 11:12:49 PM

---

### How is it energy wasted?!

I must admit that your comment baffles me, how is it that discussing the actions of George Bush be a WASTE OF ENERGY?

We have a right and obligation to speak out when we are being mistreated. I would like for you to expand on this, please, amuse me.

by [faceface](#) (0 articles, 1 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 4:24:51 PM

---

### MrT's Baseless Statements

Your statement own baseless and does not make sense. Can you please clarify and give examples? You will notice that I ask questions and provide evidence to back up my claims. You do nothing to further finding the truth by leveling such vitriolic divisive claims.

...You stated, *"This article describes the latest thought process in a Democratic party totally devoid of any sense of reality."*

Why do you say the Democrats are devoid any sense of reality? What is the reality we are missing? Why are 65% of Americans suffering from the same hallucination? One thing I know for sure is that **these children** had **nothing** to do with 9-11. Innocent women and children maimed and in pain. This is the reality I see. So why don't you go home, turn on your TV, and wrap yourself in the American flag.

...You stated, *"The DNC and its fellow travellers relentlessly rehash baseless allegations and repeat their attacks in their effort to undermine America and its ability to defend democracy and the U.S. in particular."*

How do you know the allegations being considered by the IL legislature is baseless? Who has looked at the evidence? This is what

they want to investigate. **Impeachment is an investigation** into charges to determine if enough evidence warrants an indictment. Only after **a full investigation (See Impeachment)** is complete and an indictment [Articles of Impeachment] are drawn, does the impeachment move to the Senate for trial

...You stated, "*Their aim is a castrated America reduced to the lowest common denominator and embracing the socialist nightmare.*"

What does this sentence mean? I can't really picture what lowest common denominator you are talking about. What is a socialist? Is a social person opposite of an anti-socialist? Are you really saying you are anti-social?

...You stated, "*The democrats are "our insurgents" making war on our country and its people. Patriots? They are traitors who will be crushed under the heels of real patriotic Americans.*"

### **Crushed traitors**

-----

**Gen. Anthony Zinni, Aug. 23, 2002**

*Now that we want to do it, it's the wrong time. He'll drag Israel into the war. The mood on the street is very hostile at this moment. It is the wrong time. You could create a backlash to regimes that are friendly to us. You could create a sense of anti-Arab, anti-Islamic feelings from the West (among people who) misinterpret the attack.*

*We could end up with collateral damage.*

*You could inherit the country of Iraq, if you're willing to do it -- if our economy is so great that you're willing to put billions of dollars into reforming Iraq. If you want to put soldiers that are already stretched so thin all around the world and add them into a security force there forever, like we see in places like the Sinai. If you want to fight with other countries in the region to try to keep Iraq together as Kurds and Shiites try and split off, you're going to have to make a good case for that. And that's what I think has to be done, that's my honest opinion.*

by **wolverine06** (0 articles, 5 comments) on Tuesday, April 25, 2006 at 1:13:08 AM

35 comments | [Login](#)

