



[Additional Titles](#)

HEIL HITLER! IT HAS BEGUN

By: Devvy
December 1, 2005
NewsWithViews.com

"If we wish to be free, if we mean to preserve inviolate those inestimable privileges for which we have been so long contending, if we mean not basely to abandon the noble struggle in which we have been so long engaged, and which we have pledged ourselves never to abandon until the glorious object of our contest shall be obtained - we must fight!" Patrick Henry

Anyone who doesn't recognize that a police state is being erected right in front of their eyes is either in a state of denial or welcomes a repeat of Nazi Germany under Adolph Hitler. Two months ago a woman named Deborah Davis was reading a book while riding to work on a public bus. When her bus stopped outside the gates of the Denver Federal Center in Lakewood, Colorado (only three miles from my former home in Lakewood), a guard climbed aboard the bus and demanded that all the passengers produce identification. Mrs. Davis didn't bite:

"I told him that I did have identification, but I wasn't going to show it to him," Davis explains. "I knew that I wasn't required by law to show ID and that's why [I decided I wasn't going to](#). The whole thing seemed to be more about compliance than security."

This guard who obviously has no understanding of the U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights, called the federal dragoons who proceeded to drag Mrs. Davis off this public bus, handcuffed her like some criminal, shoved her into the back seat of their Barney Rubble guard car and transported her to a police station within the Federal Center. For all this guard knew, Mrs. Davis could have been going anywhere outside the fencing of the Denver Federal Center (there is a post office just outside the gated entrance on the south side) once she got off the bus. His apprehension of her in my opinion isn't just unlawful detention, but kidnapping. Mrs. Davis' son is in Iraq fighting Bush's war to control the oil in the middle East while his mother is being subjected to the same treatment as those

Other Devy Articles:

[Vote Fraud: What They Aren't Telling You](#)

[Forced Mental Health Screening for Your Children](#)

[How the IRS Lies to Employers About Withholding](#)

[IRS Makes Chilling Proclamation](#)


More Devy Articles:

Anyone who doesn't recognize that a police state is being erected right in front of their eyes is either in a state of denial or welcomes a repeat of Nazi Germany...

Devy's
CD

Simply...
the best!


[Details here](#)



who live in communist countries and did under Hitler's regime.



Mrs. Davis will be arraigned on December 9, 2005, and faces up to 60 days in jail on federal criminal misdemeanor charges. These charges would be that "citizens must, when requested, display Government or other identifying credentials to Federal police officers or other authorized individuals." The second would be that citizens must comply with "the lawful direction of Federal police officers and other authorized individuals."

Open your eyes America. First, Mrs. Davis was on a public bus, she was not on federal property. This guard had no right to demand any American produce papers of any kind whether they are riding a bus or walking.





Second, under the U.S. Constitution, **Congress has the power to make criminal only four types of conduct:** treason, piracies and felonies on the high seas, counterfeiting, and offenses against the laws of nations. Just because Congress has been getting away with passing a zillion laws with all kinds of "offenses" does NOT make it legal. They have only gotten away with it all this time because the American people have refused to hold their elected public servants accountable out of blind loyalty to their damn party. What you are seeing right now in this country is the result of foolish voters. Despite the refusal by the sheeple to boot out rotten politicians, the law is still law:

"The highest law of the land is the Constitution of the United States." Stephen K. Huber, Professor of Law, University of Houston



"The general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The United States Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and any statute must be in agreement with it to be valid. It is impossible for both the Constitution and a law violating it to be valid; one must prevail over the other. The Sixteenth American Jurisprudence, (2nd ed., Section 256), states:

"The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. A void act cannot be legally consistent with a valid one. An unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid law. Indeed, insofar as a statute runs counter to the fundamental law of the land, it is superseded thereby." Dr. Jacques S. Jaikaran, author of *Debt Virus*



The same nonsense is about to be unleashed upon innocent, law abiding citizens in Miami, Florida. The announcement came November 28, 2005: local coppers will "...[stage random shows of force](#) at hotels, banks and other public places to keep terrorists guessing and remind people to be vigilant."

"Deputy Police Chief Frank Fernandez said officers might, for example, surround a bank building, check the IDs of everyone going in and out and hand out leaflets about terror threats. "This is an in-your-face type of strategy. It's letting the terrorists know we are out there," Fernandez said. The operations will keep terrorists off guard, Fernandez said. He said al-Qaida and other terrorist groups plot attacks by putting places under surveillance and watching for flaws and patterns in security.





"People are definitely going to notice it," Fernandez said. "We want that shock. We want that awe. But at the same time, we don't want people to feel their rights are being threatened. We need them to be our eyes and ears."

Deputy Police Chief Frank Fernandez is a fool who should be removed from his job as well as the Mayor and any member of the Miami City Council who approved this BS. First: There is no legal authority for coppers to demand anyone entering or leaving a bank, hotel or other public places "show their papers." The courts have consistently upheld the absolute right of Americans to travel freely without interference or harassment and walking is traveling, just like riding a horse or driving a car.



Second, the CIA's creation called 'al-Qaida' doesn't give a hoot about a bunch of local coppers acting like testosterone pumped goons harassing little old ladies. You just wait until one of these little old ladies is so "shocked and awed" by a sudden show of force, they drop dead from a heart attack or massive stroke. Then the City of Miami will pay dearly.

Walking into or exiting a hotel, bank or "other public places" is a fundamental right and an action freely chosen by an individual; it is not a mandated activity by any federal, state or local law, ordinance or statute. Free Americans have a constitutional right to travel which is protected by the U.S. Constitution; see *Crandall v. Nevada*, 73 U.S. (6 Wall.) 35, 49 (1868) ("We are all citizens of the United States, and as members of the same community must have the right to pass and repass through every part of it without interruption, as freely as in our own states"); *Kent v. Dulles*, 357 U.S. 116, 125, 78 S.Ct. 1113, 1118 (1958) ("The right to travel is a part of the 'liberty' of which the citizen cannot be deprived without the due process of law under the Fifth Amendment"); *United States v. Guest*, 383 U.S. 745, 757, 86 S.Ct. 1170, 1178 (1966) ("The constitutional right to travel from one State to another, and necessarily to use the highways and other instrumentalities of interstate commerce in doing so, occupies a position fundamental to the concept of our Federal Union");

Shapiro v. Thompson, 394 U.S. 618, 629, 89 S.Ct. 1322, 1329 (1969) ("This Court long ago recognized that the nature of our Federal Union and our constitutional concepts of personal liberty unite to require that all citizens be free to travel throughout the length and breadth of our land uninhibited by statutes, rules or regulations which unreasonably burden or restrict this movement") *Dunn v. Blumstein*, 405 U.S. 330, 339, 92 S.Ct. 995, 1001 (1972) ("....since the right to travel was a constitutionally protected right, 'any classification which serves to penalize the exercise of that right unless shown to be necessary to promote a compelling governmental interest, is unconstitutional"); (The Court in *Dunn* also declared that "The right to travel is an 'unconditional personal right, ' a right whose exercise may not be conditioned." *Id.*, at 341); and *Memorial Hospital v. Maricopa County*, 415 U.S. 250, 254, 94 S.Ct. 1076, 1080 (1974) ("The right of interstate travel has repeatedly been recognized as a basic constitutional freedom").

See also *Schachtman v. Dulles* 225 F.2d. 938, 941 (D.C.Cir. 1955) ("The right to travel, to go from place to place as the means of transportation permit, is a natural right subject to the rights of others and to reasonable regulation under law"); *Worthy v. Herter*, 270 F.2d 905, 908 (D.C.Cir. 1959) ("The right to travel is a part of the right to liberty"); *Cole v. Housing Authority of City of Newport*, 435 F.2d 807, 809 (1st Cir.1970) ("...the right to travel is a fundamental personal right that can be impinged only if to do so is necessary to promote a

compelling governmental interest"); *King v. New Rochelle Municipal Housing Authority*, 442 F.2d 646, 648 (2nd Cir. 1971) ("It would be meaningless to describe the right to travel between states as a fundamental precept of personal liberty and not to acknowledge a correlative constitutional right to travel within a state"); and

Demiragh v. DeVos, 476 F.2d 403, 405 (2nd Cir. 1973) ("...the fight to travel...[is] a 'fundamental' one, requiring the showing of a 'compelling state or local interest to warrant its limitation"); *United States v. Davis*, 482 F.2d 893, 912 (9th Cir. 1973) ("....it is firmly settled that freedom to travel at home and abroad without unreasonable governmental restriction is a fundamental constitutional right of every American citizen....At the minimum, governmental restrictions upon freedom to travel are to be weighed against the necessity advanced to justify them, and a restriction that burdens the right to travel 'too broadly and indiscriminately' cannot be sustained"); and *McLellan v. Miss. Power & Light Co.*, 545 F.2d 919, 923 n. 8 (5th Cir. 1977) ("The Constitutional right to travel is 'among the rights and privileges of National citizenship");

Costa v. Bluegrass Turf Service, Inc., 406 F.Supp. 1003, 1007 (E.D.Ken. 1975) ("...pure administrative convenience, standing alone, is an insufficient basis for an enactment which...restricts the right to travel"); *Coolman v. Robinson*, 452 F.Supp. 1324, 1326 (N.D.Ind. 1978) ("The right to travel is a very old and well established constitutional right"); *Tetalman v. Holiday Inn*, 500 F.Supp. 217, 218 (N.D.Ga. 1980) ("the constitutionally protected right to travel...is basically the right to travel unrestricted by unreasonable government interference or regulation"); *Bergman v. United States*, 565 F.Supp. 1353, 1397 (W.D. Mich. 1983) ("The right to travel interstate is a basic, fundamental right under the Constitution, its origins premised upon a variety of constitutional provisions").

This right to travel is also a constitutional right under our state constitution, embodied within its "liberty" provisions; *People v. Olivas* (1976) 17 Cal.3d 235, 131 Cal. Rptr. 55, 551 P.2d 375, 381 (right to travel is a fundamental liberty interested protected by the 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution; further "We conclude that personal liberty is a fundamental interest, second only to life itself, as an interest protected under both the California and United States Constitutions," 551 P.2d at 384); *People v. Horton* (1971) 14 CalApp.3d 930, 92 Ca.Rptr. 666, 668 ("...the right of the citizen to drive on a public street with freedom from police interference...is a fundamental constitutional right").

The Bill of Rights is just that: rights, not privileges and no federal or state agency can violate our rights as reaffirmed by the Bill of Rights. These are rights we are all born with, no government gave them to us nor can they take them away. These precious tenets are the very foundation of our Republic. In *Miller v. U.S.*, 230 F., 2nd 286, 489 the court said: "The claim and exercise of a Constitutional Right cannot be converted into a crime."

The City of Miami has no compelling reason to demand it's citizenry show identification papers while they practice a "show of force" to impress some would be terrorists. If the 80 million gun owners of this country would get off their hands and demand their state legislatures [reconstitute the lawful, constitutionally authorized state militias](#), there would be no need for this ridiculous horse and pony "show of force" in any city in America. As for the question of terrorists, I cannot stress how important it is for the American people to [get all the known](#)

[facts at this time regarding 9/11](#) - the justification for the continued assault on our God given rights by Congress and state and local municipalities.

Jefferson said it perfectly and city fathers, local law enforcement and the federal dragoons need to pay attention:

"Under the law of nature, all men are born free, every one comes into the world with a right to his own person, which includes the liberty of moving and using it at his own will. This is what is called personal liberty, and is given him by the Author of nature, because necessary for his own sustenance." --Thomas Jefferson: Legal Argument, 1770. FE 1:376



We the people are not going to lay down and take this flavor of tyranny. Oh, there will be those who quiver at the very thought of standing up for their rights, but there will always be cowards who want others to fight for their freedom. Mrs. Davis drew her line in the sand and so should the tens of millions of Americans in this country who claim they will fight for their rights. We must let our local, state and federal elected servants hear the roar of NO from coast to coast, border to border.

***Never Miss
Another BIG
Story***

Will you fight by standing your ground or will you surrender yourself to slavery?

I will leave you with these words from real warriors:

"As long as a hundred of us remain alive we will never be subject to tyrannical dominion because it is not for glory or riches or honours that we fight, but for freedom alone which no worthy man loses except with his life." The Declaration of Arbroath 1320

© 2005 Devvy Kidd - All Rights Reserved

[Sign Up For Free E-Mail Alerts](#)

E-Mails are used strictly for NWWs alerts, not for sale

Devvy Kidd authored the booklets, [Why A Bankrupt America](#) and [Blind Loyalty](#), which sold close to 2,000,000 copies. Devvy appears on radio shows all over the country, ran for Congress and is a highly sought after public speaker. Your complimentary copy of the 32-page report may be obtained from [El Dorado Gold](#). Devvy is a contributing writer for www.NewsWithViews.com.

Devvy's website: www.devvvy.com

E-mail is: devvyk@earthlink.net

[Home](#)



**El Dorado
Discount Gold**

(623) 434-3322

(602) 228-8203

harvey@eldoradogold.net