

GEORGE WASHINGTON'S BLOG

MONDAY, APRIL 03, 2006

9/11: a 7-Man Job

A common objection to the argument that 9/11 was an inside job is that the conspiracy would be too big to keep quiet. In other words, the argument is that it is impossible that so many people could have kept quiet for so long. SOMEONE would have talked or made a mistake, so that the conspiracy would have been discovered.

Is that true? Maybe.

But anyone who's seen a Tom Clancy or Robert Ludlum movie, or even watched enough Stargate, Star Trek or Alias, knows that a handful of bad guys can pull off big conspiracies, especially when they've got a high-level military or government person on board.

Moreover, to anyone who knows how covert military operations work, it is obvious that segmentation on a "need-to-know basis", along with deference to command hierarchy, means that a couple of top dogs can call the shots and

ABOUT ME



GEORGE
WASHINGTON
Patriot and
leader.

[VIEW MY COMPLETE
PROFILE](#)

LAST 10 POSTS

[Oops](#)

[Clowns to the Left of Me,
Jokers to the Right](#)

[Money](#)

[A Mighty Wind](#)

[Incompetence](#)

[Global Warming: Cold
Facts or Hot Air?](#)

[Boomerang](#)

[Dear Government
Employee](#)

[Sound Bite](#)

[Time for the 9/11
Movement to Grow Up](#)

most people helping won't even know the big picture.

I Can't Hear You

It has now been shown that a handful of people were responsible for willfully ignoring the evidence that Iraq lacked weapons of mass destruction. See, for, example [this article](#). Indeed, Iraq was not the first time the U.S. has ignored or faked intelligence [in order to justify war](#).

The facts are also clear that it was also *obvious* to U.S. intelligence that [9/11 was going to happen on or around the date it happened](#).

So how many people would it have taken to ignore the intelligence that hijackers were going to attack? How hard would it been for a handful of top-level administration officials to stick their fingers in their ears, say "nah nah nah" (like a kid does when they don't want to hear what you're saying), and let it happen?

Its Happened Before

As confirmed by a former Italian Prime Minister, an Italian judge, and the [former head of Italian counterintelligence, NATO, with the help of U.S. and foreign special forces, carried out terror bombings in Italy and blamed the communists, in order to rally people's support for their governments in Europe in their fight against communism](#). As one participant in this formerly-



secret program stated: "You had to attack civilians, people, women, children, innocent people, unknown people far removed from any political game. The reason was quite simple. They were supposed to force these people, the Italian public, to turn to the state to ask for greater security."

In the early 1950s, agents of an Israeli terrorist cell operating in Egypt planted bombs in several buildings, including U.S. diplomatic facilities, then left behind "evidence" implicating the Arabs as the culprits (one of the bombs detonated prematurely, allowing the Egyptians to identify the bombers). Israel's Defense Minister was brought down by the scandal, along with the entire Israeli government. See also [this confirmation](#).

But not in the U.S., right? Well, recently declassified documents show that in the 1960's, the American Joint Chiefs of Staff signed off on a plan to blow up AMERICAN airplanes (using an elaborate plan involving the switching of airplanes), and also to commit terrorist acts on American soil, and then to blame it on the Cubans in order to justify an invasion of Cuba. See [this ABC news report](#), the [official documents](#), and watch [this interview](#) with the former Washington Investigative Producer for ABC's World News Tonight with Peter Jennings (click link entitled "Joint Chiefs Guilty-Northwoods").

But that was a plan that – while it would have

involved a large conspiracy - was never carried out, right? True.

But as shown by [this BBC special](#) (which contains interviews with some of the key players), it is probable that America knew of the Japanese plan to attack Pearl Harbor -- down to the exact date of the attack -- and allowed it to happen to justify America's entry into World War II. See also [this short essay](#) by a [highly-praised historian](#) summarizing some of the key points (the historian, a World War II veteran, actually agreed with this strategy for getting America into the war, and so does not have any axe to grind). According to top WWII scholars, the Pearl Harbor conspiracy involved ***hundreds*** of military personnel. And -- most stunning -- the FDR administration [took numerous affirmative steps to ensure that the Japanese attack would be successful](#)

These are just a few of many examples of what are called "false flag operations", where governments attack their own people or allies -- then blame it on their enemy -- in order to justify a war against that enemy. There are many more examples [from recent history](#).

In all of these cases, more than a handful of people were involved in planning, funding and carrying out the attacks. And yet no one spilled the beans or -- if someone did -- they were not believed.

But 9/11 Was Much Bigger

But 9/11 would have involved a much bigger conspiracy theory, which – unlike the examples above – would have been too big to keep quiet. Right?

Not necessarily.

NATO's Italian terror campaign would have involved quite a few people.

Pearl Harbor, according to top historians, involved *hundreds* of people.

9/11, in contrast, could have involved *fewer* people.

Indeed, one could argue that it involved ONE person. Let's say -- just as an example randomly pulled out of a hat -- Vice President Dick Cheney.

Cheney was apparently in charge of the entire U.S. government's counter-terrorism program prior to 9/11, and in charge of ALL 5 of the [war games which occurred on 9/11](#), and Mr. Cheney also coordinated the government's "response" to the attacks. See [this CNN article](#); and [this essay](#). Being in charge of all counter-terrorism in the U.S., Cheney was probably [the person who moved up major war games](#) so that they would overlap with games and terror drills already planned for 9/11. And see [this interview of the former head of the Star Wars program](#) and a former Air Force

colonel.

And Cheney is the one who monitored flight 77 for many miles as it approached the Pentagon and -- when a military man asked "do the orders still stand?" -- Cheney responded affirmatively:

"The plane is 50 miles out. The plane is 30 miles out." And when it got down to, "The plane is 10 miles out," the young man also said to the vice president, "Do the orders still stand?" And the vice president turned and whipped his neck around and said, "Of course the orders still stand. Have you heard anything to the contrary?" [Watch the video for yourself.](#)

In addition, a former Los Angeles police department investigator, whose newsletter is read by 45 members of congress, both the house and senate intelligence committees, and professors at more than 40 universities around the world, claims that [Cheney was in charge of the entire military and the secret service during the 9/11 attacks, that the secret service has its own communications system which is parallel to and can even cut into normal military communication channels.](#)

Most people acknowledge that Cheney is one of the most powerful vice presidents in history. In addition, Cheney has a long-standing history of falsifying and manipulating facts and taking brutal actions in furtherance of his imperial goals. For example, in the 70's -- [Cheney was](#)

instrumental in generating fake intelligence exaggerating the Soviet threat in order to undermine coexistence between the U.S. and Soviet Union, which conveniently justified huge amounts of cold war spending. See also [this article](#). And the former director of the CIA accused [Cheney of overseeing torture policies](#). Colin Powell's former chief of staff also stated that [Dick Cheney is guilty of war crimes](#). I'm not trying to be long-winded about Mr. Cheney's resume. I'm simply pointing out that Mr. Cheney seems to have the ability to make large U.S. policy decisions and take sweeping actions -- and to order others to do so -- without much problem.

Now, of course, there was probably more than one person involved in this hypothetical example. Mr. Cheney would probably have had 1 guy manning the secret service communications system and another guy sitting at a computer inserting false radar blips onto air traffic controllers' screens. But this demonstrates that you didn't need thousands to pull off 9/11.

Wrecking Crew

Let's take it one step further. How many people would it have taken to demolish World Trade Center buildings 1, 2 and 7 with bombs?
Hundreds? Thousands?

How about 3.

Specifically, there was plenty of opportunity to

plant bombs in the Twin Towers. By way of example only:

Bomb-sniffing dogs were abruptly and inexplicably removed from the Twin Towers in the weeks preceding 9/11

There was a power down in the Twin Towers on the weekend before 9/11, security cameras were shut down, and many workers ran around busily doing things unobserved

The Twin Towers had been evacuated a number of times in the weeks preceding 9/11

And, as an interesting coincidence, a Bush-linked company ran security at the trade centers

So here's a potential scenario:

One guy in the Bush-linked security company let's in the bomb-setters;

A 2-man crew of demolition experts sets the radio-controlled explosives while everyone is out of the building;

And the same crew detonates the explosives using a radio transceiver.

That adds only 3 more guys.

So, we're now up to perhaps 7 people *total* to pull off 9/11 (Cheney, another guy making sure

intelligence warnings aren't acted on, the secret service agent and the the radar guy, the security guy and the two-man demolition crew).

How did they Keep Them Quiet?

Jason Bourne (the fictional character in the Bourne movies) kept people quiet by offering 2 alternatives: He told them that he'd pay them *alot* of money if they cooperated; or he'd kill them if they didn't.

Bourne had his own code of honor and was a good guy. If the 9/11 masterminds wanted to keep people quiet, they would probably be alot more ruthless, as they apparently do not follow Mr. Bourne's code of honor.

Moreover, many of the people who carried out 9/11 probably did so for ideological reasons -- they actually believed that killing 3,000 Americans was justified in a "ends justify the means" way as an excuse to carry out their agenda. Never underestimate the conviction of an ideologue.

These people would not *need* to be quieted. Even after the disastrous wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, torture, spying, etc., they probably still believe they did the right thing by creating the justification for the administration's policies. They would thus have no desire to speak out.

Just because no one you know is this extreme an ideologue doesn't mean people like that don't

exist. There are people who will go to their grave believing that their monstrous crimes were the acts of patriots.

How Many?

Were more than 7 guys involved? Probably. But it could still have involved many less people than were needed to carry out NATO's Italian terror campaign or to hide the fact that an entire *fleet* of Japanese ships was sailing towards Pearl Harbor.

Contrary to popular misperception, conspiracies are not that uncommon. Just because the government tries to claim that conspiracy theories are crazy does not mean that they don't exist.

Indeed, the commonly-parroted statement that there are no conspiracies is propaganda spread to protect the criminals who carry out false flag operations, and just may be the biggest conspiracy of them all.

POSTED BY GEORGE WASHINGTON AT 6:47 PM

8 COMMENTS :

Ringwind said...

Google - "Arrest Bush 41"

Google - 9/11 and October Surprise scandal

10:43 AM

911 Seeker said...

Good post! Thanks.

Check out <http://9eleven.info>

11:28 AM

Sitting-Bull said...

As always, good article.

I want to add that there maybe was another possibility, besides murder co-conspirators or silence them with fear.

A conspiracy of silence by common criminal past.

Just look at all the Bush gov' members.

Bush Crime Line

- Vietnam
- Bay of Pigs
- Chile
- Watergate
- October Surprise
- El Salvador
- Reagan Survives Hinckley and Bush
- NAZI Ethnics for Reagan-Bush
- Voodoo Economics
- INSLAW/Promis
- Haiti
- Iraq-gate / Banca Nazionale del Lavoro arms to Saddam
- BCCI International Money Laundering for Terrorists & Intelligence Community arming Dr

AQ Khan

- Savings & Loan scandal in general and Silverado in particular
- Iran-contra Guns/Drugs/Martial Law
- Gulf War I Gaspie Gives Go-Ahead
- Selection 2000 Shreds US Constitution
- Tax Cuts for UltraRich
- Criminal Justice Department
- Suicidal Environmental Policy
- ENRON Energy Policy
- 9-11 Criminal Negligence, at best; Treason, most likely
- Illegal Iraq Invasion
- Paperless Selection 2004

<http://knowyourbfee.blogspot.com/>

Just because it fits:

In 1992, George H.W. Bush told White House reporter Sarah McClendon: "If the people were to ever find out what we have done, we would be chased down the streets and lynched."

11:30 AM

[michael korn](#) said...

awesome comment. thank you. wish doug thompson would read it. but his eyes are inside his rectum so he cant see anyway...

http://www.capitolhillblue.com/content/2006/03/911_conspiracy_theories_dont_p.htm

elise@capitolhillblue.com

1:19 PM

simuvac said...

Yes, this idea that a conspiracy is impossible to keep under wraps is ridiculous. I think you could focus more on the veil of fear that descended on America in the days following 9/11, reinforced by the Bush/Cheney propaganda machine. People police themselves. Unwitting conspirators might look around and see that the American public would call for their blood if they should come forward and say, "I didn't know it at the time, but I was part of a conspiracy on 9/11." Just look what happened to the FBI whistleblowers. I think the self-imposed silence is more powerful than the threat of being shot by the CIA. Self-subjugation is a powerful thing, and makes conspiracies very possible.

9:25 PM

morgan reynolds said...

For more on managing conspiracies, see my article, "Conspiracy and Closed Minds on 9/11" at <http://nomoregames.net>

6:37 AM

Alan Strangis said...

This is one of your best posts yet. The "massive conspiracy" defense is weak at the best, if it's used by people do dismiss all the other inconsistencies.

6:43 AM

bb said...

Super essay again, GW.

"Self-subjugation" is a great way to think about things, unrelated imagery notwithstanding. See No Evil, Hear No Evil, Speak No Evil. Question nothing, and you may get into heaven.

10:32 AM

[POST A COMMENT](#)

[<< Home](#)