

Login

user:

pass:

Remember me

[register | I forgot my pass]

[RU](#) [EN](#) [ES](#)

User Menu

- Home Page
 - «Mirror of the World»
 - Support the Site
 - Contacts
 - Iraq-War board rules
- Articles
 - Iraq News
 - Around the World
 - Historical analysis & facts
- Current analysis
 - Economics
 - Hot News
 - Rankings
- Forums
- Image Galleries

Weather

Baghdad	
	WE, 10/08 +44..+46 °C W, 3 m/s
Basrah	
	WE, 10/08 +44..+46 °C SW, 3 m/s

Calendar-Filter

< Aug > < 2005 >

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			
Today						

Flood Gates Breaking - First "Mainstream" article on 9/11 questioning the official version

By: on: 09.08.2005 [10:45] (2317 reads)



(27162 bytes)

One of Britain's biggest newspapers, the Mail, carried a 3-page article on 9/11. Not carried on the daily mail site.

Towers that fell 'like a controlled demolition'. Planes that vanished then mysteriously reappeared, And crucial evidence that has been lost for ever. A new book raises bizarre yet deeply unsettling questions about the world's worst terror atrocity.....

By Tony Rennell – Daily Mail, Saturday 6th August, 2005
 Full Pages 36, 37 & 38, although NOT included on the Daily Mail web site.

The plot by America's military bosses was devilish in both design and intent – to fabricate an outrage against innocent civilians, fool the world and provide a pretext for war. In the pentagon, a top secret team drew up a plan to simultaneously send up two airliners painted and numbered exactly the same, one from a civil airport in America, the other from a secret military airbase nearby.

The one from the airport would have military personnel on board who had checked in as ordinary passengers under false names. The one from the airbase would be an empty drone, a remote-controlled unmanned aircraft.

Somewhere along their joint flight paths, the passenger-carrying plane would drop below radar height, and disappear, landing back at the airbase and unloading its occupants in secret.

Meanwhile, the drone would have taken up the other plane's designated course. High over the island of Cuba, it would be exploded in mid-air after broadcasting an international distress call that it was under attack from enemy fighters.

The world would be told that a plane load of blameless American holidaymakers had been deliberately shot down by Fidel Castro's Communists – and that the US had no choice but to declare war and topple his regime.

This 'agent provocateur' plan – code named OPERATION NORTHWOODS and revealed in official archives – dates from 1962 when the Cold War was at its height.

Four decades later, there are a growing number of people who look back at this proto-conspiracy and then to the events of 9/11 and see uncanny and frightening modern parallels.

For Cuba, read Iraq, say these skeptics. For the dummy airliner, read the Twin Towers in New York.

The Northwoods plan is crucial to the argument presented in a hugely provocative – many would say fantastical – yet, at times, genuinely disturbing new analysis of 9/11 by two radical British based journalists, Ian Henshall and Rowland Morgan.

Did the CIA actively help the hijackers?

In it, they examine various conspiracy theories that suggest the Bush administration connived in the devastating aerial attacks on New York and Washington four years ago.

The reason? To give Bush the excuse he wanted to push ahead with his secret, long-held plan to invade Iraq and capture its oilfields.

As we shall see. Many of the theories they raise are outlandish in the extreme. It would be easy to dismiss them as hokum, the invention of over-active imaginations among those whose instinct is always to find some way to blame America for the world's ills.

Are we really supposed to believe that the CIA actively helped the hijackers succeed – or even that the US government staged the whole attack and itself murdered thousands of its own citizens?

Some would say that even in discussing such notions, we are lending comfort to terrorists and doing a disservice to the dead.

However, much of evidence the authors present is undeniably compelling – and their arguments sound rather less preposterous in the light of OPERATION NORTHWOODS all those years ago. That plan was proposed in all seriousness by America's Joint Chiefs of Staff in a memo to the Secretary of Defence. It got as far as the Attorney General – Robert Kennedy, brother of the president, John Kennedy, before being vetoed.

It is proof, says Henshall and Morgan, that forces at the top of the US Government are capable of conceiving a deadly, devious and fraudulent plan to further their own secret ends – even under such a supposedly 'nice guy' president as JFK.

In which case, can the idea of a 9/11 plot by those who serve the deeply mistrusted Bush really be ruled out with total certainty, without at least considering the arguments?

Of course, the official explanation for 9/11 is that Al Qaeda just got lucky that sunny morning in September 2001.

The terrorists conducted their attacks without outside help, by this account, and intelligence and other blunders by the US authorities that contributed to their terrible success – for example, ignored warnings that an attack involving aeroplanes was likely, or issuing US entry visas to 19 Islamic fanatics set on murder – were just that: blunders.

This is the White House's version and it was endorsed by a Washington commission of inquiry under Thomas Kean published last year.

But, according to Henshall and Morgan, the story is full of gaping holes and unanswered questions. And the most startling question, which remains unresolved, they say, is why the hijackers' principal target, the two 110-storey towers at the World Trade Centre in New York crumbled so easily.

No-one who watched each building suddenly cascade into dust and debris in just 20 seconds will ever forget the slow-motion horror. But now the question is asked: was it all too pat, too neat?

Though 30 years old, the towers had expressly been built to survive the impact of a Boeing 707, a plane the same size and carrying as much fuel as the ones that struck. That they collapsed after being hit and fell at such speed was unprecedented in the history of architecture. It astonished many engineers.

The official explanation is known as the Pancake Effect – steel supports melting in the intense fireball, causing the floors to tumble down on each other.

The problem here is that the heat from the explosions was probably not nearly as great as people tend to assume.

There was indeed a lot of kerosene from the aircraft fuel tanks when flight 11 from Boston hit the North Tower between the 94th and the 98th floors but

pictures show that most of this fireballed outwards. Experts have questioned whether the fire ever got hot enough to melt the buildings' steel frames.

Oddly, too, original estimates by firefighters after the second plane, Flight 175, hit the South Tower, were that the blaze was containable.

Two firefighters actually reached the crash zone on the 78th floor and a tape exists of them radioing down that just two hoses would be enough to get the fire under control – in which case the situation should have been little different from a 'normal' office fire, and no steel tower ever collapsed as the result of such a blaze.

'The fire wasn't hot enough to cause a collapse'

Kevin R Ryan, laboratory director at a US underwriting firm specializing in product safety, was sacked from his job last year after questioning the official explanation.

"The buildings should have easily withstood the thermal stress caused by the burning jet fuel", he said. "If steel did soften or melt, this was certainly not due to jet fuel fires of any kind, let alone the briefly burning fires in those towers. That fact should be of great concern to all Americans."

Intriguingly, Ryan claimed that his firm had checked and approved the steel used in the towers when they were built. This was later vehemently denied by the bosses who sacked him.

To add to the mystery, the tape of the two firemen was kept secret and when relatives were finally allowed to listen to it, they had to sign strict confidentiality agreements.

If the Pancake Effect theory is wrong, there's one obvious alternative: that the towers were brought down by the sheer impact of the planes hitting them. But this, according to the skeptics, ignores basic physics.

The initial hit on the North Tower, for example, destroyed 33 of the 59 columns in its north face. This meant the damage was asymmetrical, so any resulting collapse would surely have been lopsided.

In fact, the building fell evenly. The TV aerial on the summit sank vertically, in a straight line.

There were other strange anomalies. According to the Kean Commission, when the first plane struck: 'A jet fuel fireball erupted and shot down a bank of elevators, bursting into numerous lower floors, including the lobby level, and the basement four storeys below ground.'

Unlikely, say Henshall and Morgan. A film by a French documentary crew, who by chance were following a New York firefighting team that day, shows the first men arriving. The lobby was covered in fine debris and the windows were shattered but there was none of the soot or oily residue that burning jet fuel would have left behind.

Meanwhile down in the basement, a 50-ton hydraulic press was reduced to rubble and a steel and concrete fire door demolished. Witnesses there said the destruction was less like that from a fireball flash and more like that from a bomb.

Some firefighters told reporters that day that they thought there had been bombs in the building – before apparently being silenced by their chiefs. So had Al Qaeda cleverly placed explosives inside the towers as well as attacking them from the air?

Or, as conspiracy theorists would have it, had some homegrown agency mined the towers to make sure they fell – but neatly without collapsing over the rest of Manhattan, America's financial and business heartland?

The authors quote an expert demolition contractor from Pennsylvania, Michael Taylor, who said the fall of the buildings 'looked like a controlled demolition'.

Another expert, Van Romero, vice-president for research at the New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, reached the same opinion after studying videos of the disaster, and concluded that 'explosive devices inside the buildings' caused them to collapse.

Strangely and without explanation, he recanted that view just ten days after going public with it. Might he possibly have been leaned on?

Even stranger, say Henshall and Morgan, was the collapse of a third building on the World Trade Centre site, a smaller 47-storey block known as WTC7, which was largely ignored by the world's media.

It had not been hit by a plane yet it, too, mysteriously fell many hours after the Towers had gone.

The official explanation for this was that fuel stores caught fire as a result of debris from the burning towers, the building began to bulge in one corner, and after that it was unsalvageable.

But remember that, according to Henshall and Morgan, a steel-framed building had never collapsed as a result of a fire before this day. And, again according to the authors, WTC7 appears almost untouched by fire in photographs taken at the time.

The landlord of the World Trade Centre site, Larry Silverstein, explicitly suggested at one point that WTC7 was deliberately demolished. He told a US TV documentary that a decision was taken to 'pull' the building rather than risk loss of life, though this was later denied.

Certainly, according to Henshall and Morgan, the building's fall in seven seconds was just as textbook-tidy and suspicious as the collapse of the Twin Towers. Given that it also housed offices of the US Secret Service, the CIA and the Defence Department, this has led conspiracy theorists to give it a key role in the supposed 9/11 plot – as we will see shortly.

Part of the whole problem, according to Henshall and Morgan, is that vital evidence about what happened was destroyed or muddied in the wake of the atrocity.

One expert said there were bombs inside the towers

Ground Zero, the base of the towers, was fiercely protected by the authorities – understandably so because it not only contained human remains but a cache of seized drugs held in an FBI office and more than \$1 billion of gold from bank vaults in the Buildings.

Yet what went on behind all the heavy security?

After most air disasters, the wreckage of the planes is meticulously gathered up and pieced together in search of clues.

Extraordinarily, in the course of removing the rubble from the Twin Towers to a nearby landfill site, the 9/11 salvage operation seems to have 'lost' four six-ton aircraft engines, besides failing to find the 'black box' flight data recorders and cockpit voice recorders from either of the planes.

These data boxes – which could have revealed exactly what happened in the doomed jets – are deliberately designed to withstand heavy impacts and exceptionally high temperatures. It is, according to experts, very rare for them not to be recovered after an accident.

Unfortunately, according Henshall and Morgan, there was a singular lack of official zeal even to establish the very basic fact that the aircraft that hit the Twin Towers were the same as those that took off from Boston.

Perhaps, with almost the entire world watching the attacks on TV, it hardly seemed necessary to prove the glaringly obvious. But this failure to follow standard procedures for accident investigation once again gave encouragement to the conspiracy theorists.

And then there was the oddity of the single passport. The black boxes may have been destroyed and steel girders melted – yet somehow one of the hijackers' passports avoided this inferno and was found intact in a nearby street by 'a passer-by'.

To Henshall and Morgan, that seems absurd, as does the almost instant

identification of this person as a hijacker rather than a passenger or a Twin Towers office worker. Conspiracy theorists suspect the passport was planted to help establish the official story in the first, critical hours after the disaster.

Why didn't fighter planes intercept the hijackers?

Still more unanswered questions surround what happened at the Pentagon in Washington, in the third successful terrorist attack that day.

After taking off from Dulles Airport, Washington, American Airlines Flight 77 dropped off the radar screens for 36 minutes when its transponders sending signals back to air traffic control were switched off.

When the blip reappeared, it was closing on the city but where precisely the aircraft had been for the past half an hour was a mystery. Nor could anyone in air traffic control figure out what it was.

Experienced officials apparently watched its speed and maneuverability and thought it must be a military plane. Conspiracy theorists maintain this is precisely what it was.

In a repeat of New York, no evidence has ever been produced from the wreckage to prove that it was Flight 77 that hurtled into the side of the Pentagon at 350mph.

Photographs show that the hole it made was large enough for the fuselage of a Boeing 757 but not for the wings and the tail, though these supposedly disappeared through the gap and then vapourised.

For the conspiracy theorists, this points to a conclusion that what hit was not Flight 77, and not even a jetliner.

Some witnesses claim the plane they say hit the Pentagon was a small one, an eight – or 12-seater, and that it did not have the roar of an airliner but the shrill whine of a fighter plane. One witness is convinced it was a missile.

The authors say the matter could be cleared up by CCTV footage of the crash from a nearby filling station, a hotel and traffic surveillance cameras. Unfortunately, the FBI seized all three videos within minutes of the crash and they have never been released.

The hole in the Pentagon was too small for a Boeing

If they were produced, they might lay to rest the theory that what hit the Pentagon was a military drone painted in airline livery and that just before impact it fired a missile to enable a clean entry which would explain the lack of debris. But until they are, the skeptics will continue to have a field day.

In essence, to the extreme conspiracy theorists, what took place on 9/11 was a repeat of the aborted OPERATION NORTHWOODS.

Far from being an attack by Islamic terrorists, they say, the events were a complete hoax, a conjuring trick by the US government in just the same way that Kennedy's generals wanted to fool the world over Cuba.

Planes were swapped, 'drones' slammed into the World Trade Centre (which was mined with explosives as well) and the Pentagon, and the identities of alleged hijackers from the Middle East were stolen or invented to put the blame on Al Qaeda.

Along with the 'passengers' who apparently boarded the planes, the 'suicide hijackers' are now either dead or living under different identities, just as the pentagon planned from the military personnel it was going to use back in 1962.

The theory seizes on the fact that, like the plane that apparently hit the Pentagon, both Flight 11 and Flight 175 switched off their transponders on their way to the Twin Towers and disappeared from Radar screens. According to the skeptics, this gave them time and opportunity to land at the handily located Griffiss Air Force Base, a Pentagon command center which also houses research laboratories into advanced computers and radar. There, they were supposedly replaced by remote-controlled substitutes.

In technical terms, this is not as far fetched as it sounds. The US military experimented with unmanned aircraft as far back as World War II and there have been successful jet models since. Well-connected conspirators, so the theory goes, would have little difficulty getting their hands on a system to fit in an airliner.

The switch would supposedly be foolproof because, as we have seen, the aircraft in the ruins would not be properly identified.

Then there was the smaller building known as WTC7. It was the obvious point from which to run the New York end of the scam, guiding the planes into their target. Afterwards, of course, the evidence had to be destroyed, hence its demolition.

Taken as a rush, and without looking at the detail this might seem vaguely plausible. But could we really have been so totally and utterly conned?

Common sense says no. An operation of such intricacy and complexity would require the co-operation – and the silence until death – of thousands of people. Everything we have read about the victims on the planes, and their heartbroken relatives, would be a carefully constructed sham.

It might just be possible in a totalitarian society but surely not in a flawed yet robust democracy like America. And with four missions (the hijackers of the fourth plane, Flight 93, were overthrown by its passengers), not just one as in OPERATION NORTHWOODS? No.

To be fair to Henshall and Morgan, they make it clear that they themselves are not advocating such an extreme theory of empty planes and hoax attacks.

They admit the Pentagon's radar reconstructions suggest the planes were not switched, and that alleged Al Qaeda ringleaders are said by their interrogators to have confirmed the official account.

Instead of retreating into fantasy, they simply insist that something is being held back – that we have not been told the full story. And it's hard to discount all their arguments.

Why, they ask, were air traffic controllers so slow to report suspected hijackings to the military that day in breach of standard procedures, with the result that fighter planes arrived too late to intercept?

Flight controllers in four separate incidents were unaccountably slow to realize that something was wrong and alert the military authorities. Even after one plane was definitely known to have been hijacked, they failed to respond promptly when others went missing.

The air force scrambled from the wrong base

For some reason, too, when fighter planes eventually were scrambled to New York, they were from an airbase 150 miles away, rather than the much closer one in New Jersey. The Twin Towers were ablaze before they got there.

All the while the local TV channels were smoothly getting eye-in-the-sky helicopters into the air over the World Trade Centre. In the words of the authors: "Their routine mobilizations stand in stark contrast to the apparent impotence and indecisiveness of the \$350-billion-a-year US military.

Yet for all the shortcomings of the Federal Aviation Authority and the US Air Force that day, no-one was ever fired or reprimanded.

One explanation for this paralysis is that there was, as fate would have it, an air defence exercise going on in US airspace that same day, codenamed Vigilant Guardian. The air traffic controllers were confused by this, thinking the planes disappearing from their screens might be part of the exercise.

Coincidence? No say the 9/11 sceptics. This was exactly the sort of smokescreen operation that anyone wanting to make life easier for the hijackers would launch to paralyse any authorities that might get in the way.

When the first evidence came that hijackings were taking place, traffic control officials wasted valuable time wondering whether or not this was part of the Vigilant Guardian exercise.

Suck a smokescreen fits well with two types of government-inspired plot postulated by 9/11 sceptics – popularly known as ‘LIHOP’ and ‘MIHOP’.

‘LIHOP’ – ‘Let It Happen On Purpose’ – holds that since the turn of the new century, radical right-wingers in Washington (the so-called new-cons) had been keen to get a US military presence in the Middle East oilfields and were also desperate to do something about Al Qaeda, which had been targeting US interests overseas.

When evidence came in of an impending terrorist attack, they decided to ignore it. They intended that it should succeed. It would act at the very least as a ‘wake-up’ call to their apathetic fellow countrymen and at best as an excuse for war.

In the much the same way, some historians believe, President Roosevelt knew in advance from broken codes about the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbour in 1941 – but let it happen, at the cost of 2,400 lives, because he wanted an excuse to join World War II.

‘MIHOP’ takes a step on from this – ‘Make it Happen On Purpose’. This theory has the same motivation but the active involvement of US agents. Planted in Al Qaeda, they helped organize the plot, or at the very least cleared a path for the hijackers.

These agents may even have tried to keep down casualty figures, which some think were suspiciously small in the circumstances.

The plane that hit the Pentagon was seen to swerve at the last minute and hit an area of the building that was largely unoccupied – and which had just been fitted with reinforced external walls and blast-resistant windows. A crash into the other side would have killed and maimed many thousands instead of just 125.

In New York, too, more than 50,000 inhabitants of the Towers were targeted but just 2,600 killed – not least because of the orderly way in which the buildings collapsed, after most of the occupants had been evacuated. Was this an example of a ‘managed’ atrocity?

For most observers, the idea of US involvement in the attacks still strains credulity beyond breaking point. Yet that catalogue of unanswered questions remains troubling.

Some are very basic. How, for example, did the hijackers manage to slip past airport security with weapons?

The White House explanation is plastic knives, but there has never been any independent confirmation of how the men were armed. Some passengers who made phone calls from the doomed planes said they witnessed stabbings but others spoke of bombs and even guns being used.

To some, the official recourse to ‘plastic knives’ smacks of a cover-up to conceal security lapses – or worse, a deliberate turning of blind eyes.

So how did the passengers make those phone calls?

Another problem here is those very phone calls from the planes. Experts in Henshall and Morgan’s book say it is all but impossible to make a mobile phone call above 8,000 feet – let alone four times that altitude, as the jet passengers are alleged to have done.

So how were these calls on which so much of the 9/11 narrative has been built ever made? Could they possibly have been invented?

The authors write: ‘Few issues cause as much controversy amongst 9/11 sceptics as these, not least because they were cited – by Tony Blair among others – as eyewitness reports and proof positive the official narrative was true.’

Doubts are even raised over the gung-ho story of Flight 93, the fourth plane in the attacks, which passengers apparently seized back from the hijackers, causing it to crash into a field but miss Washington.

The legend of the heroic cockpit-storming, launched to cries of ‘Let’s Roll’, was a product of tapes that have never been authenticated or released to anyone other

than the victims' relatives, who were sworn to secrecy.

Henshall and Morgan say the matter could be cleared up if recordings or billing evidence from phone companies were produced but they never have been.

This call for transparency is the thrust of their whole argument. It is time, they say, for a full and truly independent inquiry into 9/11 that will reveal all the facts and silence the rumours.

One thing it could consider would be the anthrax attack on America three weeks after 9/11. Five recipients of contaminated letters died, postal facilities were closed, as were office buildings on Capitol Hill where hundreds of lawmakers and staff were tested and given an antibiotic.

At the time, this was seized on by the Washington power-brokers pressing for action against Iraq. 'Who but Saddam Hussein could have supplied Arab terrorists with anthrax,' they asked.

By contrast, skeptics about 9/11 see this as this finishing touch to the grand plot – an attempt to distract attention from any doubts about the atrocities and the lessons to be learned from them.

They may have a case. The letters mysteriously stopped and the anthrax spores were identified by scientists as a particular strain stemming only from the government's own labs in Maryland.

But by then the scare had shut down congress at a crucial time, when questions about 9/11 were beginning to surface, and helped deepen the mood of fear and paranoia among ordinary Americans.

It was those fears, say the skeptics, that Bush exploited to get his way on Iraq. Had he plotted it that way all along? Henshall and Morgan raise enough awkward points to make it a thought that cannot simply be laughed out of court.

After all, Bush and Blair, took us to war assuring us that 'the Iraq regime continues to possess some of the most lethal weapons ever devised'. Yet those weapons of mass destruction have not been found and many doubt they existed.

With public trust one of the major casualties of the war, can any of us be absolutely sure we have not been caught up in a lie and perhaps a bigger one even than we ever though possible?

In their inquiries Henshall and Morgan may have discover no smoking guns – but they have certainly left a whiff of something sinister in the air.

9/11 Revealed: Challenging The Facts Behind The War On Terror, by Ian Henshall and Rowland Morgan is published by Robinson on August 25 at £8.99. To order a copy (P&P free), Telephone 0870 161 0870

Link with Pics

http://www.financialoutrage.org.uk/911_mainstream_media.htm

54 comments

<input type="radio"/> Only comments	Comments per page 50 <input type="text"/>
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Article and comments	<input type="button" value="Send"/>

Good News indeed...

by stopwar on 09.08.2005 [11:55]

The Mail sometimes goes for stories that the mainstream press won't touch with a bargepole - like the spreading of BSE from cows to humans, for example.

Now they are going for 9/11... and, well, this is sensational! There must be more copies of The Mail sold on Saturday than daily sales of most newspapers - and people do have time to actually READ on Saturday.

There'll have been plenty oif WTF reverberating around the country last Saturday, and even more on Sunday, as the news of Robin Cook's death came out. Cook would sure have seen this revelation as an opportunity.

It would now be a good time...

by stopwar on 09.08.2005 [12:03]

for someone in the UK mainstream press to write an article about the Downing Street Memo - because this "little matter" was buried by the election first time around, when it should have been shouted from the rooftops.

The fog is clearing...

by Mike-Malaysia on 09.08.2005 [13:30]

The truth is coming. Get that nice rope ready that Joe told us about. Hang the murdering black-ops bastards from the Gallows. Joe I hope you'll be watching along side all the millions of people that have died at the hands of these murderous filthy pigs shit.

My theory

by Iranian-Shi'ite on 09.08.2005 [13:32]

My theory is that Atta was taken out in the Penn airport and replaced by a Mossad agent. That agent flew to Boston's Logan and then took off. He hijacked the plane and slammed it into the WTC buildings.

The reason I believe that is because there were photos of Atta at hotels, ATM machines, Penn airport, and a lot of other places, but none of him at Boston's Logan.

Also, no one saw him on the flight from Penn to Boston.

Two other cases unanswered...

by turlututu on 09.08.2005 [13:47]

A month later after the 9-11 attacks, an Airbus flight crashed mysteriously on New York neighborhood, but we have never heard of any investigation results, although some strange stories were also said about the accident. The engines were recovered miles away from the main impact, but I never heard any indication about technical problems from the black boxes, I cannot remember that the boxes were actually read!!! Although what happened in Toronto last Week, the black boxes have already been sent for analysis... Can anybody tell me what happened then?

My second question is an anti conspiracy thought. If CIA and FBI can mount a big hoax for the 9-11, cannot they manage to mount a little small story about WMD in Irak, just a few of them to give Bush and Blair the good reasons they needed to show the world they were right to attack... Surely, it should not have been that difficult to find some russian made missiles or any chinese or french chemical equipments to fighten the whole world about this luny dictator?

What are your opinions on these?

@Iranian Shi-ite

by stopwar on 09.08.2005 [13:57]

I've always thought that both were flown by remote control, but wondered what the heck happened to the passengers on the scheduled flights. Anyway, we will probably get a little closer to the truth now...

@turlututu

by stopwar on 09.08.2005 [14:01]

I fully expected WMD to be planted. I believe there were two stories about attempts to plant them via Kuwait/the river separating Iran & Iraq - and both being foiled. Anyone know anything more about these?

forget other interesting theories for a moment

by concernedguest on 09.08.2005 [14:06]

concentrate on the operative issue.

that the bush regime and its allies pulled and continue to pull off the most spectacular coup de etat in history

the tact complicity (as from the silence) of russia and china

the universal "silence" -of the "mainstream" media (russian, chinese as well as anglo/american, india)

mechanisms of control

or think of the anglo/american/nato military chain of command

by concernedguest on 09.08.2005 [14:13]

are several thousand of them all sheeple

@concernedguest

by stopwar on 09.08.2005 [14:13]

Maybe even China & Russia never believed they'd do such a thing. In 1933, who'd have believed the National Socialists would have deliberately burned the Reichstag, for the purpose of blaming and scapegoating the Communists?

"Of course the people don't want war. But after all, it's the leaders of the country who determine the policy, and it's always a simple matter to drag the people along whether it's a democracy, a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament, or a communist dictatorship. Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked, and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism, and exposing the country to greater danger."

-- Herman Goering, member of the Nazi party, at the Nuremberg trials

re stopwar [14:13]

by concernedguest on 09.08.2005 [14:23]

remember the russian military intel reports on this site - they are not fools and in any case, it is not a question of belief inas much as elementary commonsense physics

@concernedguest

by stopwar on 09.08.2005 [14:43]

I'm not saying they are fools. Maybe they did have their suspicions. If I did I'd have thought military intel certainly would have. But even if the intel of these countries did have evidence, what could they do about it?

Bush & Blair had the US and UK media nicely tied down by 11th September 2001. Remember how that CNN report of "cheering Arabs" raced around the world?

I still think that the most likely scenario is a "conspiracy within a conspiracy". That would really confuse anyone looking in from outside.

Today in the New York Times / THE HEAT IS ON

by DTM on 09.08.2005 [14:50]

"WASHINGTON, Aug. 8 - More than a year before the Sept. 11 attacks, a small, highly classified military intelligence unit identified Mohammed Atta and three other future hijackers as likely members of a cell of Al Qaeda operating in the United States, according to a former defense intelligence official and a Republican member of Congress.

In the summer of 2000, the military team, known as Able Danger, prepared a chart that included visa photographs of the four men and recommended to the military's Special Operations Command that the information be shared with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the congressman, Representative Curt Weldon of Pennsylvania, and the former intelligence official said Monday.

The recommendation was rejected and the information was not shared, they said, apparently at least in part because Mr. Atta, and the others were in the United States on valid entry visas. Under American law, United States citizens and green-card holders may not be singled out in intelligence-collection operations by the military or intelligence agencies. That protection does not extend to visa holders, but Mr. Weldon and the former intelligence official said it might have reinforced a sense of discomfort common before Sept. 11 about sharing intelligence information with a law enforcement agency.

A former spokesman for the Sept. 11 commission, Al Felzenberg, confirmed that members of its staff, including Philip Zelikow, the executive director, were told about the program on an overseas trip in October 2003 that included stops in Afghanistan and Pakistan. But Mr. Felzenberg said the

briefers did not mention Mr. Atta's name.

The report produced by the commission last year does not mention the episode."

Tony Rennell, reporter

by hellsbells on 09.08.2005 [15:07]

A quick glance over the article shows Tony Rennell has covered some of the main points of the 9/11 controversy - WTC1&2, WTC7, NORAD, pentagon plane hoax, missing black boxes, Operation Northwoods - but omitted the Project for the New American Century.

The material is familiar with anyone who has watched the DVD's now available, such as Barry Zwicker's "The Great Conspiracy", Mike Ruppert's "Truth & Lies of 9/11", Alex Jones' "911 The Road to Tyranny", Eric Hufschmidt's "Painful Deceptions", GNN's "Aftermath - Unanswered Questions from 9/11", Jimmy Walters' "Confronting the Evidence", Michel Chossudovsky's "Celcius 911", and several others.

There has been such a deafening silence from the mainstream media about the real 9/11 questions being asked on dozens of websites, books, videos, and occasional broadcasts such as those by David Ray Griffin.

The number of people who know about these questions is increasing. Sooner or later the mainstream media has to acknowledge these questions, or be consigned to utter irrelevance & oblivion.

The forthcoming book by Henshall & Morgan seems to have prised open the door, ever so slightly, in the British press. I think it may be the first UK book on the subject, after several best selling books from France, Germany and the USA. Hope it's a good read!

re @ by DTM- nyt report LiHOP versus Mihop

by concernedguest on 09.08.2005 [15:08]

nyt the same bunch of jokers that brought you iraq war. red herrings to take off the heat MIHOP - physics - collapse leads to a different world

"the worlds worst terror atrocity"

by verve on 09.08.2005 [15:13]

er, that was Nagasaki and Heroshima, typical fucking yanks like the Holohoaxers, me first, me first. Pricks. It was a few thousands fat complacent fucks, who wouldn't give a rats ass how many poor people they fucked over to get to the top of the towers. Besides, the towers were the false flag, the real attack, THE COUP, happened at the Pentagon.

Who has watched "Bibi" Netanyahu

by m47vb44 on 09.08.2005 [15:41]

the Israeli politician, on Sept. 11th or 12th??

I recall an interview on CNN that time.

Asked about the WTC desaster, his first words were something like "Oh, thats very good for us ...ahem...I think its a very sad day for the american and israeli people"....

But his eyes were lightened with pleasure.

I remember reading "Dude, Where's my country"

by stopwar on 09.08.2005 [16:25]

and thinking this is all very fishy. Bushes & Bin Ladens, like two royal families, very palsy walsy. Then Osama becomes the scapegoat for "the biggest terrorist attck since the last biggest terrorist attack", then the Bin Ladens leave the US very quickly. Hmmm!

Yet Michael Moore didn't follow this up at all in Fahrenheit 911. Whyever not? Could have at lewast posed the questions, even if he didn't profess to know answers.

Cheney's Energy Task Force and the PNAC, Project for a New Pearl Harbor

by Econ on 09.08.2005 [16:33]

It is all coming back to haunt Cheney's Energy Task Force and the PNAC, Project for a New Pearl Harbor.

This is where the FBI should probabaly start their investigation in order to arrest the dual-loyal neocons-jewish traitors and their apologists who perpetuated the worst terrorist attacks on Americans themselves.

The artifcial boost in nationalism from the staged 9-11 to permit the US to wage war for oil and Israel has now evaporated in America as Americans view that Iraq as a total failure and few are bothering to sign up for military service.

The neocon-jews are now looking for a new Pearl Harbor to reinstate a draft in the US as the US is losing the war in Iraq.

- Israel is planning another 9-11 attack on America.

<http://www.counterpunch.org/nimmo08252003.Html>

"... Is Rafi Eitan in the United States and if so why hasn't the FBI arrested him? On August 16, Steinberg commented on Eitan's alleged resurfacing in the United States. "Eitan is making these high-risk journeys, U.S. and Israeli sources report, because he is directing plans for a major terrorist attack on American soil — a new 9/11 — to be blamed on either Muslim or Latin American terrorists..."

<http://www.progressiveconvergence.com/nuclearfalseflagmail.htm>

Israel, Iran, Mossad and a Nuclear False Flag Attack
by R. Leland Lehrman

Wow!

by -guest- on 09.08.2005 [17:53]

How did i miss this ... thanks you guys.

The bit above where it says

"Meanwhile, the drone would have taken up the other plane's designated course. High over the island of Cuba, it would be exploded in mid-air after broadcasting an international distress call that it was under attack from enemy fighters."

Was that actually part of the Northwoods plan way back then?!

stopwar said

by Lambros on 09.08.2005 [18:10]

"The Mail sometimes goes for stories that the mainstream press won't touch with a bargepole - like the spreading of BSE from cows to humans, for example".

The BSE debacle... since 1986 BSE and vCJD , has insidiously blighted the heartbeat of British rural life. The disease has annihilated thousands of cattle and a growing number of young people, as well as creating a fierce battleground between nations, vested interests, political parties, farmers, victim support groups and consumers. More recently, the shock waves of the BSE debacle have ricocheted around the entire world, reaching as far afield as Japan and North America.

Yet despite the severity of the mad cow legacy, little genuine attempt has been made to crack the causal riddle of these diseases; thereby leaving us devoid of insight into measures that would best cure, control and better still, prevent this disease.

But hard scientific evidence is being amassed which indicates that vCJD and BSE could both result separately.

There us a total absence of BSE in organic cattle which could could be explained by the resistance of organic livestock farmers to comply with the UK government's compulsory warble eradication programme using systemic organo dithio-phosphorus (OP) insecticides - toxic chemicals derived from the OP military nerve agents.

In 1982 measures were passed that enforced twice annual application of a uniquely concentrated dose (20 mg/kg bodyweight) of a systemic acting organo-dithio-phosphate insecticide for the control of warbles on UK cattle. Amongst a myriad of toxicological effects, the systemic types of dithio-phosphate can chelate copper and open up the blood brain barrier; thereby disturbing the overall balance of metals in the brain. I therefore considered that the copper depleting capacity of these OP insecticides had something to do with the origins of BSE, and had therefore been unsurprised to witness BSE rearing its ugly head in the UK cattle herd in 1986; which, in my opinion, was partly a direct legacy of the UK government's compulsory 'high dose' warble fly campaign. The few other European countries who instigated warble fly campaigns (eg; France, Switzerland, Ireland, etc) used lower doses of insecticide, and, not surprisingly, developed proportionately fewer cases of BSE as a result.

I do not believe vCJD in humans is caught from eating beef.

Internet's credit is long overdue.

by Atheist on 09.08.2005 [18:10]

Time for the dinosaur mainstream media to acknowledge Internet's work and give Internet a big credit. A huge difference can be seen between dinosaurs and cavemen/women paid to work in some mainstream-media offices and the aliens from outer space working in their own home offices without having to compel themselves to sell their stories and articles to the general public.

Just a thought...

by kamau on 09.08.2005 [20:22]

...If 'OPERATION NORTHWOODS' was hatched in 1962 and then shelved, only to be rehatched 40+ years later on 9/11, what other 'False Flag Operations' do they have that have been shelved that they can just pull off the shelf, dust off, refurbish, and then use today?

@ turlututu

by Mike-Malaysia on 10.08.2005 [02:33]

Mounting a fake WMD event is a LOT LOT LOT harder on someone else's turf than it is on your own.

CIA's long history of operations started with Truman

by wings on 10.08.2005 [02:41]

After the two atomic bombs of Hiroshima and Nagasaki were tested in Japan (1945), the CIA was created by Harry Truman:

"Original sign with seal from the CIA's first building on E Street in Washington, DC

The Agency, created in 1947 by President Harry S. Truman, is a descendant of the Office of Strategic Services (OSS) of World War II. The OSS was dissolved in October 1945 but William J. Donovan, the creator of the OSS, had submitted a proposal to President Franklin D. Roosevelt in 1944 calling for a new organization having direct Presidential supervision, "which will procure intelligence both by overt and covert methods and will at the same time provide intelligence guidance, determine national intelligence objectives, and correlate the intelligence material collected by all government agencies." Despite strong opposition from the military, the State Department, and the FBI, Truman established the Central Intelligence Group in January 1946. Later under the National Security Act of 1947 (which became effective on September 18, 1947) the National Security Council and the Central Intelligence Agency were established."

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CIA#History>

Democracy in "third world" countries

by wings on 10.08.2005 [02:43]

has been coerced through US puppet regimes since the bombing of Hiroshima.

Iran/Persia

by wings on 10.08.2005 [02:47]

was thought to be one of the first CIA success stories ... 1953.

"This extremely important document is one of the last major pieces of the puzzle explaining American and British roles in the August 1953 coup against Iranian Premier Mohammad Mossadeq. Written in March 1954 by Donald Wilber, one of the operation's chief planners, the

200-page document is essentially an after-action report, apparently based in part on agency cable traffic and Wilber's interviews with agents who had been on the ground in Iran as the operation lurched to its conclusion. "

<http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/NSAEBB/NSAEBB28/>

Dear All...

by Mike-Malaysia on 10.08.2005 [03:10]

- stopwar on 09.08.2005 [13:57](#)

If the article is correct and the passengers disembarked at a "secret military base" (yawn) then these people were killed and bodies disposed of there. If your gonna kill 3000 people in NY, then of couse killing a few 100 more disembarking from a plane is no sweat!

- stopwar on 09.08.2005 [14:01](#)

I also fully expected WMD to be planted. I guess it was simply too tough to do in Iraq, what with total lack of command over the people and a flase paper trail would have been necessary to stop people like us from shouting pythosesque like "you just put them there", "No I didn't". "Oooh - all lies, Yes you did" And we have seen before that creation of forged documents is even harder still (Forged Niger documents, Galloway Oil documents).

- concernedguest on 09.08.2005 [14:06](#)

Yes, the silence of Russia and China is deeply suspicious. Then again Russia doesn't want to call the pot black then it tried to kill its own people in Ryazan. It gets worse: any countries "Intelligence" agencies gave warnings to the US that a signficant 9-11 type event was going to occur. Either these foreign agencies were duped or knowingly issued warnings they knew to be fake. If they were duped, then they would have investigated their sources and of course found them to be bogus. The Brit sources of "intelligence" were proposterous. Chalabi and dissidents and students. So you can imagine what 'lesser' countries intelligence would have been like. If they were duped, why stay silent about it? If they knowingly gave false info, then why publicise it? Either way, illuninati theory explains it all.

- stopwar on 09.08.2005 [14:13](#)

Never do such a thing? Come on... Especially after The Russians sis their own 9-11 in Ryazan.

- verve on 09.08.2005 [15:13](#)

Worlds worst atricity... Hiroshima/Nagasaki very likely... Iraq sanctions also perhaps... etc etc. What you can be sure of is, not matter what the 'worst' one is, its gonna be the US and/or UK pigs behind it. They lead the gold medal table on that one, tahts for sure!

- m47vb44 on 09.08.2005 [15:41](#)

Babi (malay word for pig) eyes lit up with glee - Yeah. No surprizes there then. The bloody fool obviously thought he was speaking toa fellow zionist rotbag. Given that it was CNN, that was actaully pretty likely! Touche!

- Mike Moore

Never watched your foreignheight 9-11 mate, always thought it would be watered down food trough water for the brainless american masses.

- Econ on 09.08.2005 [16:33](#)

Parasites occupying Palesting plan another 9-11 on the US. Absolutely! People of Los Angles, smell that sweet Dimona waste! In fact I have read that Another 'terror' attack in the UK is immiment. Fear raking probaly but they will have to follow it up or the 'boy who cried wolf' senario will develop and the cycle of fear is broken.

- kamau on 09.08.2005 [20:22](#)

Old ops being taken off the dusty shelves and employed? Take a look at...
http://www.vialls.com/cia/oss_earthquakes.html

FUCK THEIR MEDIA

by ohm on 10.08.2005 [04:13]

Yeah all this sounds good, even the title is suggestive, we even have chearleaders, as if, this is some kind of significant event. psycologically these AGENTS PROVACETEURS are there to reinforce the IDEA being posed. The only thing this article tells me, IS THAT, THEIR PROPAGATED MEDIA is attempting to CONTROL THE CONSPIRACY THEORY. This is the 2nd one within a week, the 1st one was out of the U.S.... Is it a conspiracy against the conspiricist believer, NO, I think its more of a concerted effort to effect mental change of thinking. The Communists believed he who controled information controled the mind, he who controled the mind controled the people.

the truth is

by httpip on 10.08.2005 [04:35]

the us gov cover up for the knowlege of timing not for the location...
the us intel is pretty good in predicting the timeframe but still as pre 9/11 is in dark about its whereabouts, that has been exploited to the utmost in 9/11....
elaborate?

FUCK THIS ARTICLE

by ohm on 10.08.2005 [04:56]

This article makes no mention of ISRAELS INVOLVEMENT IN THE CONSPIRACY AT ALL. Just like the other bullshit article. This article also suggests that the mining of the WTC buildings was homegrown, HOMEGROWN ??? the only thing homegrown about it was the idea of how much and how to transport the explosives to WTC which was learned from Timothy McVeigh. All indications suggest Israeli Moving companies and Israeli security contract at WTC, lets not forget the owner ISRAELI who said WTC7 was pulled. "PULLED ???" DEMOLITION JARGON for DEMOLISHED. A word used in the context of his comment to mean exactly what people in the field of Demolition understand that word to mean. " Pull " is the act of PULLING the detonation switch. Lets not forget the Israeli company in WTC who evacuated prior to the attack regardless of being prewarned or not, they all got out???ESP. and theirs all kinds of other shit out there also implicating ISRAEL. NOW I KNOW.. Netanyahu is a ZIONIST MURDERER.

Israel

by Yasis on 10.08.2005 [05:43]

Yes, there are a lot of Israeli intrigue in all this. Israeli history of false flags operations such as the Lavon affair does not help the situation either. But sadly without evidence, all this is just speculation. But this article in the mainstream press is a good start to solving the mystery of who orchestrated the attacks on 9-11.

YASIS

by ohm on 10.08.2005 [07:46]

Im not only taking this article into account, im also taking the other article i see as bullshit into account. (TERROR EXPERT; London bombers MI6 asset 03:08:05). (1)-out of the US- FOX NEWS referring to 7/7 attacks, conspiracy, and UK intelligence,military. (2)-out of the UK-referring to 9/11 attacks, conspiracy, and US intelligence,military. If you fuck what each is saying, youll realize both are using the same format to launch there diversionary conspiracy from ISRAELS ROLE in both, which they dont mention at all in both and is implicit in both. To me this is signal that COUP D ETAT is successful in the UK as it was in the US.

ohm

by Mike-Malaysia on 10.08.2005 [09:13]

You have good points in your posts. The dancing so called Israyhelli's too (the dancing zionists)! Then we have the so called 'Israyhelli' anthrax events soon after 9-11. An investigation that was terminated when it was clear that zionist and proven arab hater and zionist Dr. Lt. Col. Philip Zack. Aided and abetted by whitewasher and jewish political activist (jewish political activist = ?i wonder?) Barbara Rosenberg who accused (groundlessly of course) Dr.Stephen Hatfill.

The Jewish/zionist (here in this case, the two words are interchangeable) anthrax attacks were part of the culture of fear are linked to 9-11.

To ignore the zionist element here is a degredation to the memory of those innocents that were slaughtered on 9-11 and killed by the Oct anthrax attacks.

Rotton bastards. I still feel though that by giving mainstream exposure to the lies of 9-11 will make people more likely to question the other aspects of the greatest lie in 21st century history. If they think they can control the facts of the conspiracy they are gladly mistaken.

Publish away is what I say, just look out for obviously wrong and illigetimate evidence.

@ yasis

by Mike-Malaysia on 10.08.2005 [09:17]

But sadly without evidence, all this is just speculation. - Regarding what point? What case is there no evidence about?

where is there no May I remind you Yasis, that you saw nothing wrong with quoted US zionist Mark Kirk on his calm that N.Korea launched an ICBM towards the US west coast and that nukes were 'found' in Alaska.

And Yasis

by Mike-Malaysia on 10.08.2005 [09:22]

there is no *mystery* about 9-11!

Evidence

by Yasis on 10.08.2005 [09:37]

That Israel had a role in 9-11.

off topic in response to mike malaysia & others

by mikeuk on 10.08.2005 [09:46]

how many times are you doughnuts gonna beleive the crap you read that is instigated by jewish zionists?

the only source that russian gov killed their own is the jewish zionist berezovsky, (currently under protection by the british government, his masters?)

he is the only one to ever make this claim, why? because more than likley he arranged it, and he is responsible in order to discredit putin.

perhaps the gov before putin were involved in killing their own, but putin is a pure nationalist, hated by the west and loved by most of his own, except the jewish theives & hilarious national bolshevik party, which are pretty much a mirror of the uk's BNP! racist thugs.

do some research on berezovsky & terrorism in russia, you will be enlightened. read paul klebkikovs book on berezovsky, it is pure fact from interviews, and it deserves to be read by all because berezovsky had him murdered for writing it.

please dont be too quick to fall into the jewish zionist trap of beleiving their claptrap!

Yasis

by Mike-Malaysia on 10.08.2005 [09:52]

Stop apologising for the illegal parasitic state currently occupying Palestine. No evidence? or no evidence that you find paletable.?

Evidence

by Yasis on 10.08.2005 [10:10]

Actually, there is no direct evidence of the Israeli role in 9-11. Dancing Israelis? Cheering? The best that you can prove in a court of law is that the Israelis knew that an attack was going to happen. To say that they had a hand requires more evidence.

This is what I am trying to say. As much as I hate Israeli policies, I cannot judge things based on opinion alone.

There must be good evidence. Mike, I think that your feelings for the zionists is clouding your judgement.

Offtopic: Russia killing its own...

by Mike-Malaysia on 10.08.2005 [10:12]

Mikeuk, so all those citizens and residents of the apartments block in Ryazan that the FSB wanted to kill, who appeared on Russian TV against the local police force and officials and FSB officials, in addition to the Russian citizens that where threatened with death for only wanting to have the truth come out were all berezovsky, who wore many masks, and was able to split himself at light speed and create the illusion that there were many individual people with grave concerns.

Berezovsky said the FSB was behind some of the already exploded apartment building around Russia. As far as I know, I've never heard ANY comment from Berezovsky about the failed attempt at false-flag psy-op at Ryazan that I'm talking about.

Berezovsky probly is a murderous thug - thats why the UK authorities are looking after him. Part of the clan. But you still do not answer the Ryazan case. If you can then please do!

So for you to say Berezovsky is the only one making that claim is clearly tripe! Watch this Mikeuk. Go on tell me. Those were not ordinary citizens in that apartment block.

Go here Mikeuk ... http://www.thedossier.ukonline.co.uk/video_miscellaneous.htm CTRL+F 'russia'. Watch.

mikeuk on 10.08.2005 09:46

line 1: *how many times are you doughnuts gonna beleive the crap you read that is instigated by jewish zionists?*

line 19: *please dont be too quick to fall into the jewish zionist trap*

Mikeuk says the zionists are laying a trap, yet in line 1 he says they are not involved in conspiracy.!!

Mikeuk, your letting your love of Russia blind u, just like the mass-Brits think there so superior, just like the mass-yanks think that God shed his grace on thee.

Line10: *jewish thieves* Sounds like you suspect the Jews of doing dastardly deeds. So how do you dismiss the zionist aspects of 9-11

OK Mike. Refute ALL the israeli/zionist links to the WHOLE 9-11 story. There are so many of them!

You still havent pulled your pants up mike. Your still showing your willy!

ITS NOT OPINION YASIS - damn you!

by Mike-Malaysia on 10.08.2005 [10:25]

Yasis I am sorry if I let my views of zionists (global murder instigating thugs, willing to kill anyone that will benefit them, people that consider anyone else non-human and on the same level as dogs, and torturing villians that have inflicted untold horron on the Palestinain people.) How could I have let that cloud my judgement.!

The best that you can prove in a court of law is that the Israelis knew that an attack was going to happen If you consider the dancing Israyhell'is alone perhaps, but even if this can be proved, it makes them automatically guilty of mass murder as they failed to give warning to the US Govt (the US Govt totally denied it had prior knowledge remember??) Then you have all the other Israyhell-i aspects to it. This information has been in the public domain for AGES. Look it up Yasis, dont be a lazy sod.

It takes approximately TWO weeks to rig a building under perfect conditions for demolition. WTC7 was pulled for NO OGICAL REASON WHATSOEVER approximately 7 hours after the WTC1 and 2 were hit. This is pure absolute EVIDENCE of guilt and foreknowledge. The Jewish 'owner' (probably a zionist freak) is guilty of mass murder. People would have died as a result of WTC7 being purposely detonated. Its now aparent that WTC1 and 2 themselves had explosive charges placed inside them to ensure they collapsed.

Surely you are just playing with me Yasis. Nobody can say this is not evidence. Nor the other huge elements to this story.

Well...

by Yasis on 10.08.2005 [10:33]

Well, its seems that we have a different view on what is evidence or not.

This article is just a brief summary of the topic.

by makarov on 10.08.2005 [10:33]

There was a huge discussion about 9/11 in this thread:

<http://www.debatepolitics.com/showthread.php?t=1673>

Finally, official version followers failed to present any sort of cohesive picture of how could WTC1&2 collapse without explosives.

To say nothing about WTC6, Siverstein's "Pull it", Pentagon and other stuff.

Regarding explosions in Moscow and some other Russian cities, the question is not so clear, because there were relatively small apartment houses there. Several bags of explosives is more than enough to do away with a such one. But who has delivered that several bags is under the question.

YASIS

by ohm on 10.08.2005 [10:35]

This article mentions that the 911 conspiracy is a sham because an operation so complex and intricate would require the silence till death of 1000s even the families of the victims, which isnt happening in a democracy. This is the 2nd time i heard this, Is this supposed to be some type of official closing arguement. BULLSHIT...(WW2) The JULY 20 1944 PLOT TO ASSASINATE ADOLPH HITLER and sieze control of the 3RD REICH (OPERATION VALKYRIE) is a perfect example of IT DONT TAKE 1000s upon 1000s to do a COUP D ETAT. It Only tAKES STRATEGICALLY PLACED

PEOPLE to CARRY OUT A CONSPIRACY. 911, The ZIONIST ROLE WAS TO PLAY TERRORIST, and to do a terror campaign in the US. And all of them got caught detained and deported. and they were the same fucks the media described as MIDDLE EASTERN taking photos of buildings ,dams, celebrating 911 in the US, BLAH BLAH BLAH. THE ROLE of the conspiritors in the US GOVERNMENT, CORPORATE MEDIA, AND MILITARY is transparent. COVERUP and push the agenda to the next level. FUNNY, THESE GUYS ARE GOING TO HELL.

oh sorry,

by makarov on 10.08.2005 [10:36]

"WTC7" of course instead of "WTC6" in my pervious post.

Let us also not forget...

by stopwar on 10.08.2005 [10:50]

that the emergency services were on a drill on 11th September. This would give greater control than would otherwise have been the case.

I still haven't a clue as to the real perpetrators of 9/11, but I think that the neocons knew that a group of Islamists were planning something, and let enough of their plan go ahead for them to get the blame. They had emergency services ready, they used this excuse to have CNN alerted, and they had the towers wired up. The rest, as they say, is history.

Hence the "conspiracy within a conspiracy".

re mike, Yasis

by concernedguest on 10.08.2005 [10:51]

Perhaps once again. Perhaps focussing on what is clear may help. It is crystal clear that 9/11 was carried by the bush regime and its allies. To a large extent, trying to figure out the other details of the case will lead to blind alleys (atta, dancers etc)

The article (very cleverly written btw) simply makes this evident.

What follows (logically, thereafter) is mindblowing and focusing on that would help not getting distracted (which is the whole idea)

"they" need to keep "you" /"me"/"us" distracted enough to get on with it and have managed to do so, so far.

The European Union,

???

by Yasis on 10.08.2005 [10:53]

Will the truth about 9-11 ever be revealed? The US regime must be toppled I think.

hey mike maly!

by mikeuk on 10.08.2005 [11:05]

when i get time i'll check your links out, in the meantime get yourself a copy of Godfather of the Kremlin, Boris Berezovsky, by Paul Klhebnikov. It is a fantastic, factual read. answers lots of questions.

i do beleive there are elements in the FSB still working for berezovsky, putin is rooting them out where he can along with corrupt local governers. its not an easy job!

yeltsin was practically controlled by berezovsky & abramovich & khordovsky in the end. these 3 among several others had one goal in mind. the same goal as the original jewish bolsheviks in the russian revolution. to steal the wealth of russia. yeltsin was a pawn for the west. putin gained power via yeltsin, then turned on them all.

maybe you & the residents are right about the FSB, but this order was not from putin, it was from the zionist masters to destroy putin.

yes i do love russia, and i admire putin, he is the worlds most sane leader, and super intelligent,

flying his own jets to beslan, throwing people twice his size karate style! he even personally rescued his own family from the house he built with his own hands when the place was burned to the ground.

as for 9/11, im behind you 100%

i dont know if it was the jews (most likley), the neo cons, etc.. etc...

but its very unlikley a bunch of arabs with box cutters.

the trap i was referring to is the russophic anti putin bullshit spouted by the BBC, incidently the BBC were the first people to obtain the footage from beslan school massacre, funny that, how did they get it before russia, unless they had someone on the inside?

the attackers at beslan were highly trained military & others forced to do it. one guy stood for 3 days on the spot with his foot on a detonator. could you do that? stand for 3 days, no sleep, no movement? i know i could not do it for 10 minutes!

it's common knowledge in russia, and somewhat of a joke at dinner tables. all the terrorists come from britain.

maybe we are looking to the wrong person by looking at the usa, perhaps prince philip is behind everything, leading his bankers, rothschilds & rockerfellas into world domination?

whoever it is, they wont stop at the middle east, they want all the oil, and they almost had it with yeltsin, khordovsky, berezovsky & brammybitch. putin has stopped them in their tracks & we should hail him for that. the only fucker on this planet with the courage to make some action!

Page: 1/2 [next]

1 2

Posting comments:

You should be registered AND logged in user to post comments here.

	<table border="1"><tr><th>Min</th><th>Max</th></tr><tr><td>23456</td><td>26559</td></tr></table>	Min	Max	23456	26559				
Min	Max								
23456	26559								