

TVNL108

Clarity/NEWS

If you see this message, reload your browser!

*Read the stories that TV news networks **forget** to report!*

9/11 – US Complicity: Implausible, or Very Probable?
Questions for the People Who Believe the Official
Conspiracy Theory

TvNewsLIES.org - June 30, 2005

It is time for the people who support the official conspiracy theory to stop calling names and to start answering so questions.

The simple question of “who benefited” from the events of 9/11 can in many cases be answered by saying “the people who were supposed to prevent 9/11”. Doesn’t that make you just a little curious? It should.

So you think it ludicrous to suggest that a rogue group within the government was involved in the events of September 11th, 2001? You don’t even think it is worth your time to examine [overwhelming physical evidence that is available for your viewing](#) which at the very least disproves the official “conspiracy theory” set forth by the government and their mouthpieces in the corporate media?

Let me ask you folks some questions. Help me out here. If I am to ignore all the physical evidence that indicates government complicity, I need you to explain to me why I should ignore the unprecedented actions by the Bush administration preceding the events, that seem to have no other purpose other than to prevent an event like 9/11 from being stopped and to prepare to set in motion their [pre-planned agenda](#) that would only be accepted after an event like 9/11.

QUESTIONS:

1. We Could Never Imagine Planes Used to Attack Us - We have been told that the US was not only unprepared for defending our nation against planes used as weapons but we were told by our National Security Advisor, [Condoleezza Rice](#) that we never

imagined such a thing.

Question: Why do you believe that the US was not prepared prevent such an event when we had held drills for just such an event in the past?

Reference: USA Today - [NORAD had drills of jets as weapon](#)

QUESTION: Why do you believe that we had no idea anyone would fly a plane into the WTC when and FBI agent warned of the very thing only to have his warnings dismissed?

REFERENCE: [F.B.I. Agent Cited Trade Center Attack Ahead Sept. 11](#)

2. There is a great deal of information indicating the fact that one of the first directives of the Bush administration upon taking office was to have the intelligence community back off of all investigations into Osama Bin Laden and his family.

Question: Why was the Bush administration protecting Bin Laden? Why do you ignore the close ties between the Bush family and the Bin Laden family?

REFERENCE: [The Bush/Bin Laden Connection.](#)

3. Are you aware that Dov S. Zakheim, a former vice president of System Planning Corp., a defense contractor which makes remote control and flight termination products, was sworn in as the Under Secretary of Defense?

Question: Did you know that remote controlled flight was possible and that someone who was very involved with this technology was a member of the Bush administration?

REFERENCE: [Command Transmitter System - The Mastermind Behind 911?](#)

4. During June 2001 the US was planning military action against Afghanistan.

QUESTION: Why was the US planning on military action against Afghanistan before the events of 9/11 took place? Why would Congress permit this? 9/11 was the official reason for the military action against Afghanistan.

REFERENCE: [India and Iran will "facilitate" US and Russian plans for "limited military action" against the Taliban - US 'planned attack on Taleban' BBC](#)

Question: Doesn't common sense suggest to you that it might just be possible that the administration was in search of a legal and publicly acceptable excuse to attack Afghanistan and instead installed a US friendly government with a former UNOCAL Oil company consultant, with ties to the Bush family business, as its new leader?

REFERENCE: [Agreement On US 3.2 Billion Gas Pipeline Project Signed](#)

5. Attorney General John Ashcroft stops flying commercial aircraft three months before 9/11 due to threats.

Question: Why did he stop flying commercial aircrafts? What did he know?

Reference: [Ashcroft has been advised to travel only by private jet for the remainder of his term.](#)

6. All of a sudden, prior to 9/11/2001, the procedures for military response to hijacked aircrafts were changed where military response had to be approved by Donald Rumsfeld. Donald Rumsfeld is a member of the PNAC organization that publicly professed that a "New Pearl Harbor" would benefit their agenda.

QUESTION: Why were procedures specifically involving hijackings changed? Were the old procedures problematic? Was there a person who could benefit from an event like a hijacking given the responsibility of handling them?

REFERENCE: [Military Assistance to Civil Authorities](#)

7. Osama Bin Laden undergoes medical treatment at the American Hospital in Dubai, United Arab Emirates where he is met by a local CIA chief.

QUESTION: If Bin Laden was our enemy, why was the CIA meeting with him just before the events of 9/11? Is it possible that the CIA or rogue members of the CIA were arranging the events of 9/11? Is it at least possible?

REFERENCE: [CIA agent alleged to have met Bin Laden in June 2001](#)

8. A 40-year-old Federal Aviation Administration rule that allowed commercial airline pilots to be armed was inexplicably rescinded two months before the Sept. 11 attacks.

Question: Why in the world was this rule changed at this particular point in history?

Reference: [FAA rescinded rule allowing guns in cockpits just before terror attacks](#)

9. August 25, 2001 - Raytheon and the U.S. Air Force successfully auto lands a FedEx Boeing 727, without any pilots, six times at Holloman AFB, N.M. using a military GPS landing system that will enable ground control take control a hijacked airplane and force land it.

Question: Do you still think that unskilled pilots who were supposedly flying without the assistance of air traffic control were the only possible people who could have flown jets into buildings?

REFERENCE: [The FedEx Express 727-200 aircraft at Holloman successfully conducted a total of sixteen Category I approaches. After completing a number of pilot flown approaches for reference the aircraft conducted six full](#)

[autolands using](#)

[the JPALS ground station.](#) - Also: Sept. 6, 2001 [Raytheon and the U.S. Air Force demonstrate new technology aircraft precis approach and landing system](#)

10. September 7, 2001 - Jeb Bush signs a two year Florida executive emergency order four days before 9/11 "WHEREA the Florida National Guard has the statutory responsibility to provide support to law-enforcement personnel and emergency management personnel in the event of civil disturbances or natural disasters;"

QUESTION: Why would he do this? He was governor for year why do it days before 9/11? No other governor ever did anythi like this before.

REFERENCE: [EXECUTIVE ORDER NUMBER](#)

11. September 10, 2001 - A group of top Pentagon officials cance their travel plans for the next morning because of security concerns.

QUESTION: Do you still think they did not know anything was up?

REFERENCE: [Top Pentagon Officials Cancel Flights On September 10, 2001](#)

12. September 10, 2001 - Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld announces that the Pentagon has lost track of \$2.3 TRILLION DOLLARS of military spending. - September 10, 2001 - Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld warns of Iraq's pursuit WMD's hours before US and British planes bomb Iraqi missile sites.

QUESTION: Why is one of the people who wanted to invade Iraq and Afghanistan for years, suddenly bringing up Iraq? Do you find the timing of this the least bit suspicious? Did you eve find out what happened to the missing \$2.3 TRILLION?

REFERENCE: ["According to some estimates we cannot track \\$2.3 trillion in transactions," Rumsfeld admitted.](#) - [Iraq improv weapons systems, warns US](#)

13. September 10, 2001 - U.S. OK'd plan to topple the Taliban an expel Osama bin Laden from Afghanistan.

QUESTION: How would they be allowed to do this without the events of 9/11? How can they prepare for a war before the reason for the war occurs?

REFERENCE: [U.S. OK'd plan to topple Taliban a day before 9/11](#)

14. Although there are dozens, if not hundreds of questions I can add to this list I will ask only one more series of questions:

QUESTION: Do you know anything about the Project for a Ne

American Century (PNAC) and about Operation Northwoods? Why not? PNAC runs this government. They had motives for permitting or conducting the events of 9/11. Operation Northwoods proves that our nation would indeed harm it's own people and blame it on another nation in order to gain support for military operations. For those of you who think FOX News fair and balanced do research and you will find out that the chairman of PNAC is a regular face on FOX News. He is represented as an impartial journalist when in fact he is the chairman of the group that has written and implemented our foreign policy under Bush. I'll let you do some research about this. It's about time you finally started looking for some facts on your own.

REFERENCE: [PNAC - The PNAC members of the Bush administration](#). - [Operation Northwoods](#).

The question of whether or not these actions took place is not up for debate. Research the issues. **Nobody involved denies that they took place.** What is in question is why they took place and if they indicate the anticipation of an event such as 9/11.

Many of you are going to post this article on forums. Some people will respond with the following comments: Conspiracy theory, get off the tin foil hats, the site is not credible (whatever that means), wing nuts, etc. The people who respond like this will never have a single bit of information to dispute the facts or answer the questions listed in this article. Some may find fault with one or two items with this article and immediately dismiss the rest of the items. This is illogical. Send corrections to me and I will alter the article accordingly. The bottom line is that there is a great deal of information out there and there are many questions that have been answered and the people who support the official conspiracy theory are not able to support their beliefs.

If you can not find a reasonable explanation for these actions then you have no choice other than to reconsider the simple possibility that some people within our government and intelligence apparatus may have been anticipating an event like 9/11 and this would require you as a responsible patriotic citizen, to research the issues surrounding 9/11.

I have a few questions for you people of blind faith...did you ever think about the fact that you never seem to dispute the facts presented to you by the independent 9/11 research community; you only call the fact presenters names. I see you out there on all the

forums and news groups. You lose every argument. All you are with is your toolkit of mantras and names. You know what they are and you also know that you never back up your position with facts

POINT: MOTIVE HAS ALREADY BEEN ESTABLISHED: Please keep in mind that motive for Bush administration complicity in events of 9/11 has been clearly established. If you do not yet know what the Project for a New American Century (PNAC) is it is high time you became aware of this organization. This is the group of people who planned for invasions of Iraq and Afghanistan prior to 9/11. They planned for a policy of preemptive military action prior to 9/11. 10 members from this organization comprised the Bush administration on 9/11 including Dick Cheney and Donald Rumsfeld. This organization published their agenda publicly and acknowledged within their documentation that their agenda would not be accepted by the public unless there was "**some catastrophic and catalyzing event - like a new Pearl Harbor**". These people were responsible for preventing such an event and they were in positions to orchestrate or inhibit the prevention of just such an event. Their motivation combined with the strategic positioning within the government, would in itself be enough to bring a lawsuit to several members of the Bush administration and **it should be enough to raise your suspicions**, but you do not seem to know the facts and you don't seem to want to see them when they are presented to you. You seem to want to remain in denial yet your arguments backing your position remain empty.

If you are going to deny the findings of the independent 9/11 research community then it is incumbent upon you to provide detailed and verifiable counter argument. If you can not do that you are basically living in denial and even worse you might be supporting the very people who committed or permitted the atrocities of September 11th 2001. Don't you think you owe it to yourself, the victims of the event and to your nation to start taking a close look at the events of 9/11 and at the people responsible for preventing it?

For those of you who can not imagine anything other than the official conspiracy theory, as you take into account all the recorded hijacking messages from the FAA and from the passengers on the planes, here is something to think about. On the morning of September 11th 2001, there were several NORAD, FAA & FBI drills taking place in the north eastern United States. Some of these drills involved hijackings where live fly simulations as well

electronic, video game like drills were taking place. Perhaps people making the calls thought they were taking part in a drill. Maybe the members of the airlines asked for volunteers to make these calls in order to test the response team. Perhaps even hijackers thought they were taking part in drills; after all several of the listed hijackers were known to have been trained in CIA facilities and lived among the intelligence community in Florida.

Am I saying I know what took place on September 11th 2001?
No. What I am saying is that virtually every aspect of the official conspiracy theory put forth by our government has been debunked. The Bush administration saw to it that the evidence was destroyed and that the only investigation that would take place was conducted by a group of people who each had a conflict of interest when it came to uncovering the truth.

Whistle blowers were not permitted to testify to the Keating Commission and the investigate body never asked for people with inside information to come forward or to testify. Many whistle blowers were denied access to the commission. The Keating Commission was in fact created in order to document the official conspiracy theory; they were not tasked with finding out what really happened.


On the other hand, the independent research community has taken upon themselves to explore the facts and ask the real questions. Shouldn't you do the same? At the very least shouldn't you at least listen with an open mind when someone who has done the research has some information to share?

You know, there is a huge difference between a conspiracy theory and reasonable suspicion. The closer you look the more you realize that it is the official story of 9/11 that adheres to the definition of a conspiracy theory, while some of the conclusions made by the independent research community are not only reasonable but they are quite plausible and very probable.

It is time for the people who support the official conspiracy theory to stop calling names and to start answering some questions.

EDITOR'S NOTE: Deny this if you must but it is a clear fact that there are Americans, both civilian and in our government, for whom the events of 9/11/2001 were the greatest thing that ever happened. Defense industry owners and investors became wealthy with a new guaranteed income stream flowing from the pockets of freighter

Americans into their own pockets. Members of the Bush administration received virtually unlimited power to proceed with their agenda, which without the events of 9/11 would have been viewed as insane, radical and in most cases unconstitutional. The events of 9/11 were virtually the only chance the members of PNAC would have ever had to proceed with their radical and un-American agenda. The truth is that these folks (PNAC) needed 9/11 to happen (which they clearly stated in their documentation) and they were in the position to make sure that it did. That is a fact. If that does not make you suspicious I've got a bridge in Brooklyn to sell you!



[Click here!](#)

The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions and Distortions A Critique of the Kean-Zelikow Report

[[TvNewsLies.org](#)] [[News](#)] [[Page 2](#)] [[TVNL Shop](#)]
[[Explanation](#)] [[The Plan](#)] [[Un Reported](#)] [[Smoking Gun](#)]
[[Important Sites](#)] [[Bush Lies](#)] [[9/11 Facts](#)] [[Covering Up](#)]
[[9/11 Warnings](#)] [[Bin Laden Ties](#)] [[911 Hearings](#)]
[[The OJ Response](#)] [[9/10](#)] [[Conspiracy?](#)]
[[Bush Failed us on 9/11](#)] [[9/11 Videos & DVDs](#)] [[9/11 -
All the Proof You Need](#)] [[Terror Myth](#)] [[Disagree?](#)]
[[BBS/Chat](#)] [[BushBrats](#)] [[Kerry Watch](#)]

