



IRAQ



Login

user:

pass:

login

Remember me

register

RU

EN

ES

User Menu

- Home Page
 - «Mirror of the World»
 - Support the Site
 - Contacts
 - Iraq-War board rules
- Articles
 - Iraq News
 - Around the World
 - Historical analysis & facts
 - Current analysis
 - Economics
 - Hot News
 - Rankings
- Forums

RSS feeds

 RSS feed for

Israel is the reason America is at war

By: Thomas R. Ascher (compiled) on: 25.12.2005 [18:22] (336 reads)

Saturday, December 24, 2005 6:59 PM

(15937 bytes) [c]

Why America is at War in Iraq by Robert L. Johnson

George Bush told the American people the reason we had to start a war in Iraq was because Iraq had Weapons of Mass Destruction. In addition, the President said Iraq had ties to Al Qaeda. Both of these assertions are false.

Why then, did the US invade Iraq? It turns out the main reason is Israel. Iraq was a potential threat to the Jewish state. American politicians fear the Israeli lobby, American Israeli Public Affairs Committee (<http://www.aipac.org>). When the Israeli lobby says jump, the politicians fearfully ask, "how high".

In a revealing disclosure, Bush aid and the executive director of the 9/11 commission, Philip Zelikow, openly stated the real reason for the war is Israel's security. Below is a link to the article covering this vital issue, as well as the article itself.
<http://ipsnews.net/interna.asp?idnews=23083>

In addition to Philip Zelikow speaking out about Americans dying for Israel, US Senator Ernest Hollings wrote in an article published in three different newspapers <http://www.thestate.com/mld/thestate/8609339.htm> that Bush started the unnecessary war in Iraq to please America's Jews and the state of Israel. When the Jewish organization, the Anti-Defamation League, told him to apologize, Hollings refused <http://www.thestate.com/mld/thestate/8699824.htm> In fact, the Senator actually said, "You can't have an Israel policy other than what AIPAC gives you around here," <http://www.middleeast.org/launch/redirect.cgi?num=541&a=30> It's very unusual for a US politician to openly tell the truth about Israel's unnatural power over US politicians. Maybe the fact that Hollings is retiring played a part in his decision to be honest. Since he's retiring, AIPAC can't threaten his political career for being honest.

Please make your friends and family aware of why we're sending Americans to die and be wounded in Iraq - "to secure Israel." In addition to sending Americans to die for Israel's security, the politicians are also doing it for oil - oil for Israel! This is shown to be the case by an article by Janes Foreign Report, 4/16/03 http://www.janes.com/regional_news/africa_middle_east/news/fr/fr030416_1_n.shtml For more details about this "revelation", read an article that appeared in the June 2004 issue of THINKonline!, US Military Personnel - Know Why You're Being Sacrificed.

LINK: <http://www.deism.com/IraqwarforIsrael.htm>

Weather

Baghdad
 WE, 28/12
 +12..+14 °C
 NW, 6 m/s

Basrah
 WE, 28/12
 +13..+15 °C
 NW, 6 m/s

Calendar-Filter

< Dec > < 2005 >

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31
Today						

Iraq War Was about Israel, Bush Insider Suggests

Tue Mar 30, 1:05 PM ET

Emad Mekay, Inter Press Service

WASHINGTON, Mar 29 (IPS) - Iraq (news - web sites) under Saddam Hussein (news - web sites) did not pose a threat to the United States but it did to Israel, which is one reason why Washington invaded the Arab country, according to a speech made by a member of a top-level White House intelligence group.

IPS uncovered the remarks by Philip Zelikow, who is now the executive director of the body set up to investigate the terrorist attacks on the United States in September 2001--the 9/11 commission--in which he suggests a prime motive for the invasion just over one year ago was to eliminate a threat to Israel, a staunch U.S. ally in the Middle East.

Zelikow's casting of the attack on Iraq as one launched to protect Israel appears at odds with the public position of President Bush (news - web sites) and his administration, which has never overtly drawn the link between its war on the regime of former president Hussein and its concern for Israel's security.

The administration has instead insisted it launched the war to liberate the Iraqi people, destroy Iraq's weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and to protect the United States.

Zelikow made his statements about "the unstated threat" during his tenure on a highly knowledgeable and well-connected body known as the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board (PFIAB), which reports directly to the president.

He served on the board between 2001 and 2003.

"Why would Iraq attack America or use nuclear weapons against us? I'll tell you what I think the real threat (is) and actually has been since 1990--it's the threat against Israel," Zelikow told a crowd at the University of Virginia on Sep. 10, 2002, speaking on a panel of foreign policy experts assessing the impact of 9/11 and the future of the war on the al-Qaeda terrorist organisation.

"And this is the threat that dare not speak its name, because the Europeans don't care deeply about that threat, I will tell you frankly. And the American government doesn't want to lean too hard on it rhetorically, because it is not a popular sell," said Zelikow.

The statements are the first to surface from a source closely linked to the Bush administration acknowledging that the war, which has so far cost the lives of nearly 600 U.S. troops and thousands of Iraqis, was motivated by Washington's desire to defend the Jewish state.

The administration, which is surrounded by staunch pro-Israel, neo-conservative hawks, is currently fighting an extensive campaign to ward off accusations that it derailed the "war on terrorism" it launched after 9/11 by taking a detour to Iraq, which appears to have posed no direct threat to the United States.

Israel is Washington's biggest ally in the Middle East, receiving annual direct aid of \$3-to-4 billion.

Even though members of the 16-person PFIAB come from outside government, they enjoy the confidence of the president and have access to all information related to foreign intelligence that they need to play their vital advisory role.

Known in intelligence circles as "Piffy-ab," the board is supposed to evaluate the nation's intelligence agencies and probe any mistakes they make.

The unpaid appointees on the board require a security clearance known as "code word" that is higher than top secret.

The national security adviser to former President George H.W. Bush (1989-93) Brent Scowcroft, currently chairs the board in its work overseeing a number of intelligence bodies, including the Central Intelligence Agency (news - web sites) (CIA (news - web sites)), the various military intelligence groups and the Pentagon (news - web sites)'s National Reconnaissance Office.

Neither Scowcroft nor Zelikow returned phone calls or email messages from IPS for this story.

Zelikow has long-established ties to the Bush administration.

Before his appointment to PFIAB in October 2001, he was part of the current president's transition team in January 2001.

In that capacity, Zelikow drafted a memo for National Security Adviser Condoleezza Rice (news - web sites) on reorganising and restructuring the National Security Council (NSC) and prioritising its work.

Richard A. Clarke, who was counter-terrorism coordinator for Bush's predecessor President Bill Clinton (news - web sites) (1993-2001) also worked for Bush senior, and has recently accused the current administration of not heeding his terrorism warnings, said Zelikow was among those he briefed about the urgent threat from al-Qaeda in December 2000.

Rice herself had served in the NSC during the first Bush administration, and subsequently teamed up with Zelikow on a 1995 book about the unification of Germany.

Zelikow had ties with another senior Bush administration official--Robert Zoellick, the current trade representative. The two wrote three books together, including one in 1998 on the United States and the Muslim Middle East.

Aside from his position at the 9/11 commission, Zelikow is now also director of the Miller Centre of Public Affairs and White Burkett Miller Professor of History at the University of Virginia.

His close ties to the administration prompted accusations of a conflict of interest in 2002 from families of victims of the 9/11 attacks, who protested his appointment to the investigative body.

In his university speech, Zelikow, who strongly backed attacking the Iraqi dictator, also explained the threat to Israel by arguing that Baghdad was preparing in 1990-91 to spend huge amounts of "scarce hard currency" to harness "communications against electromagnetic pulse," a side-effect of a nuclear explosion that could sever radio, electronic and electrical communications.

That was "a perfectly absurd expenditure unless you were going to ride out a nuclear exchange--they (Iraqi officials) were not preparing to ride out a nuclear exchange with us. Those were preparations to ride out a nuclear exchange with the Israelis," according to Zelikow.

He also suggested that the danger of biological weapons falling into the hands of the anti-Israeli Islamic Resistance Movement, known by its Arabic acronym Hamas, would threaten Israel rather than the United States, and that those weapons could have been developed to the point where they could deter Washington from attacking Hamas.

"Play out those scenarios," he told his audience, "and I will tell you, people have thought about that, but they are just not talking very much about it."

Don't look at the links between Iraq and al-Qaeda, but then ask yourself the question, 'Gee, is Iraq tied to Hamas and the Palestinian Islamic Jihad and the people who are carrying out suicide bombings in Israel'? Easy question to answer; the evidence is abundant.

To date, the possibility of the United States attacking Iraq to protect Israel has been only timidly raised by some intellectuals and writers, with few public acknowledgements from sources close to the administration.

Analysts who reviewed Zelikow's statements said they are concrete evidence of one factor in the rationale for going to war, which has been hushed up.

"Those of us speaking about it sort of routinely referred to the protection of Israel as a component," said Phyllis Bennis of the Washington-based Institute of Policy Studies. "But this is a very good piece of evidence of that."

Others say the administration should be blamed for not making known to the public its true intentions and real motives for invading Iraq.

"They (the administration) made a decision to invade Iraq, and then started to search for a policy to justify it. It was a decision in search of a policy and because of the odd way they went about it, people are trying to read something into it," said Nathan Brown, professor of political science at George Washington University and an expert on the Middle East.

But he downplayed the Israel link. "In terms of securing Israel, it doesn't make sense to me because the Israelis are probably more concerned about Iran than they were about Iraq in terms of the long-term strategic threat," he said.

Still, Brown says Zelikow's words carried weight.

"Certainly his position would allow him to speak with a little bit more expertise about the thinking of the Bush administration, but it doesn't strike me that he is any more authoritative than Wolfowitz, or Rice or Powell or anybody else. All of them were sort of fishing about for justification for a decision that has already been made," Brown said.

Don't be a traitor to the soldiers and Marines fighting in this kosher war! Let your family, friends, and neighbors know what is really going on!

LINK: <http://www.minfo.gov.ps/reports/english/31-03-04.htm>

Even executive director of 9-11 Commission mentioned that Iraq war was for Israel:

<http://representativepress.blogspot.com/2005/08/philip-zelikow-executive-director-for.html>

US Support of Israel is primary motivation for US terror problem:

<http://representativepress.blogspot.com/2005/08/gorilla-in-room-is-us-support-for.html>

How Israel corrupts/controls the US government and media

<http://www.itszone.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=53>

LINK: <http://www.itszone.co.uk/zone0/viewtopic.php?t=46347>

SENT: by jouna

INFO: The link for the second article was missing, so I inserted it to the original myself.

ADDS:

1. Pentagon/ NSA Spies; NY Times Lies About Israel

LINK: <http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=123&contentid=3080>

2. NSA Snooping And Israeli Connection Questioned

LINK: <http://www.rense.com/general69/nsan.htm>

3. Mossad: The Enemy Within America - And Israel

LINK: <http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=123&contentid=2521>

4. Teresa Heinz Kerry: Bush Too Easy on Iran

LINK: <http://www.newsmax.com/archives/ic/2005/12/22/183108.shtml>

5. Iran condemnation passed

LINK: <http://www.clevelandjewishnews.com/articles/2005/12/23/news/world/riran1223.txt>

QUOTE: "The U.S. Senate passed a resolution condemning anti-Israel statements from Iran's president, but without provisions calling for the Iranian people to exercise self-determination."

6. Neocon optimism on Iraq

LINK: http://news.monstersandcritics.com/northamerica/article_1070734.php/Neocon_optimism_on_Iraq

7. 'Neocons: Greatest Threat America Has Ever Faced'

LINK: <http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=123&contentid=1835>

8. Pro-Israel Group Criticizes White House Policy on Iran

LINK: <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/12/24/AR2005122400636.html>

9. AIPAC slams Bush's Iran policy

LINK: <http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-3189709,00.html>

QUOTE: Pro-Israel lobbying group criticizes Bush administration for reversing stance on Iran's nuclear program, failing to support bringing case before U.N.'s Security Council. New policy is disturbing and dangerous, AIPAC says in letter to Congress

10. Speculations over US attack against Iran

LINK: <http://www.uruknet.info/?p=m18970&l=i&size=1&hd=0>

11. C.I.A. Chief Warns Allies to Prepare for U.S. Bombing of Iran and Syria

LINK: <http://www.pej.org/html/modules.php?op=modload&name=News&file=article&sid=3949&mode=thread&order=0&thold=0>

12. Goss Tells Turks to Prepare for Iran Attack

LINK: <http://kurtnimmo.com/?p=164>

13. Turkey warns Israel on any adventure against Iran

LINK: <http://www.tehrantimes.com/Description.asp?Da=12/24/2005&Cat=2&Num=003>

14. Israel: Good relations with Turkey are a strategic asset

LINK: <http://www.turkishweekly.net/news.php?id=24104>

15. Israel's War Deadline Iran in the Crosshairs

LINK: <http://www.uruknet.com/?p=18965&hd=0&size=1&l=x>

16. U.S. Congress approves \$600 million aid for Israel

LINK: http://www.aljazeera.com/cgi-bin/news_service/middle_east_full_story.asp?service_id=10401

17. Israel ready to strike Iran by end of March

LINK: http://www.libertyforum.org/showflat.php?Cat=&Board=news_international&Number=294265911

18. Constituting Israel

LINK: http://www.boston.com/news/globe/ideas/articles/2005/12/25/constituting_israel/

COMMENTS:

(a) As the United States is losing the war (and the control of the Iraqi puppets), the US itself is being revealed as a puppet itself: the deterring situation is forcing the the real puppet masters, Zionists behind the Neocons puppets to step openly to the stage. A long chain of puppets from Baghdad via US to Israel, indeed. No doubt the weakness of the puppets and the length of the chain is the internal reason to the failure of the war on terror (the external reason being simply that there will always be those who do not fear).

(b) At the present the question is not yet about the Iran war, but whether the Israeli Zionists are able to keep their US puppet in their control. This is why e.g. the heavy martial laws are driven through the US legislation and also the cause for the illegal domestic spying. It is about control, whether the Zionists (through their neocons lackeys) are able to hold their stance as the puppet masters of US – or are some others (like e.g. the people of the United States) able to challenge this? As this is fundamentally connected to the position of the US Armed Forces, there's no way to avoid the solution of the situation.

4 comments

<input type="radio"/> Only comments	Comments per page 50 <input type="text"/>
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Article and comments	<input type="button" value="Send"/>

Add: Did Israel Lead the US into the War on Iraq?

by jouna on 26.12.2005 [02:06]

LINK: www.uruknet.info/?p=m18951&l=i&size=1&hd=0

Israel the cause?

by picard-fortune-500 on 26.12.2005 [02:45]

who would have guessed? Maybe Sharon was blackmailing the homosexuals bush and B'liar.

At any rate the entire war

by picard-fortune-500 on 26.12.2005 [02:52]

has been an abject failure. Any Iraqi government will be unfriendly to israel because of the widespread destruction at the hands of the americans.

@ pf500:

by jouna on 26.12.2005 [12:09]

Yes, it certainly starts looks like it.

This has been a great surprise to me. I'm scientist (a pro), giving some of my time to the Iraq war as my own investigation has been doing very well.

According to my researching position, I've had nothing against the Israel (and in fact I still don't, except that I've been lately finding out, that it is not a country, but a Zionist-led military base and most likely behind the US war in Iraq.

This is certainly bad bad bad thing, the people behind the war are obviously insane, or rather passed too many borders you should not pass in the last five decades.

But there's a bright side here, namely I find it very amusing that the US Govt, the 'Admins' so to say are just admins, nothing more, and nothing compared to the 'Owners'.

Somehow I've got it now all, the situation being that there are two United States nowadays, the United States of America (meaning really nothing, this consisting just the voters there). Then there is the United States of Israel, to which both the democratic and the republican party and all the bureaucratic administration really belong to. I can't explain this, but whenever I think of this I find it most funny (I guess the Americans won't, but it's their choice, actually: either you are a puppet (slave) or you make a revolution.

Actually I don't mind, because the world has changed, indeed: earlier it was actually the money and only that. Now that we all are aware of the limited natural resources, this has come even more important than the money: if you've followed my news wire packages, especially those of US against those of Iran, you'll notice that Iran is doing a lot of business actually, while the US business has been deteriorating to the war. Should the war end (as it will) it's a total catastrophe for them).

Page: 1/1

Posting comments:

You should be registered AND logged in user to post comments here.

 IRAQ BODY COUNT	Min	Max
	27592	31115

	принимает
	WebMoney

	
--	---


