



**Login**

user:

pass:

Remember me

[ register | I forgot my pass ]

RU EN ES

- User Menu**
- Home Page
    - «Mirror of the World»
    - Support the Site
    - Contacts
    - Iraq-War board rules
  - Articles
    - Iraq News
    - Around the World
    - Historical analysis & facts
      - Current analysis
      - Economics
      - Hot News
      - Rankings
  - Forums
  - Image Galleries

## Cindy Sheehan Calls Bush a Terrorist Live on CNN! (updated)

By: various on: 18.08.2005 [16:13 ] (2812 reads)



(1881 bytes)

No trace of this on the CNN website, so independent confirmation would be appreciated. A lot of unconfirmed rumour buzzing around at the moment.

Cartoon from Mike Keefe

This and other cartoons supporting Cindy at [http://www.truthout.org/docs\\_2005/081305X.shtml](http://www.truthout.org/docs_2005/081305X.shtml)

CINDY UNLEASHED: 'THE BIGGEST TERRORIST IN THE WORLD IS GEORGE W. BUSH'

Wed Aug 17 2005 21:51:56 ET

"I guess no one else realizes the significance of this.

This woman was allowed to be interviewed on a live program and without being interrupted define terrorism and call the Bush cabal the worst terrorist organization in the world.

She was very coherent and made perfect sense, basically stating what most of us here already think."

[link](#)

CINDY UNLEASHED: 'THE BIGGEST TERRORIST IN THE WORLD IS GEORGE W. BUSH'

"We are not waging a war on terror in this country. We're waging a war of terror. The biggest terrorist in the world is George W. Bush!"

So declared Cindy Sheehan earlier this year during a rally at San Francisco State University.

## Weather

**Baghdad**  
FR, 19/08  
+45..+47 °C  
NW, 6 m/s

**Basrah**  
FR, 19/08  
+45..+47 °C  
W, 3 m/s

## Calendar-Filter

< Aug > < 2005 >

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			
<b>Today</b>						

Sheehan, who is demanding a second meeting with Bush, stated: "We are waging a nuclear war in Iraq right now. That country is contaminated. It will be contaminated for practically eternity now."

Sheehan unleashed a foul-mouth tirade on April 27, 2005:

"They're a bunch of fucking hypocrites! And we need to, we just need to rise up..." Sheehan said of the Bush administration.

"If George Bush believes his rhetoric and his bullshit, that this is a war for freedom and democracy, that he is spreading freedom and democracy, does he think every person he kills makes Iraq more free?"

"The whole world is damaged. Our humanity is damaged. If he thinks that it's so important for Iraq to have a U.S.-imposed sense of freedom and democracy, then he needs to sign up his two little party-animal girls. They need to go to this war."

"We want our country back and, if we have to impeach everybody from George Bush down to the person who picks up dog shit in Washington, we will impeach all those people."

[link](#)

47 comments

Only comments

Comments per page 50

Article and comments

### and I'd be proud...

by stopwar on 16.08.2005 [10:31 ]

to stand beside her and say the same about Blair. If Bush is a terrorist in that definition, so is brainwashed Tony.

"Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world: indeed it's the only thing that ever does" - Margaret Mead

### Nice article in the Seattle Times

by stopwar on 16.08.2005 [10:59 ]

[link](#)

Looks like the good citizens of the USA could be about to take their country back. PLEASE!!!

PS Then show us how to get rid of Tony Blair!

### Interesting Article...

by stopwar on 16.08.2005 [11:09 ]

about a previous example of USUK involvement in Middle-Eastern terrorism. I thought 1953 was a "quiet revolution", but obviously not...

[link](#)

PS Apologies to Iranian-Shiite - my source was obviously misinformed.

IMHO Eisenhower brought sanity back to US foreign policy, but a Reagan (egged on by Thatcher) and two generations of Bushes seems to have undone all that.

### **LOL**

by Mike-Malaysia on 16.08.2005 [11:42 ]

... PS Then show us how to get rid of Tony Blair!

Amazing. The Brits think they are superior to the Yanks (and thats why the yanks try and do 'posh' accent impressions and call us limeys to try and reduce the superiority gap - lol!) but it looks like the Good citizens of America might beat us to the line.

Actually, whoever wins is irrelevant, someone - ANYONE crossing the line is.

God bless the IR.

### **Also see**

by stopwar on 16.08.2005 [11:53 ]

"Cindy's Victory":

[link](#)

### **How come**

by Mike-Malaysia on 16.08.2005 [11:53 ]

Reg Keys didn't use his aparent linguistic skill to savage Tony bLiar at the last Sedgefield election? Keys could have said sooo much about the utter lies and bLiar and 9-11 and so forth. A perfect opportunity to get the truth out when all British eyes were on him.

Why does it take a poor woman camped out in the dirt to call a spade a spade.

So well done Cindy Sheehan, keppy up the good work and remember to keep working on that 'aopology' to the iraqi people for the suffering your son inflicted on those people while he was alive up until his last fading breath.

### **It's the media, Mike...**

by stopwar on 16.08.2005 [12:01 ]

Reg Keys said plenty, said it very eloquently, and had some quite high profile backers. But the media blanked him.

The great thing about Cindy is that with the "peace camp" thing, it is a human interest story. The other thing, it has to be said, is that plucky women tend to get more publicity in the media than plucky men. Possibly this is what provoked Michael Buerk's "stinging criticism" of the recent BBC:

[link](#)

I think he's going over the top, but he does have a point. If Reg Keys had been a woman, standing against Tony Blair, would the media have focused on him more???

**hummm**

by Mike-Malaysia on 16.08.2005 [12:20]

But the media was broadcasting Keys LIVE when me made his election defeat speech. He could have gone on for a long long time, but not in a boring way, because everyone would have been at the edge of their seats as he spoke.

Feel sorry for ALL the parents whose kids have been killed. In some cases I guess the parents would have been vehemently anti-war but perhaps their kids weren't. Still, an apology (something Keys demanded from Blair) to the people of Iraq, for the murder machine their kids were involved with, would have been a start.

Anyway, all anti-war, anti-lies, anti-fear people should be supported - just like the superb IR.

**stopwar..."Eisenhower brought sanity back to US foreign policy"???**

by .antiestablishmentarianist on 16.08.2005 [16:33]

stopwar..."Eisenhower brought sanity back to US foreign policy"???

Eisenhower is the president who brought covert action into foreign policy. He overthrew the governments of Iran, Guatemala, with hundreds of thousands of murdered civilians resulting, so as to install brutal puppet regimes. He is the President who started wholesale assassinations. Is that what you call sanity?

Under his watch, the CIA also planned an invasion of CUBA which Kennedy inherited. The Bay of Pigs invasion was an Eisenhower planned operation.

Under his watch, Senator Joseph McCarthy's anti-communist witch hunts were conducted, pressuring other Western Nations to do the same.

Since Eisenhower, all Presidents have been the same, starting wars, bombing civilians, assassinating, overthrowing governments. They all work for the Military Industrial Complex, OIL, Multinationals, Banks.

Covert operations:

Eisenhower: Iran, Guatemala, Congo, Cuba, Indonesia;

Kennedy: Cuba, Vietnam, Brazil, Dominican Republic;

Johnson: Indonesia, Vietnam, Dominican Republic, Cuba;

Nixon: Chile; Vietnam, Cambodia, Laos, Cuba;

Ford: Indonesia, Angola;

Carter: Afghanistan, Iran, Nicaragua, Pakistan;

Reagan: El Salvador, Nicaragua, Iraq, Iran, Panama, Grenada, Libya, Honduras, Lebanon, Afghanistan, Pakistan;

Bush: Iraq, Iran, Somalia, Yugoslavia, Bosnia, Pakistan;

Clinton: Bosnia, Iraq, Haiti, Russia, Nicaragua, Congo, Sudan, Pakistan, Yugoslavia;

Bush: Afghanistan, Iraq, Syria, Spain, Turkey, Great Britain, Iran, Haiti, Russia, Yemen, Columbia, Pakistan, Lebanon, Venezuela;

(not a complete list)

Latin America and Africa have been continually assaulted by the USA using covert operations for the last 50 years.

There are no Presidents who are sane regarding foreign policy. The USA's foreign policy is Fascist Imperialism and the Presidents oversee/co-ordinate it.

### **Take a look at this**

by Paperino on 16.08.2005 [18:49 ]

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/4745543.stm>

hmmm... how can it be that mothers are so much better than sons? Is it a generation issue?

### **E-i-s-e-n-h-o-w-e-r**

by USAn on 16.08.2005 [20:21 ]

Note the correct (USAn-ized) spelling of his name.

While Eisenhower he occasionally said reasonable things like his Military-Industrial Complex speech, antiestablish... 's remarks are correct. Good pres-to-pres compilation too!

### **@anti...whatever**

by stopwar on 16.08.2005 [20:23 ]

If my maths is correct, Eisenhower wasn't inaugurated until Feb 1953. The Iran coup, or whatever, was probably well into operation by that time. Under Eisenhower the US kept out of Suez, and started to run foreign policy on a more covert basis - rather than by means of military might. This continued under Kennedy, and that's probably why the Hawks bumped him off (if it wasn't a lone gunman!)

### **stopwar...Eisenhower Doctrine...**

by .antiestablishmentarianist on 16.08.2005 [21:11 ]

Eisenhower Doctrine:

"In January 1957 made a speech in Congress where Eisenhower recommended the use of American forces to protect Middle East states against overt aggression from nations "controlled by international communism". He also urged the provision of economic aid to those countries with anti-communist governments. This new foreign policy became known as the Eisenhower Doctrine."

"In April 1957 help was given to King Hussein who was under threat from left-wing groups in Jordan. The following year, 10,000 marines went to the Lebanon to protect President Camille Chamoun from Muslim extremists. These two cases created a great deal of anti-Americanism in the Middle East and in 1959 it was decided that the Eisenhower Doctrine should be brought to an end."

"a great deal of anti-Americanism in the Middle East"... sound familiar?

The U-2 Incident

After returning home to Moscow, Khrushchev invited Eisenhower to visit the Soviet Union and hold a multilateral summit in Paris the following year. The plans fell apart, however, after the Soviet Union shot down an American U-2 spy plane in 1960. Eisenhower and the U.S. government initially denied the existence of U-2 missions over the Soviet Union, but then the USSR produced the American pilot, whom they had captured alive. Embarrassed, Eisenhower refused to apologize or promise to suspend future spy missions against the USSR. The U-2 incident instantly repolarized the Cold War, reversing the thaw that Khrushchev's visit had brought and forcing the abandonment of the Paris summit.

### Covert Operations

As an alternative, Eisenhower employed the CIA to tackle the specter of Communism in developing countries outside the Soviet Union's immediate sphere of influence. Newly appointed CIA director Allen Dulles (the secretary of state's brother) took enormous liberties in conducting a variety of covert operations. Thousands of CIA operatives were assigned to Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East and attempted to launch coups, assassinate heads of state, arm anti-Communist revolutionaries, spread propaganda, and support despotic pro-American regimes. Eisenhower began to favor using the CIA instead of the military because covert operations didn't attract as much attention and cost much less money.

<http://www.sparknotes.com/history/american/coldwar/section6.rhtml>

### **She will fail**

by indo on 16.08.2005 [21:40 ]

Trust me..

### **We already called**

by indo on 16.08.2005 [21:45 ]

Bush and Blair Terrorist.. for all this time

Nothing's new.

She did not call bush terrorist before because she supported bush and his policy.  
So, She's too late calling that moron terrorist.

### **Someone doesn't like Cindy**

by Tet on 16.08.2005 [22:06 ]

They ran over many of the crosses Cindy's group made and put the names of those you have died in the Iraq War.

<http://198.65.14.85/Art/NewsArt/cindy02/07crossclose.jpg>

[UPDATES ON CINDY SHEEHAN VISIT TO CRAWFORD](#)

Peace.

### **A message to Muslims**

by Iranian-Shi'ite on 17.08.2005 [01:38 ]

To the best of my knowledge, not one single Muslim who has appeared on U.S. or U.K. mainstream television has called Bush a terrorist.

Instead, Muslim leaders have acted apologetically and sycophantishly

The cowardice of the Muslims' leaders is appalling.

### **Cindy Sheehan did the right thing. All war supporters are wrong.**

by Atheist on 17.08.2005 [01:45 ]

Although I am a strong atheist, I sympathize with Cindy Sheehan. I am on her side. I can't believe how much did the run-over incident story disseminate all

over the U.S. It seems like U.S. starts to take Cindy Sheehan seriously.

White crosses at site of anti-war demonstration run down by pickup

CRAWFORD, Texas (AP) - A pickup truck tore through rows of white crosses last night near President Bush's ranch, where a woman has been protesting the Iraq war.

The crosses stretched along the road at the Crawford, Texas, camp, bore the names of fallen U-S soldiers. No one was hurt.

Cindy Sheehan, whose son was killed in Iraq last year, vows to remain in Texas through Bush's August vacation unless he meets with her.

Meanwhile, court documents reveal that Sheehan's husband has filed for divorce in California. The couple were high school sweethearts. But Cindy Sheehan says they separated because of the stress caused by their son's death.

[fox23news.com](http://fox23news.com)

Tension between residents and the groups has been building.

A resident was arrested Monday night after authorities say he ran over hundreds of small wooden crosses bearing names of fallen U.S. soldiers. On Sunday, a nearby landowner fired his shotgun twice into the air, but he was not arrested. No one was hurt in either incident.

[cbsnews.com](http://cbsnews.com)

The protest camp was vandalized Monday night when a pickup truck tore through rows of white crosses, each bearing the name of a member of the U.S. military who died in Iraq. No one was injured.

[theomahachannel.com](http://theomahachannel.com)

The protest camp was vandalized Monday night when a pickup truck tore through rows of white crosses, each bearing the name of a member of the U.S. military who died in Iraq. No one was injured.

[10news.com](http://10news.com)

Rowena Jhant, center in blue shirt, a mother from Waco, Texas, and supporter of President Bush, is flanked by anti-war protesters objecting to her picking up crosses and American flags, part of their makeshift memorial to soldiers killed in Iraq, that had been vandalized the night before when a truck tore through them on the road leading to President Bush's ranch in Crawford, Texas, Tuesday, Aug. 16, 2005. Jhant, who disagrees with the anti-war protesters led by 'peace mom' Cindy Sheehan, said she did not feel it was right to drive by and leave the American flags and crosses on the ground without trying to help repair the destruction. (AP Photo/J. Scott Applewhite)

[news.yahoo.com](http://news.yahoo.com)

The protest camp was vandalized Monday night when a pickup truck tore through rows of white crosses, each bearing the name of a member of the U.S. military who died in Iraq. No one was injured.

[thelouisvillechannel.com](http://thelouisvillechannel.com)

The protest camp was vandalized Monday night when a pickup truck tore through rows of white crosses, each bearing the name of a member of the U.S. military who died in Iraq. No one was injured.

[wsocvtv.com](http://wsocvtv.com)

More information, check on [Yahoo Search: truck tore crosses Sheehan](#)

**Whoopee-Doo!**

by WarehouseEyes on 17.08.2005 [01:59 ]

It's a start. One US person has woken up. A mother. All it took was having her son needlessly killed, to realize it. Only 250 million to go.

**IS**

by indo on 17.08.2005 [02:22 ]

why do you go those puppet moslem leader, have you seen the demonstration around the moslem world?

why do you have to go to moslem leader when you speak about a woman who's grieving on her mother death and called her president a terrorist? not a same association..

by the way those moslem called themselves moslems leaders are actually cowardice. Agree with you 100%

**Cindy Sheehan doesn't go far enough**

by BlackPanther on 17.08.2005 [02:39 ]

If she wants to tell the truth, she should admit that America itself as a nation is a terrorist state, as it has always been since it was spawned 200 years ago by Anglo/European colonizers who committed terrorism against First Nations and African people whom they enslaved and exploited to build their fascistic American "democracy."

Bush is merely the latest figurehead ruler of this terror state.

White Mainstream "antiwar" protestors are motivated by one self-interested and self-serving fear: The American Evil Empire is finally and at long last coming to an end.

These "anti-War" people oppose war because they believe that waging UNILATERAL wars will cause the world to wake up and rebel against American tyranny and terrorism on a global scale, and that the cost FOR THEMSELVES is too great. (All the Iraqis and Afghanis that the USA murdered are an inconvenient afterthought.)

The pro-War people, on the other hand, support war because they believe this is the best way to continue American tyranny and terrorism (what the United Snakes euphemistically calls "freedom" and democracy") against humanity.

Big fucken' difference between these groups.

The REAL ANTIWAR MOVEMENT is not to be found in with any pampered Western protestors, with their ridiculous slogans and street festivals masquerading as protests.

As with most global justice movements, the REAL ANTIWAR MOVEMENT is found in the Third World (i.e. those people on the receiving end of Western and American terrorism).

This means the Iraqi Resistance who are doing more to prevent further Anglo-American aggression against other nations (like Syria, Iran, and North Korea) than any protestor ever did--one dead American nazi at a time.

The IR is not only fighting for themselves; they are literally fighting for humanity itself.

### **Cindy Sheehan is more valuable than the war bigmouths**

by Atheist on 17.08.2005 [02:50 ]

I can see fascinating and great data about U.S.'s history of covert operations. But this is not related to Cindy Sheehan.

Cindy Sheehan, a mother of a deceased soldier, does not know any farther on the military history than someone does but, at least, she certainly does know what to do. We don't need some experts in military history to stop a war. Too many men are already talking his language. Too many details about military operations. It always goes on and on. Nothing changes.

The old details are just replaced by new details, like too many annoying and useless informations about insurgents' performances, equipments, U.S. troops' actions, Iraqi civilians, new military products, Iraqi policemen, location of incidents, and others. I did not see enough hints, discussions, and strategies being built for stopping the fighting for good.

We need to look for a central source which will stop any war forever. To become reality, the men's culture need to be revamped, so they will not constantly toy with regions, districts, boundaries, territories, laws, military machines, dishonesty, propaganda, racial accusations, racial integrations, manipulations, powers, publicity, securities, economics, religions, sanitization (Not enough pictures showing dead and abused bodies), agents, and other ancient methods. Men's personalities, opinions, desires, and attitudes need to be changed, too.

In 21st century, all nations need to make agreement that any male individuals should be sent to receive therapies if they think that the real wars are more exciting, addictive, and challenging than video/computer games, sports, education, spiritual faiths, entertainment (war movies are fine), and peaceful exploration. Otherwise Internet will take care of the criminals leading to a great reduction in the global violence, as long as it is unstoppable.

For now, we need many experts who are implicated in protesting the wars. Cindy Sheehan is one of them. I don't think that she is exploiting her dead son to gain fame at all.

### **One difference between Cindy and us**

by Mike-Malaysia on 17.08.2005 [03:26 ]

is she's getting mass media coverage. We are just in a little quite corner. Hey Cindy, keep working on issuing that apology by the way!

### **Admission**

by Mike-Malaysia on 17.08.2005 [06:28 ]

Cindy has probably done more for the anti-war effort with her recent lonely protests that I have after almost a year on this website.

While I like to think that I've educated some people along the way with articles / posts / e-mails / boycotts / complaints to media, human rights, French and British organisations. I'm pretty sure this one woman (who STILL hasn't apologised for her kids participation in mass murder) has put far more pressure on Bush. We should support her.

Who knows perhaps one day she will apologise. True, her son was the age to take his own actions and responsibility does not lie with the mother, but as far as I know, she has not even acknowledged the pain the Iraqi people have felt. But still, we should support her case, and those like her.

Long live the IR.

### **If I may...**

by Mike-Malaysia on 17.08.2005 [06:57 ]

"Rank" of antiwar effectiveness

1) The gems of the resistance. Those with AK47's shooting the USUK/neoconazonists, those physically fighting against imperialism

- 2) Those that contribute financially, technological etc to those in above, at great risk to themselves.
- 3) The protestors that take physical action in the countries of the aggressor
- 4) The 'peaceful' protestors
- =4) The wordsmiths.
- =4) can go to =2) if effective.

But even the pretzels and the Glen eagles bicycles should be supported for what they do, even though they could be more effective.

### **hadith and interpretation**

by Iranian-Shi'ite on 17.08.2005 [09:51 ]

Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) said that some of the faithful who see an act of oppression will raise their hand against it. Some of the faithful who see an act of oppression will speak out against it. And some of the faithful who see an act of oppression will do nothing about it but they will hate it in their hearts.

And he said that just hating the oppression is the lowest level of faith.

But I want to point out that the lowest level of faith is still a faith. It is not yet so low that it is outside the bounds of faithfulness.

The resistance represents the highest level of faith because they raise their hands against oppression.

Those who speak out represent the second tier of faith.

On the other hand, those who speak with knowledge are exceptions.

The blessed Messenger of Allah said that one drop of ink from a scholar's pen is greater than the blood of a thousand martyrs.

Therefore, those who are unable to physically aid the resistance are obligated to increase their knowledge.

The Quran tells us to speak in the best of ways. This means to speak politely and rationally.

Speaking softly with knowledge is better than shouting with rhetoric. The prophet Moses asked God to allow him to take Aaron with him to the Pharaoh so that Moses could speak better.

Perhaps then it is better that no Muslim has insulted Bush on U.S. television. Moses did not insult the Pharaoh; but he spoke to him reasonably even though the Pharaoh was the worst of oppressors.

So, let us pray to God that we can increase our knowledge of all things and speak more effectively. And by knowledge of all things I mean that we understand the spirit of the law and not just its literal instructions. And by knowledge of all things I also mean the history and science of the worlds.

### **The wordsmiths**

by Mike-Malaysia on 17.08.2005 [10:08 ]

The blessed Messenger of Allah said that one drop of ink from a scholar's pen is greater than the blood of a thousand martyrs.

Was it said what the scribes were writing about? It could have been a call to arms, a vigil in Hyde park, a riot in Los Angles, it could have been a poem, it could have been quotations from the Quran. Any indication as to what the best thing to write is?

Perhaps... just from the heart.

**You see Mike-My...**

by onlyhuman on 17.08.2005 [12:18 ]

thats the problem with moslems, we could argue even about a drop of ink.  
My respect to IR for standing up against USuk pigs. Amen.

**Somethin has started moving in the USA!**

by cm on 17.08.2005 [13:26 ]

The case of Cindy Sheehan is just an incident waiting to happen for some time now.

A lot of people in the USA initially fought this war. Recall the huge demonstrations in New York and San Francisco (by huge I do mean huge) after the "NOT IN OUR NAME" initiative. (that declaration of conscience is very beautifully written and may be found in <http://www.nion.us/NSOC/NION2wsigninfo.htm>). However, gradually the anti-war movement faded in the States.

Cindy Sheehan seems to have magically become a catalyst for a new mobilisation of the american anti-war people. Big demonstrations against Bush are now being prepared nationwide for November 2.

See the declaration (which I also sent to this site--I hope the post it) at <http://www.worldcantwait.org/signcall.html>, where one can also sign, print the call (in .pdf form), and keep informed about the preparations.

**all the mothers and**

by verve on 17.08.2005 [13:37 ]

fathers of dead US grunts from Afghanistan and Iraq should decend on Crawford to support Cindy, that would be roughly 3600 people or less, right?

**@verve**

by cm on 17.08.2005 [13:43 ]

Yes, and increasing everyday, with increasing rates.

Add to this, those dying in hospitals and not being counted as KIA and moreover those wounded. Add their children (those dying are also parents themselves) and add the mental cases among those still being active in Iraq.

Dear Verve, add those that do disagree to this war (and are now more than 50%) and you may start coming closer to the real numbers we will get.

**I'm not argueing**

by Mike-Malaysia on 17.08.2005 [13:45 ]

I am just wondering if there is any guidance on whether ALL written words in oposition to acts of oppression are equally worthy. Surely to know that is the best thing to write is knowledge worth seeking.

At this point, I would speculate that as it is not specific, then ANY written words against it (as long as they are not deliberate falsehoods or other words that transgress Islamic 'Fard') are good.

I do take your point though and it is sad, but that is something to learn from. i.e. follow sincerely what you individually think is that Allah wants. Allahuallam.

**stopwars 100 monkeys**

by Mike-Malaysia on 17.08.2005 [13:55 ]

@ cm - your post is quite profound. Is it just me, or is there a 'vibration' in the air? A vibration suggesting the feeling of a turning point? Perhaps the 100th monkey occurred.?

I'd hate to revisit this post some months on with the status quo, but can anyone else 'feel' it?

**@Mike-Malaysia**

by Tet on 17.08.2005 [15:15 ]

"Perhaps the 100th monkey occurred.?"

We are getting close my friend. Won't be long before we all know how to wash off the dirt to get to the truth of the matter. Another year or so, exponential events are quite remarkable to watch. Peace.

**e^(events)**

by Mike-Malaysia on 17.08.2005 [16:02 ]

Unfortunately the growth in NWO events will probably involve even more and horrific occurrences against their own people in the latest false-flag psy-ops.

Ickes site mentions there's a buzz in the military about many forces leave being cancelled in Sept. Some other have also suggested something is due for August (a window of opportunity). This coupled with Bumsfelt's latest slobbering about Iran means I'm holding my breath.

I feel that any 'turning' point will be heralded by the peak of NWO savagery.

**Thats good enough...**

by onlyhuman on 17.08.2005 [16:03 ]

for me mike-my, about your thought that we should sincerely follow what we think Allah wants. Allahuallam.

**@ Tet...**

by Mike-Malaysia on 17.08.2005 [16:14 ]

*Vice President Dick Cheney's recent request that the military come up with a plan to attack the Iranians – using nuclear weapons – as a response to a terrorist attack in the U.S., should send a good-sized shiver down your spine, dear reader, as it does mine.*

[http://iraqwar.mirror-world.ru/article/60217Bush against the Generals - 60217](http://iraqwar.mirror-world.ru/article/60217Bush%20against%20the%20Generals%20-%2060217)

: (

**@ onlyhuman on 17.08.2005 [16:03 ]**

by Mike-Malaysia on 17.08.2005 [16:16 ]

:)

**Has Anyone noticed???**

by Mike-Malaysia on 17.08.2005 [17:24 ]

That since the HUGE number of questions raised about the beheadings and evidence that the USUK pigs were behind it, you dont see any more of this stuff anymore?

All's quiet on the WESTERN front.

**@Mike-Malaysia**

by Tet on 17.08.2005 [19:37 ]

We are nothing more than a herd of cows. This time the whole herd has their eye on the wolf and there's really nothing the wolf can do anymore without getting himself trampled to death. TWT. Peace.

**@Tet, Mike**

by stopwar on 17.08.2005 [22:23 ]

I've come to this discussion late, so I hope you see my response.

100th monkey? Not yet. But... as something is now obviously building in the USAn psyche, Bush's "advisers" will certainly want a deflection from the protestors at Crawford.

If they are true to form, there'll be another false flag operation followed by an attack on Iran. We'd better be ready for it, hoping (as with Fallujah) that it won't happen.

This time, we need to focus on it not happening a little harder. That means the antiwar movement seizing the initiative with a pre-emptive strike of "NO! TO MILITARY ACTION AGAINST IRAN", before any false flag event can happen.

**@stopwar**

by Tet on 17.08.2005 [23:53 ]

100th monkey. I just had an economics article generate 8,500 hits "Troubles at the House of Rothschild?," I think we're getting real close to the 100th monkey. I think you're right stopwar, we are getting to the point where they can't pull their crap because we are already standing there daring them to do so. Peace.

**@Tet**

by stopwar on 18.08.2005 [00:41 ]

WOW!!! Up to 9200 now. Must read it.

Yes. Agreed about critical mass. But what would be the effect of another 9/11-type happening? Do you think people would see through it this time in spite of the media? If not, we're not there yet.

### **1700 Peace Vigils...**

by stopwar on 18.08.2005 [16:38 ]

across the US in support of Cindy (!)

[link](#)

Maybe that 100th monkey thing HAS been breached. Fingers crossed...

### **Well**

by indo on 18.08.2005 [19:15 ]

@BlackPanther

Agree with you mate..

@IS

unrelated point that you told, WTH the correlation between a false deed with the lowest level of faith. A false intention of deed is still a false deed. Nothing to do with the lowest level of faith. Eventhough you are in the lowest level of faith, you still have to perform the correct deed, and avoid the false one.

Selfish act is a selfish act, it will never change as a good act.

### **Beware of US propaganda**

by indo on 18.08.2005 [21:25 ]

they showed the world that they are sensitive people when they helped "free Ali" meanwhile they killed his families, and destroy his city.

Now they are showing the world, look we, the americans opposed the war, a mother crying for her lost of her son, meanwhile she's not saying about the criminals of her son did.

It seems most of you fall for this cheap propaganda. And support something which you should not support.

If she admitted that her son did crime, if she admitted that US is a terrorist state who killed millions of innocent, then the story would be different..

She is still a soulless selfish b..tch who's crying on her lifeless SOB.

### **Funny how those coward americans quote on cindy**

by indo on 19.08.2005 [04:50 ]

They say that this cindy is very brave.. lol

<http://homepage.mac.com/godofkarma/swimmerfilms/iMovieTheater75.html>

Those americans are the most coward, pathetic human exist on this earth. To show a mother crying, and they call her brave, they should live in Iraq to know what bravery is.

This sheehan thing only showing that these people are selfish, egoistic retard on earth. They were not againts this war at the first place, they supported it, and now when they realize that they are loosing, fear suddenly are shaking their hearts and minds.

This cindy supported the war at the first place, I'll put quote on what she said about his president at the first place

"Sheehan has not gotten her meeting with the president in Texas. Bush's supporters note that he met with her in 2004, and that she had nice things to say

about him at the time. That's half of the story.

Indeed, after that 2004 meeting, Sheehan was quoted as calling Bush sympathetic and sincere, a man of faith. But she also sharply stated that she wasn't happy with the way the war had been handled, and accused Bush of changing his rationale. "

I just want to remind the world, let's not forget about the atrocities these people already done, Bush is responsible, yes, but these people are responsible as well. They were supporting the war, now they want to stop because they do not want to loose more, they scare and they fear.

Let put them to be accounted for justice.

Posting comments:

**You should be registered AND logged in user to post comments here.**



Min	Max
23589	26705





