

Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another

Don't Focus on "Evidence," Find Those Who Planted it!

By Impatient

This latest "attack" is supposed to correct some faults in the first without causing further mayhem.

What has given them the most trouble with the first attack? Their choice of patsies.

They assumed that a loose Muslim connection would be enough to persuade everyone that these lads from Leeds were suicide bombers. But rather than clinch it for the planners, it backfired and the most common and reasonable question that everyone has about the suicide bomber fiction was invoked: How could young men who were not religious fanatics, who were educated, decent fellows, with loving families, and bright futures – how could they kill themselves and others?

Another unforeseen problem was the effusive praise coming from [Efraim Halevi](#) that described the London bombings as "near-perfect". Too many people could not get a picture in their minds of four young men with rucksacks able to so perfectly execute simultaneous bombings. It caused doubts that have not been quelled. Too many people saw the hand of the Mossad and its affiliates in the earlier bombing because the four "bombers with rucksacks" did not evoke the necessary sophisticated timing, operational capacity, and scope.

The latest "attack" is supposed to reaffirm that young men with rucksacks are perfectly capable of a simultaneous triggering of devices, a demonstrable fearlessness in the face of death, and a disregard for the lives of others, even women with babies.

Because all four bombs malfunctioned this time round, it tells us that the bombers are not the Mossad or any other intelligence agency because 100% malfunction is very unprofessional, it may mean that their first bombing was just good luck, not expertise.

While the rucksacks in the first bombing have not turned up, this new bombing tells us once again that four young men carried bomb-laden packs aboard the trains and [would have] died when they detonated.

The investigation has not proceeded methodically. The whole question of how the bombing was done and who did it was effectively squelched when they began looking for the needle in the haystack – the CCTV films. Without knowledge of the type of bombs, their power, placement, and detonation, there could be absolutely no reason

to begin looking at CCTV tapes. They could not know what to look for without the certainty that the bombs were carried on, and not placed beforehand.

It is significant that of all the thousands of hours of CCTV tape they have examined, the only tape they seem to have of the young men from Leeds does not come from London at all! In the pictures we have seen the lads are in Luton, 25 minutes away. Unless they can show us the "bombers" going their separate ways and boarding three or four different trains I will not believe that the young men ever made it to London. Seeing them together at Luton means nothing if they cannot be placed getting on the trains at exactly the right time to take them the right distance from King's Cross before they explode.

In the case of the 7/7 bombings, going straightaway to the video tapes was very premature and irrational. It can only mean that they knew what they would find because they had planted the "evidence". It makes no sense to begin looking at thousands of hours of video tape from as far away as Luton without any idea of what you are looking for. What could it be? Rucksacks, packages, briefcases, baby strollers, gym bags? Suspicious looking people of a certain race? And remember that the first story was that at least 24 people had been involved.

What would be the motivation to look at the tapes from Luton? Did they also look at tapes from video cameras at the airports and bus stations? It would seem to be just as reasonable to look at those tapes as looking at Luton. And why is the Luton tape the only tape?

Luton is interesting because an office of ICTS (the Israeli security firm) is about a mile from a Thameslink station. ICTS is actually located in the Luton and Dunstable NHS Hospital. It seems a little odd that this firm that handles security for the Stansted airport would be located at the Hospital.

Just like the problem with 9/11 and Madrid - there were no hijackers and no bombers to film. They tried to get around that on 7/7 by getting some patsies to photograph. They did not leave it to chance, for those picked had to be disposed of as though they had been killed in the blasts.

Never Mind the "Evidence," Who Planted it?

The "investigation" that led to the Leeds four was not a real investigation because the videotape evidence was "planted" and the investigation was "led" to find it. People seem to overlook this fact and assume that there were real clues that led the "investigators" to check the video cameras in Luton. Luton is distant from London and a real investigation would have no more reason to check those cameras than they would the cameras at Stansted or Heathrow or the bus stations or Thameslink stations in other directions. They vaguely justify looking northward and in Leeds because *one* mother called about her missing son - one mother out of 120,000 calls!

Just like the 19 photographs of the suicide hijackers, we never ask where those came from and how the FBI got them. The FBI admits there was not a single piece of paper to indicate the planning or knowledge of 9/11 but we are to believe that pictures of 19 hijackers sort of "turn up" very quickly after 9/11.

But people seem to begin their questions too far down the road, away from the initial and thoroughly incriminating points.

Wherever there is "planted" evidence it means the perpetrators have planted it to divert honest investigators. To investigate the planted evidence as though it is real is to miss the opportunity of catching the fix at the beginning. It is those who plant the evidence who are responsible for the crime.

The real perpetrators give themselves away by going directly to Luton to find their video. What are the chances of that? They went to Luton before there was any

forensic investigation at the bomb sites. They were off to Luton even before they had removed all the body parts from the blasts - before they had any evidence that rucksacks were used to bring the bombs onto the trains - they were on their way to Luton to find the pictures of the lads carrying rucksacks and they found them.

From posts by Impatient [here](#), [here](#) and from private messages. Headline by Cyte

The fix has already been messed up by an assassination. What are they going to do to fix the fix? Maybe the Mossad has become senile. Thank God.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 12:19 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another**  [To: [cyte](#) | Post [293810075](#), reply to [293810065](#)] (Score: 2)

On the Murder of Uninvolved Patsies



According to the BBC, [eyewitness Mark Whitby \(video available\)](#) said, "He [Pakistani] looked absolutely petrified and then he...half tripped and was half pushed to the floor and the policeman nearest to me had the black automatic pistol in his left hand. **He held it down to the guy and unloaded five shots into him.** "

Comments:

Rearguard: I find it all so funny how none of the terrorists EVER go to trial, and that most of them simply die either by blowing themselves up (preferred method of disposal) or by an idiotic shooting such as this one.

Impatient: This is the beauty of suicide bombing, and a major reason for its exponential increase in the past five years. The dead man verifies his guilt and there is no need for a trial or even an investigation.

Live suspects, especially those in custody always present unwieldy and refractory problems: The two Muslims put on trial in Germany for 9/11 had to be let go because the US refused to produce the exculpatory witness Ramzi bin al-Shibh. Bin al-Shibh is the same fellow Massaoui asked for in his defense, the one US not only refused to produce, but refused to have deposed.

[Lotfi Raissi](#), an Algerian pilot, was arrested and held without bail in London as a suspect in 9/11. He was held until February of 2002 on charges that he trained some of the 9/11 hijackers. Again, the US could not provide to the British court any evidence to connect Mr. Raissi to 9/11. They were counting on British compliance with the US demand for extradition of Mr. Raissi, but the British judge was unbelieving and outraged at the chutzpah of asking for extradition on the basis of Raissi's failure to report a tennis injury on his application for a pilot's license! Raissi sobbed in relief when he was finally let go; his wife Sonia was more composed and calmly pointed out that her husband was hardly a Muslim fundamentalist as he had married her--an exotic dancer and a French Catholic! At worst this was an

embarrassment to the US, but the US is not easily embarrassed.

And the most recent example of the difficulties presented by live patsies: When Mark Whitby described the shooting of the Asian on a northbound train out of Stockwell yesterday; he described the killers as plainclothes policemen. He said he never did see any uniformed police in connection with the chase and shooting of the young man. Did he simply assume that the shooter was a policeman? How does one identify "plainclothes" police in such a situation? Is it customary to fire five shots from an automatic weapon into a man being held on the ground? *Or did this practice begin when they realized that Lee Harvey Oswald had to be silenced after blurring out on TV that he was just a patsy?*

These loose ends are difficult to handle. The Pakistani yesterday had to be peremptorily silenced, the Mossad and their affiliates having learned that lesson years ago. But the self-destructing patsy is a great improvement over sending the local Jewish hood to shoot the guy on live TV, or even this latest nerve-racking chase onto the subway car before silence could be guaranteed. The self-destructing patsy, just like the suicide bomber is a wondrous innovation, indeed.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 12:19 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** [To: [cyte](#) | Post [293810080](#),
reply to [293810075](#)] (Score: 2)

Flag to: videoman, AmericanWoman, BlackVeil, AGAviator, ansar, Desertgirl, ExiledinTaiwan, Kosciusko, nimblebunny, The_Janissary, madrussian, DocLady, Randal, Prevail, Comet_Sense, Pariahville, plusones, randge, Jonas, INRI

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 12:19 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** [To: [cyte](#) | Post [293810082](#),
reply to [293810080](#)] (Score: 2)

Flag to: raydequenne, Gleiwitz, CubicleGuy, NewsWatcher, themalcontent, Ciceros_Son, Zviadist, DreamWeaver, Shez, askel5, zog, hamzat, homer, Brigadier_UK, Hezbollah, chump, VictorP, SinTex, Ellis_Wyatt, Akido, Laws

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 12:20 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** [To: [cyte](#) | Post [293810085](#),
reply to [293810082](#)] (Score: 2)

Flag to: Rebelx, pokerkidz, America, whiteaglesoaring, Clayman, bobbySophistry, rearguard, impatient, babbitt

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[The_Skunk](#)
(Son of Liberty)
07/22/05 01:59 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another**  [To: [cyte](#) | Post [293810398](#), reply to [293810085](#)] (Score: 1)

Amazing

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[The_Skunk](#)
(Son of Liberty)
07/22/05 02:05 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another**  [To: [The_Skunk](#) | Post [293810412](#), reply to [293810398](#)] (Score: 1)

The truth on the London bomb



[Click for the ever evolving story](#)

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 02:07 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** [To: [The_Skunk](#) | Post [293810416](#), reply to [293810398](#)] (Score: 2)

It looks like zionists do not have complete control of the BBC. But the Kidon are now licensed to kill in London.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[DreamWeaver](#)
(rebel)
07/22/05 03:50 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** [To: [cyte](#) | Post [293810658](#), reply to [293810416](#)] (Score: 2)

Soooooo many good points and unanswered questions..Do ya really think the "truth " will come out " ?

In the absence of justice, what is sovereignty but organized robbery?
Saint Augustine

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 03:52 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** [To: [DreamWeaver](#) | Post [293810663](#), reply to [293810658](#)] (Score: 2)

I do.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[Elefantstone](#)
(radical)
07/22/05 03:58 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** **NEW** [To: [cyte](#) | Post [293810679](#), reply to [293810416](#)] (Score: 1)

But the Kidon are now licensed to kill in London.

My thoughts exactly, this seems like justice Israeli style.
Is there any info on the trigger men ?

Revolution cannot be advanced softly, gradually, carefully, considerably, respectfully, politely, plainly and modestly.



Mao Tse-Tung

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[RKS](#)
(radical)
07/22/05 04:03 PM



 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another**  [To: [DreamWeaver](#) | Post [293810690](#), reply to [293810658](#)] (Score: 1)

Nope.

Hours later, police evacuated Luton railway station and car park to recover a vehicle suspected of being linked with the terrorist attacks. The car was blown up in two controlled explosions.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 04:09 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** [To: [RKS](#) | Post [293810700](#), reply to [293810690](#)] (Score: 2)

Flag to: RKS, DreamWeaver

The Brits will have to pull the truth out. I think Americans are also now under "peer pressure" to do a better job at pulling the truth out.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[seraphina](#)
(nonconformist)
07/22/05 04:10 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another**  [To: [cyte](#) | Post [293810701](#), reply to [293810075](#)] (Score: 1)

cyte wrote:

Quote:

"He [Pakistani] looked absolutely petrified and then he...half tripped and was half pushed to the floor and the policeman nearest to me had the black automatic pistol in his left hand. He held it down to the guy and unloaded five shots into him. "

The Crown officers who pinned this Pakistani to the floor were dressed in plain clothes. I bet they didn't formally introduce themselves to the victim. Their first action was to wave automatic weapons in the man's face. He took them for gangsters and ran. They chased. They apprehended him, restrained him and shot him repeatedly in the face until he slumped to his death.

Blair's shoot-to-kill policy is kicked into action. Don't doubt it! The propaganda initiative is off to a flying start.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 04:54 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** [To: [seraphina](#) | Post [293810803](#), reply to [293810701](#)] (Score: 2)

It was cold in the morning, which might also explain the thick coat (either that or they made him wear it). They shot him at about 10:00 a.m. He was probably picked at random, well he was big and so there is the overpowering excuse.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[impatient](#)
(rebel)
07/22/05 05:19 PM



Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another [To: [seraphina](#) | Post [293810857](#), reply to [293810701](#)] (Score: 2)

Quote:

The Crown officers who pinned this Pakistani to the floor were dressed in plain clothes. I bet they didn't formally introduce themselves to the victim. Their first action was to wave automatic weapons in the man's face. He took them for gangsters and ran. They chased. They apprehended him, restrained him and shot him repeatedly in the face until he slumped to his death.

How do we know if they were really officers? It would seem that pumping a fellow with five bullets while he is down on the floor (as related by eye witness Whitby) is somewhat outside standard procedure. If the hapless Pakistani took them for gangsters, he was probably correct.

The ceremony of innocence is drowned;
The best lack all conviction, while the worst
Are full of passionate intensity.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:

[impatient](#)
(rebel)
07/22/05 06:10 PM



Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another [To: [cyte](#) | Post [293810978](#), reply to [293810075](#)] (Score: 2)

Flag to: grep14w, Mariner, thomaspain, Medieval, petewagner33, BinkyClowner, Glyndwr, ResearchGuy, tinymind, goplus34, process, Polak, ExiledInTaiwan, sambooch, Elefantstone, Paloverde, saggy, neil

FYI

The ceremony of innocence is drowned;
The best lack all conviction, while the worst
Are full of passionate intensity.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:

[Elefantstone](#)
(radical)
07/22/05 06:17 PM

Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another [To: [impatient](#) | Post [293811003](#), reply to [293810857](#)] (Score: 2, Interesting)

It would seem that pumping a fellow with five bullets while he is down on the floor (as related by eye witness Whitby) is somewhat outside standard procedure.

Especially if said fellow is meant to be carrying explosives.

Revolution cannot be advanced softly, gradually, carefully, considerately, respectfully, politely, plainly and modestly.
Mao Tse-Tung

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 06:23 PM

Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another [To: [seraphina](#) | Post [293811021](#), reply to [293810701](#)] (Score: 2)

How did the Brits handle government atrocities during the IRA era? Did they let the government get away with everything they wanted?

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 06:24 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** [To: [impatient](#) | Post [293811029](#),
reply to [293810857](#)] (Score: 2)

If the hapless Pakistani took them for gangsters, he was probably correct.

Israeli contractors?

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[thomaspain](#)
(extremist)
07/22/05 06:27 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** **NEW** [To: [RKS](#) | Post
[293811037](#), reply to [293810690](#)] (Score: 1)

Quote:

Hours later, police evacuated Luton railway station and car park to recover a vehicle suspected of being linked with the terrorist attacks. The car was blown up in two controlled explosions.

Was this done to prevent the gathering of fingerprint and other evidence?

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[thomaspain](#)
(extremist)
07/22/05 06:30 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** **NEW** [To: [cyte](#) | Post
[293811044](#), reply to [293810065](#)] (Score: 1)

Quote:

Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another

It was also a great help to supporters of the US Patriot act.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[Elefantstone](#)
(radical)
07/22/05 06:41 PM

 **Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another** **NEW** [To: [cyte](#) | Post
[293811052](#), reply to [293811021](#)] (Score: 1)

Flag to: cyte, seraphina

If I may ?

They kept them secret. We are only beginning to learn about government collusion in bombings in the UK and loyalist murders done with the backing of the British Army, a la The FRU.

Actually its kinda like whats going on today in the US.
How did the US people let it's government get away with Abu Grahb, Gitmo etc.
9/11 was their justification.

Revolution cannot be advanced softly, gradually, carefully, considerably,
respectfully, politely, plainly and modestly.
Mao Tse-Tung

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:    

[petewagner33](#)
(rebel)
07/22/05 07:00 PM



Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another [To: [cyte](#) | Post [293811101](#),
reply to [293810065](#)] (Score: 2)

Fixing the coverup: Name one other logical explanation for the London bombings. I can't.

Help Wanted: Hangman, Wash DC area, steady work, multiple openings, will train, equal opportunity employer.

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:

[cyte](#)
(agent provocateur)
07/22/05 07:09 PM

Re: Staging an Attack to Fix the Coverup of Another [To: [Elefantstone](#) | Post
[293811127](#), reply to [293811052](#)] (Score: 2)

Flag to: Elefantstone, Seraphina

I mean in the long run, did the Brits frustrate any significant steps taken by the government under the pretense of fighting IRA terrorism? How much did they fight back over the years?

Remember now that Brits will not just worry about Irish militants or Pakistanis being shot, but about themselves being shot or caught in the crossfire. The question is then, how much will they fight back in the long run, or within months, or a year?

[Reply](#) | [Private Reply](#) | [Flag](#) | [First](#) | [Last](#) | [Context](#)

Post Extras:

Pages in this thread: 1 | [2](#) | [3](#) | ([show all](#))

[Favorite!](#) | [Print Thread](#)

◀ Previous ▲ [Index](#) ▶ [Next](#) ≡ Flat [Threaded](#)

Jump to | [Member Opinions & Questions](#)

[libertyforum.org](#) | [Contact Us](#)

[Ain't Nobody's Business If You Do](#)

[Main Index](#) | [Latest Articles](#) | [Latest Posts](#) | [Self Search](#) | [Search](#) | [Control Panel](#) | [Who's Online](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Logout](#) | [User List](#)

Fair Use Notice

This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We make such material available in an effort to advance awareness and understanding of issues relating to civil rights, economics, individual rights, international affairs, liberty, science & technology, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes.

For more information please visit: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>.

If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission directly from the copyright owner.