

There are no posts below your current threshold: [Change](#) | [Favorite!](#) | [Print Thread](#)

[USBFOREISRAEL](#)  **US WILL BE BOMBING IRAN FOR ISRAEL SOON** [Post [293966040](#)]
(watcher)
09/13/05 11:41 PM

Category: News & Opinion (General) **Topic:** News & Current Events

Synopsis:

Source: [AL JAZEERA](#)

Published: January 1, 2001 **Author:** Scott Ritter

For Education and Discussion Only. Not for Commercial Use.

See the 'The Iran Trap' article which is linked in the 'Comments' section of the following URL (it conveys that we most likely will be bombing Iran for Israel as well soon like we did in Iraq):

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2005/09/13/michael-kinsley-editoria_n_7284.html

<http://nomorewarforisrael.blogspot.com>

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[SandyUSA](#)
(maverick)
09/14/05 12:03 AM



 **Re: US WILL BE BOMBING IRAN FOR ISRAEL SOON**  [To: [USBFOREISRAEL](#) | Post [293966067](#), reply to [293966040](#)] (Score: 1)

Flag to: USBFOREISRAEL, Archie, laconas, FREE_ZUNDEL_NOW, Kudzu

This has been in the works a long while -- the perpetrators just waiting for the right excuse. Our new US ambassador to the UN Bolton is a prime criminal player in the plans against Iran as this article from 2003 shows:

U.S. official to Israel:
We'll deal with Syria, Iran after Iraq war

(from an Israeli newspaper that often reveals more truth than US media)
<http://www.haaretzdaily.com/hasen/spages/263923.html>

Monday, February 17, 2003 Adar1 15, 5763 Israel Time: 19:57 (GMT+2)

U.S. official to Israel:
We'll deal with Syria, Iran after Iraq war

By Aluf Benn and Sharon Sadeh, Haaretz Correspondents, Haaretz Service and Agencies



U.S. Undersec'y of State John Bolton
Syria and Iran are next.
(Photo: AP)

U.S. Undersecretary of State John Bolton said in meetings with Israeli officials on Monday that he has no doubt America will attack Iraq, and that it will be necessary to deal with threats from Syria, Iran and North Korea afterwards. (maybe not that last part... about North Korea... any time soon)

Bolton, who is undersecretary for arms control and international security, is in Israel for meetings about preventing the spread of weapons of mass destruction.

In a meeting with Bolton on Monday, Prime Minister Ariel Sharon said that Israel is concerned about the security threat posed by Iran. It's important to deal with Iran even while American attention is turned toward Iraq, Sharon said.

Bolton also met with Foreign Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and Housing and Construction Minister Natan Sharansky.

NOTE: Netanyahu and Sharansky along with Sharon are all well known for their avowal of the right of settlement and eventual claim to the West Bank and Gaza as part of Greater Israel -- although Sharon is currently lying that he is willing to enter into a peace process again after Iraq and other Arab nations are vanquished by the U.S. military. Is Bush such a fool, or does he know he is cooperating with these criminals?

The man behind the Bush Zionists in U.S. --
Sharansky in Israel
(who tells Cheney and Wolfowitz what to tell Bush to say)

Date: Fri Jul 5, 2002 10:53 am
Subject: Coincidence? Parts of Bush speech match Sharansky proposal

Washington Post
July 2, 2002
A Sound Bite So Good, the President Wishes He Had Said It
By Dana Milbank

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A11253-2002Jul1.html>

[excerpt of article follows]

Is Natan Sharansky working in the White House speechwriting office?

Sharansky, Israel's housing minister and deputy prime minister, is the former Soviet dissident and head of a right-wing Russian-immigrant party. But by coincidence -- or something more -- the Israeli-Palestinian peace plan Sharansky published in the Jerusalem Post on May 3 sounds a lot like the peace proposal Bush delivered in the Rose Garden on June 24.

"The time has come for new leadership" for the Palestinians, Sharansky wrote. "The

Palestinians must be encouraged to form an open and free society that is not burdened by the fear, hatred, and terror that have been sown in recent years by Arafat and his leadership."

Here's Bush's version: "Peace requires a new and different Palestinian leadership so that a Palestinian state can be born. I call on the Palestinian people to elect new leaders, leaders not compromised by terror."

Sharansky wrote that his seven-point plan "cannot happen overnight" and called for a "three-year transition period."

Bush, in turn, said a final agreement "could be reached within three years from now."

Sharansky envisioned an "international coordinating body" headed by the United States that could, with a Palestinian Administrative Authority, "develop the infrastructure for democratic life among the Palestinians." There would also be an "international economic fund" for industry and infrastructure.

And Bush? "I've asked Secretary Powell to work intensively with Middle Eastern and international leaders to realize the vision of a Palestinian state, focusing them on a comprehensive plan to support Palestinian reform and institution building." The president said the United States would work with the World Bank and international donors on "a major project of economic reform and development."

Finally, Sharansky argued that only a "free and open" society "can serve as a solid guarantee for normal relations between the two peoples." For this reason, "we owe it to ourselves and to our future to help the Palestinians help themselves."




Bush, seven weeks later, submitted that "a stable, peaceful Palestinian state is necessary to achieve the security that Israel longs for." Israel should "take concrete steps to support the emergence of a viable, credible Palestinian state," he added.

The Sharansky and Bush plans are not entirely the same; notably absent from Sharansky's version was Bush's call for Israel to freeze its settlements in Palestinian territory. Still, Sharansky themes began to tumble from the lips of Bush officials.

Speechwriting director Michael Gerson did not return a phone call asking about the coincidence.

[MORE INFO](#)

[Reply](#) | [Rate Post](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:   

 [Favorite!](#) |  [Print Thread](#)

[◀ Previous](#) | [▲ Index](#) | [▶ Next](#) |  Flat |  [Threaded](#)

Jump to

libertyforum.org | [Contact Us](#)

[Ain't Nobody's Business If You Do](#)

[Main Index](#) | [Latest Articles](#) | [Latest Posts](#) | [Self Search](#) | [Search](#) | [Control Panel](#) | [Who's Online](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Logout](#) | [User List](#)

Fair Use Notice

This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We make such material available in an effort to advance awareness and understanding of issues relating to civil rights, economics, individual rights, international affairs, liberty, science & technology, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to

those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes.

For more information please visit: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>.

If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission directly from the copyright owner.