


There is one post below your current threshold: 0  [Change](#) | [Favorite!](#) | [Print Thread](#)
[whiteaglesoaring](#)   
 (extremist)  
 12/23/05 08:11 AM

**Iran Attack: It's All Part of the New Zionist Century** [ Post [294263754](#) ]

**Category:** News & Opinion (General)   **Topic:** International Affairs

**Synopsis:** Israel demands right to attack Iran, Syria. Zionists outraged Iran would even think to protect itself

**Source:** [Another Day in the Empire](#)
**Published:** December 23, 2005   **Author:** Kurt Nimmo

**For Education and Discussion Only. Not for Commercial Use.**

## Iran Attack: It's All Part of the New Zionist Century



If the Zionist state has its way, Iran will remain in the technological dark ages, or possibly share the stone age fate—as in “bomb them back to the stone age”—of Iraq in the wake of the Straussian neocon invasion. It's not simply Iran's alleged and as of yet unverified nukes, but also its incipient space program. “In October, a Russian rocket carried Iran's first spy satellite, the Sinah-1, into orbit,” reports [al-Jazeera](#). “While Iran's nuclear program is Israel's main concern, its space capabilities are also considered a ‘point of no return’, which determined the actual timing of the Israeli strike. ‘The Iranians’ space program is a matter of deep concern to us,’ said an Israeli defense official. ‘If and when we launch an attack on several Iranian targets, the last thing we need is Iranian early warning received by satellite.’”

In other words, Israel demands the right to attack Iran and Syria and the Zionists are outraged the Iranians or anybody else in the neighborhood would think about protecting themselves. It should also be noted that Iran's spy satellite—or rather early warning satellite—is far less sophisticated than Israeli satellites. “Israel's Ofek-5 spy satellite have been monitoring the activities in Arab countries and Iran since 2002,” explains al-Jazeera.

Naturally, only the Zionists will be allowed to have nukes and advanced satellite technology—and if the Iranians or anybody else in the neighborhood attempts to build nukes or satellites, the Israelis will attack, as they did against Saddam's Osirak nuclear facility near Baghdad in 1981. But then Saddam didn't have an early warning satellite system, as the Iranians now do.

Of course, living so close to Iraq, the Iranians understand well what an attack on their country will mean—a destroyed civilian infrastructure and massive misery for the Iranian people because, as the Zionists have said for decades (although it is relatively unknown in America) the idea is to balkanize the region, break up Muslim states into ineffectual and powerless statelets ruled along ethnic and tribal lines, and—as the Palestinian example demonstrates—make sure pan-Arab nationalism is a dead letter, or rather that the nationalists are dead, due mostly to targeted assassination and intelligence penetration of popular organizations (it can be argued

Hamas is at least partially a Zionist contrivance).

Bombing Muslim countries and inflicting misery on their people is a popular idea in Israel. "A strike against Iran would be popular in Israel, where everyone agrees that Iran cannot be allowed to have the kind of nuclear weapons that Israel itself possesses in such bristling abundance," al-Jazeera continues. "By the late 1990s, the U.S. intelligence community estimated that Israel possessed between 75-130 nuclear weapons, including missiles and bombs, according to the Federation of American Scientists." In short, only Zionists possess the sort of morality (or the Ashkenazi Zionists do) required to have nuclear weapons and, as we know (thanks to a racist, xenophobic, and Zionist biased corporate media), the Arabs and Iranians will launch a nuclear attack against Israel the moment they have functioning nukes and an able delivery system. Never mind that this would be certain suicide for Iran or anybody else in lobbing distance of Israel's nukes.

At least al-Jazeera is partially correct in its estimation:

Only a fool would believe that the Bush administration gave up its ambitions for "full-spectrum dominance" in the Middle East just because Iraq turned into a disaster. To Washington, Iraq has always been a step towards Iran; which was never punished for removing the U.S.'s puppet, the shah, and seizing the American Embassy in Tehran. In fact, Iran is the first step to Washington's ultimate goal; planting U.S.-controlled hands on Middle Eastern and Central Asian oil, thus halting the political rise of China and India, and ensuring a "new American century" of unchallenged profit and privilege. For the elite, of course; as always, those back home have to deal with the bills and the body bags from these war games.

Or rather a "new Zionist century" supported by the usual suspects—multinational corporations (including but hardly limited to Halliburton, Bechtel and the "defense" industry) and also the scurrilous "free trade" neolibers lurking in the "financial community" shadows, ready as always to "invest" in mass murder and unchecked thievery and ferret out gain from misery.

As it now stands, the attack Iran plan is part and parcel of Israeli politics—an increasingly dangerous game of threats and histrionic siren calls between the inheritor of Jabotinsky Likudism, Bibi Netanyahu, and the newly minted "centrist" Ariel Sharon—and there is no certainty Israel will attack Iran's illusory nuke program (code for a larger and more comprehensive attack against Iranian society).

Syria is more "doable" (as the neocons and Likudite Zionists prefer to attack weak adversaries, as they attacked Iraq after more than a decade of debilitating sanctions) and taking out the Ba'athists in Syria may occur before the Straussian-Machiavellian neocons move against Iran. However, as their own "white papers" and public pronouncements indicate, attacking Iran is most certainly part of the diabolical plan and a cornerstone of the New Zionist Century.

besides, the Washington Post is a large corporate newspaper with undoubtedly any number of lawyers on staff.



I believe I can state, without the threat of lawsuit, that Blackwater USA is in the habit of hiring fascist thugs—for instance, Chilean commandos, "many of who had trained under the military government of Augusto Pinochet," according to Blackwater head honcho [Gary Jackson](#)—who have "behaved brutally, with impunity, in Iraq," according to [Michael Ratner](#), president of the Center for Constitutional Rights, and were "deputized by the governor of Louisiana," as [Jeremy Scahill](#) told Amy Goodman, and tasked with "stopping criminals" (a job for the National Guard, but then they were in Iraq), that is to say the desperate thirsty and hungry people of New Orleans in the wake of Hurricane Katrina. I won't say Blackwater participated in the federal confiscation of legal weapons in New Orleans because I have no direct evidence of this and, besides, I can't afford a fancy lawyer.

Finally, although Whitney apparently got some his facts wrong, he is spot on in his characterizations of the Virginia-based mercenary for hire racket: "Blackwater represents the globalization of repression; a free-market progeny that is transforming the people's army into privately-owned militias for multi-national corporations. The deployment of these armed-units is a clear and present danger to both personal liberty and democratic institutions."

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[Ezex](#)  
(truth-seeker)  
12/23/05 01:13 PM

 **Re: Iran Attack: It's All Part of the New Zionist Century**  [ To: [whiteaglesoaring](#) | Post [294264440](#), reply to [294263754](#) ] (Score: 1)

Bombing only half of Iran means total annihilation of Israel state in only one week, even they have great technology, does not mean they have enough (professional operators) left in first couple of days of war, with the also possibilities of that Jews will be forever condemned to kill at least 30 mill Iranians (counter lousy 6 mill Jews in ww II).

Damn thing is all political war...we need to see who is the real target... Russians...?? are my best guess...!

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

 [Favorite!](#) |  [Print Thread](#)

[◀ Previous](#) | [▲ Index](#) | [▶ Next](#) |  Flat |  [Threaded](#)

Jump to | [International Affairs](#)

[libertyforum.org](#) | [Contact Us](#)

[Ain't Nobody's Business If You Do](#)

[Main Index](#) | [Latest Articles](#) | [Latest Posts](#) | [Self Search](#) | [Search](#) | [Control Panel](#) | [Who's Online](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Logout](#) | [User List](#)

## Fair Use Notice

This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We make such material available in an effort to advance awareness and understanding of issues relating to civil rights, economics, individual rights, international affairs, liberty, science & technology, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes.

For more information please visit: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>.

If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission directly from the copyright owner.